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In many applications, color is critical to understanding data in context or at scale

## Color Difference for Design

## Practical

Easy to construct and use

## Probabilistic

Control how noticeable differences are

## Data-Driven

Models the real world

## Parametric

Tuned to a desired audience

## Contributions



Data-Driven Method for
Adapting Color Difference


Color Difference Metric for Web Viewing

## Model Problem: Web Viewing


amazon mechanicalturk


# Text Legibility Zuffi et al, 2009 

Graphical Perception Heer \& Bostock, 2010

Color Names

Munroe, 2010
Contrast
Simone et al, 2010

## CIELAB



Commonly used in design products D3, Adobe

Approximately perceptually linear

Euclidean difference

Make informed decisions
about color for design that hold across a variety of viewing conditions


## $\int x \square x \square x$

# Make informed decisions about color for design that hold across a variety of viewing conditions 



Consider Environmental Factors in Aggregate


## Model by Sampling



Laboratory metrics err by $37 \%$

Our model predicts to within $0.2 \%$


Verify modeling assumptions

## Parameterize CIELAB




Verify the approach


Verify modeling assumptions


## Properties of CIELAB



A1: Axes are orthogonal


A3: Axes are uniform

$$
\Delta E^{*}=\sqrt{\Delta L^{2}+\Delta a^{2}+\Delta b^{2}}
$$

A2: Difference is Euclidean



## Color Matching

## Results



Errors varied between axes (p>.0001), but no evidence of variance within axes ( $\left.p_{L}=.21, p_{a}=.17, p_{b}=.67\right)$.

## Limitations



Not Probabilistic
Speed

## We need a microtask!

Short-duration, simple piecework tasks

## Precise

Probabilistically quantify color difference
Quick
Collect large amounts of data in a short time

## Verify modeling assumptions

## Parameterize CIELAB



## Forced-Choice Microtask



Do the two colors appear the same or different?

## Forced-Choice Microtask

$2^{\circ}$ Reference Color


$2^{\circ}$ Differed Color varied on L*, $\mathrm{a}^{*}$, or b*

Submit
Do the two colors appear the same or different?

## Parameterizing Color Difference



Scale each axis such that p\% of viewers will identify a difference at $d=1$


One square was mapped to a constant color


The second square's color was jittered from the constant along one color axis


## Deriving Model Parameters



Colors are $d \Delta E^{*}$ different

Colors were identified as different in 3 of 5 trials

The disciminability rate at d is 60\%


A3: Axes are uniform


$\Delta L^{*}$

$\Delta \mathrm{a}^{*}$

$\Delta b^{*}$


## A1: Axes are orthogonal

## Adapted Difference Model

$$
\Delta E_{p}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta L}{N D_{L}(p)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta a}{N D_{a}(p)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta b}{N D_{b}(p)}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
\Delta E^{*}=\sqrt{\Delta L^{2}+\Delta a^{2}+\Delta b^{2}}
$$

A2: Difference is Euclidean

## Experiment Details



13 Color Differences x 3 axes (Within)


75 participants
( 2,925 trials, $\mu_{\text {trial lime }}=5.8 \mathrm{~s}$ )


CIELAB calibrated to sRGB

## Validating Responses



## Two-way ANCOVA to verify assumptions hold

Validation Stimuli
(20 equal color, 2 extreme difference)
Question order and display distance as covariates

## Statistical Results




No significant variation within $a^{*}$ or $b^{*}$ $0.3 \%$ linear variation in $L^{*}, \mathrm{D}<.05$

Differences varied between all axes $p<.001$

## Adapted Difference Model

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta E_{50}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta L}{4.0}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta a}{5.5}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\Delta b}{6.0}\right)^{2}} \\
N D_{L}(50 \%)=4.0 \\
N D_{a}(50 \%)=5.5 \\
N D_{b}(50 \%)=6.0
\end{gathered}
$$

## Verify modeling assumptions

## Parameterize CIELAB




Verify the approach

## Verifying our Adapted Model




Denser Color Sampling


891 Cross-Axis Differences 161 participants (6,279 trials)

## Results

## $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{50}$

Predicted: 50.0\% Actual: 49.8\%


## Results

## $\Delta E_{80}$ <br> Predicted: 80.0\% Actual: 80.6\%



## Limitations



Sampling Robustness


Access to a Sample

## On-Going Work



Integrate into Design Tools

Stimulus Size
Talk Tomorrow: 2:40pm

## Future Work



Background Color


Model Different Applications

## Contributions



Data-Driven Method for
Adapting Color Difference


Color Difference Metric for Web Viewing

## Thank You!



Danielle Albers Szafir dalbers@cs.wisc.edu

Maureen Stone mstone@tableausoftware.com

Michael Gleicher gleicher@cs.wisc.edu

Thanks to Vidya Setlur, Justin Talbot, Dan Szafir, and the UW Graphics Group for their help with this project.

NSF awards IIS-1162037 and CMMI-0941013



## Traditional Color Matching

Given:


Maxwell Color Matching Experiment

## Traditional Color Matching

Given:

$\Delta$

Modern Maxwell Color Matching Experiment

## Simplified Color Matching



L* Sliders



$2^{\circ}$ Reference Color

b* Sliders



TO BE UPDATED!
Reference square was mapped to constant color based on the tested axis

## Experiment Details

## 24 Reference Colors x 3 Axes (Within) <br> (Between)

48 participants with no known CVD (1,032 trials)
$Y=$ 2.2, D65 Whitepoint
Measure: Euclidean distance between the reference and response colors

## Properties of CIELAB



A1: Axes are orthogonal


## A2: Difference is Euclidean



A3: Axes are uniform
A4: One unit is one JND

$\Delta a^{*}$



$$
N D_{L}(p)=\frac{p}{0.123}
$$

$$
N D_{a}(p)=\frac{p}{0.09194}
$$

$$
R^{2}=0.9194
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N D_{b}(p)=\frac{p}{0.09364} \\
\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 9 3 6 4}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Aggregate Results

$\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{5}}-\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{9 5}}}{\text { Mean Error: 7\% }} \quad \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{p} \geq \mathbf{5 0}}}{\text { Mean Error: } 3.5 \%}$

Expected Margin of Error $=7.5 \%$

## Caveat:

Only model differences while discriminability is changing


## Verifying our Adapted Model

Differences across multiple axes
Wider range of colors
Greater variety of color differences
Larger sample population

## Color Difference for Design

## Practical

Easy to construct and use

## Probabilistic

Control how noticeable differences are

## Data-Driven

Models the real world

## Parametric

Tuned to a desired audience


Digital displays are everywhere
-

## Existing Metrics

CIELAB $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{*}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{94}$
CIEDE2000

CIECAMO2

Consider Environmental Factors Individually


CRT v. LCD—Sakar et al, 2010
Individual Observers-Oicherman et al, 2008
Ambient Illumination—Devlin et al, 2006

## Cockpits \& Graphic Design-X,Y



p\% of viewers will identify a difference at d=1

## Models Converge Quickly



## Verifying our Adapted Model

Models hold if p\% of participants correctly identify a difference at $\Delta E_{p}=1$

## Properties of CIELAB



$$
\Delta E^{*}=\sqrt{\Delta L^{2}+\Delta a^{2}+\Delta b^{2}}
$$

A1: Axes are orthogonal


## A2: Difference is Euclidean



A3: Axes are uniform
A4: One unit is one JND

## Properties of CIELAB



A1: Axes are orthogonal


A2: Difference is Euclidean


A3: Axes are uniform
A4: One unit is one JND

## Properties of CIELAB



A1: Axes are orthogonal


A3: Axes are uniform

$$
\Delta E^{*}=\sqrt{\Delta L^{2}+\Delta a^{2}+\Delta b^{2}}
$$

## A2: Difference is Euclidean



