

Transaction Management Overview

Chapter 18

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Transactions

- Concurrent execution of user programs is essential for good DBMS performance.
 - Because disk accesses are frequent, and relatively slow, it is important to keep the cpu humming by working on several user programs concurrently.
- A user's program may carry out many operations on the data retrieved from the database, but the DBMS is only concerned about what data is read/written from/to the database.
- A <u>transaction</u> is the DBMS's abstract view of a user program: a sequence of reads and writes.

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Concurrency in a DBMS

- Users submit transactions, and can think of each transaction as executing by itself.
 - Concurrency is achieved by the DBMS, which interleaves actions (reads/writes of DB objects) of various transactions.
 - Each transaction must leave the database in a consistent state if the DB is consistent when the transaction begins.
 - DBMS will enforce some ICs, depending on the ICs declared in CREATE TABLE statements.
 - Beyond this, the DBMS does not really understand the semantics of the data. (e.g., it does not understand how the interest on a bank account is computed).
- * <u>Issues:</u> Effect of <u>interleaving</u> transactions, and <u>crashes</u>. Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Atomicity of Transactions

- A transaction might commit after completing all its actions, or it could abort (or be aborted by the DBMS) after executing some actions.
- A very important property guaranteed by the DBMS for all transactions is that they are <u>atomic</u>. That is, a user can think of a Xact as always executing all its actions in one step, or not executing any actions at all.
 - DBMS logs all actions so that it can undo the actions of aborted transactions.

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Example

* Consider two transactions (Xacts):

T1: BEGIN A=A+100, B=B-100 END T2: BEGIN A=1.06*A, B=1.06*B END

- Intuitively, the first transaction is transferring \$100 from B's account to A's account. The second is crediting both accounts with a 6% interest payment.
- There is no guarantee that T1 will execute before T2 or vice-versa, if both are submitted together. However, the net effect must be equivalent to these two transactions running serially in some order.

Database Management Systems, $2^{\rm nd}$ Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Example (Contd.)

* Consider a possible interleaving (schedule):

T1: A=A+100, B=B-100 T2: A=1.06*A, B=1.06*B

* This is OK. But what about:

T1: A=A+100, B=B-100 T2: A=1.06*A, B=1.06*B

* The DBMS's view of the second schedule:

T1: R(A), W(A), R(B), W(B) R(B), W(B)

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Scheduling Transactions

- <u>Serial schedule</u>: Schedule that does not interleave the actions of different transactions.
- Equivalent schedules: For any database state, the effect (on the set of objects in the database) of executing the first schedule is identical to the effect of executing the second schedule.
- <u>Serializable schedule</u>: A schedule that is equivalent to some serial execution of the transactions.

(Note: If each transaction preserves consistency, every serializable schedule preserves consistency.)

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrko

Anomalies with Interleaved Execution

 Reading Uncommitted Data (WR Conflicts, "dirty reads"):

T1:	R(A), W(A),		R(B),	W(B), Abort	
T2:		R(A), W(A), C			

* Unrepeatable Reads (RW Conflicts):

T1:	R(A),		R(A), W(A), C	
T2:		R(A), $W(A)$, C		

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Anomalies (Continued)

Overwriting Uncommitted Data (WW Conflicts):

T1:	W(A),	W(B), C	
T2:	W(A), W(B), 0	C	

Database Management Systems, $2^{\rm nd}$ Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Lock-Based Concurrency Control

- Strict Two-phase Locking (Strict 2PL) Protocol:
 - Each Xact must obtain a S (shared) lock on object before reading, and an X (exclusive) lock on object before writing.
 - All locks held by a transaction are released when the transaction completes
 - If an Xact holds an X lock on an object, no other Xact can get a lock (S or X) on that object.
- * Strict 2PL allows only serializable schedules.

Database Management Systems, $2^{\rm nd}$ Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Aborting a Transaction

- If a transaction Ti is aborted, all its actions have to be undone. Not only that, if Tj reads an object last written by Ti, Tj must be aborted as well!
- Most systems avoid such cascading aborts by releasing a transaction's locks only at commit time.
 - If *Ti* writes an object, *Tj* can read this only after *Ti* commits.
- In order to undo the actions of an aborted transaction, the DBMS maintains a log in which every write is recorded. This mechanism is also used to recover from system crashes: all active Xacts at the time of the crash are aborted when the system comes back up.

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

The Log

- The following actions are recorded in the log:
 - Ti writes an object: the old value and the new value.
 - ◆ Log record must go to disk <u>before</u> the changed page!
 - Ti commits/aborts: a log record indicating this action.
- Log records are chained together by Xact id, so it's easy to undo a specific Xact.
- Log is often duplexed and archived on stable storage.
- All log related activities (and in fact, all CC related activities such as lock/unlock, dealing with deadlocks etc.) are handled transparently by the DBMS.

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

1

Recovering From a Crash

- ❖ There are 3 phases in the *Aries* recovery algorithm:
 - <u>Analysis</u>: Scan the log forward (from the most recent checkpoint) to identify all Xacts that were active, and all dirty pages in the buffer pool at the time of the crash.
 - <u>Redo</u>: Redoes all updates to dirty pages in the buffer pool, as needed, to ensure that all logged updates are in fact carried out and written to disk.
 - <u>Undo</u>: The writes of all Xacts that were active at the crash are undone (by restoring the *before value* of the update, which is in the log record for the update), working backwards in the log. (Some care must be taken to handle the case of a crash occurring during the recovery process!)

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Summary

- Concurrency control and recovery are among the most important functions provided by a DBMS.
- Users need not worry about concurrency.
 - System automatically inserts lock/unlock requests and schedules actions of different Xacts in such a way as to ensure that the resulting execution is equivalent to executing the Xacts one after the other in some order.
- Write-ahead logging (WAL) is used to undo the actions of aborted transactions and to restore the system to a consistent state after a crash.
 - Consistent state: Only the effects of committed Xacts seen.

Database Management Systems, 2nd Edition. R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

1.4