

## Lecture 2: Uncertainty

### Deductive Logic-based Systems

- Automated Theorem Provers
- Rule-based Expert Systems
- Planners and Schedulers
- Robots
- Constraint Satisfaction Systems
- Natural Language Processing

## Assumptions Inherent in Deductive Logic-based Systems

- All the assertions we wish to make and use are **universally true**.
- Observations of the world (percepts) are **complete** and **error-free**.
- All conclusions consistent with our knowledge are **equally viable**.
- All the desirable inference rules are **truth-preserving**.

## Completely Accurate Assertions

- Initial intuition: if an assertion is not completely accurate, replace it by several more specific assertions.
- **Qualification Problem:** would have to add too many preconditions (or might forget to add some).
- Example: Strep Throat if: sore throat and positive culture *and lab didn't mistakenly switch samples and swab was sterile and ....*

## Complete and Error-Free Perception

- Errors are common: biggest problem in use of Pathfinder for diagnosis of lymph system disorder is human error in feature detection.
- Some tests are impossible, too costly, or dangerous. “We could determine if your hip pain is really due to a lower back problem if we cut these nerve connections.”

## Consistent Conclusions are Equal

- A diagnosis of either early smallpox or cowpox is consistent with our knowledge and observations.
- But cowpox is more likely (e.g., if the sores are on your cow-milking hand).

## Truth-Preserving Inference

- Might want to use abductive or inductive inference.
- Even if our inference rules are truth-preserving, if there's a slight probability of error in our assertions or observations, during chaining (e.g., resolution) these probabilities can compound quickly, *and we are not estimating them.*

## Solution: Reason Explicitly About Probabilities

- Full joint distributions.
- Certainty factors attached to rules.
- Dempster-Shafer Theory.
- Qualitative probability and non-monotonic reasoning.
- Possibility theory (within fuzzy logic, which itself does not deal with probability).
- Bayesian Networks.

## Start with the Terminology of Most Rule-based Systems

- Atomic proposition: assignment of a value to a variable (parameter).
- Domain of possible values: variables may be Boolean, Discrete (finite domain), or Continuous (floating point in practice).
- Compound assertions can be built with standard logical connectives.

## Rule-based Systems (continued)

- State of the world (model, interpretation): a complete setting of all the variables.
- States are mutually exclusive (at most one is actually the case) and collectively exhaustive (at least one must be the case).
- A proposition is equivalent to the set of all states in which it is true; standard compositional semantics of logic applies.

## To Add Probability

- Replace variables with **random variables**.
- State of the world (setting of all the random variables) will be called an **atomic event**.
- Apply probabilities or *degrees of belief* to propositions:  $P(\text{Weather}=\text{sunny}) = 0.7$ .

## Prior Probability

- The **unconditional** or **prior probability** of a proposition is the degree of belief accorded to it *in the absence of any other information*.
- Example:  $P(\text{Cavity} = \text{true})$  or  $P(\text{cavity})$
- Example:  $P(\text{Weather} = \text{sunny})$
- Example:  $P(\text{cavity} \wedge (\text{Weather} = \text{sunny}))$

## Distribution of Probability

- Start with an individual random variable.
- Given a random variable  $X$  with a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of possible values, a *probability set function*  $P$  tells how the probability is distributed over various subsets  $C$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  (of particular type). For any  $C$ ,  $P(C)$  is 0 or greater;  $P(\mathcal{C})$  is 1; for disjoint subsets  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ :  
$$P(C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_n) = P(C_1) + P(C_2) + \dots + P(C_n).$$

## Simpler Methods

- For discrete random variables, defining a probability distribution is easier, as here:  
 $P(\text{Weather}=\text{sunny})=0.7$   
 $P(\text{Weather}=\text{rain})=0.2$   
 $P(\text{Weather}=\text{cloudy})=0.08$   
 $P(\text{Weather}=\text{snow})=0.02$
- For the continuous case, a probability density function (p.d.f.) often can be used.

## Conditional (Posterior) Probability

- $P(a|b)$ : the probability of  $a$  given that all we know is  $b$ .
- $P(\text{cavity}|\text{toothache}) = 0.8$ : if a patient has a toothache, and no other information is available, the probability that the patient has a cavity is 0.8.
- To be precise: 
$$P = \frac{P(a \wedge b)}{P(b)}$$

## Product Rule

- Equivalent to the previous equation is the following, known as the *product rule*.

$$P(a \wedge b) = P(a|b) P(b)$$

$$P(a \wedge b) = P(b|a) P(a)$$



## The Axioms of Probability

- For any proposition  $a$ ,  $0 \leq P(a) \leq 1$
- $P(\text{true}) = 1$  and  $P(\text{false}) = 0$
- The probability of a disjunction is given by

$$P(a \vee b) = P(a) + P(b) - P(a \wedge b)$$

## Boldface Notation

- Sometimes we want to write an equation that holds for a vector (ordered set) of random variables. We will denote such a set by **boldface** font. So  $Y$  denotes a random variable, but  $\mathbf{Y}$  denotes a set of random variables.
- $Y = y$  denotes a setting for  $Y$ , but  $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}$  denotes a setting for all variables in  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

## P Notation

- $P(X = x)$  denotes the probability that the random variable  $X$  takes the value  $x$ ;  $\mathbf{P}(X)$  denotes a probability distribution over  $X$ .
- For example, if we want to say that observing a value for  $Y$  does not change the probability distribution over  $X$ , we can write  $\mathbf{P}(X/Y) = \mathbf{P}(X)$  rather than repeating for every combination of settings for  $X$  and  $Y$ .

## Marginalization & Conditioning

- Marginalization (summing out): for any sets of variables  $Y$  and  $Z$ :  $\mathbf{P}(Y) = \sum_{z \in Z} \mathbf{P}(Y, z)$
- Conditioning (variant of marginalization):

$$\mathbf{P}(Y) = \sum_{z \in Z} \mathbf{P}(Y|z) \mathbf{P}(z)$$

Often want to do this for  $\mathbf{P}(Y/X)$  instead of  $\mathbf{P}(Y)$ .

$$\text{Recall } \mathbf{P}(Y/X) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(X \wedge Y)}{\mathbf{P}(X)}$$

## Example

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{cavity}|\text{toothache}) &= \frac{P(\text{cavity} \wedge \text{toothache})}{P(\text{toothache})} \\ &= \frac{0.108 + 0.012}{0.108 + 0.012 + 0.016 + 0.064} = 0.6 \end{aligned}$$

## Related Example

$$\begin{aligned} P(\neg\text{cavity}|\text{toothache}) &= \frac{P(\neg\text{cavity} \wedge \text{toothache})}{P(\text{toothache})} \\ &= \frac{0.016 + 0.064}{0.108 + 0.012 + 0.016 + 0.064} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

## Normalization

- In the two preceding examples the denominator ( $P(\textit{toothache})$ ) was the same, and we looked at all possible values for the variable *Cavity* given *toothache*.
- The denominator can be viewed as a normalization constant  $\alpha$ .
- We don't have to compute the denominator -- just normalize 0.12 and 0.08 to sum to 1.

## General Inference Procedure

- Let  $X$  be a random variable about which we want to know its probabilities, given some evidence (values  $\mathbf{e}$  for a set  $\mathbf{E}$  of other variables). Let the remaining (unobserved) variables be  $\mathbf{Y}$ . The query is  $P(X|\mathbf{e})$ , and it can be answered by

$$P(X|\mathbf{e}) = \alpha P(X, \mathbf{e}) = \alpha \sum_{\mathbf{y}} P(X, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{y})$$