Optimistic Crash Consistency

<u>Vijay Chidambaram</u> Thanumalayan Sankaranarayana Pillai Andrea Arpaci-Dusseau Remzi Arpaci-Dusseau





Crash Consistency Problem

Single file-system operation updates multiple on-disk data structures

System may crash in middle of updates

File-system is partially (incorrectly) updated

Performance OR Consistency

Performance OR Consistency

Crash-consistency solutions degrade performance

Users forced to choose between high performance and strong consistency

- Performance differs by IOx for some workloads

Many users choose performance

- ext3 default configuration did not guarantee crash consistency for many years
- Mac OSX fsync() does not ensure data is safe

"The Fast drives out the Slow even if the Fast is wrong" SySNS 13 - Kahan

Ordering and Durability

Crash consistency is built upon ordered writes

File systems conflate ordering and durability

- Ideal: {A, B} -> {C} (made durable later)
- Current scenario
 - {A, B} durable
 - {C} durable

Inefficient when only ordering is required

Can a file system provide both high performance and strong consistency?

Is there a middle ground between: high performance but no consistency strong consistency but low performance? Our solution Optimistic File System (OptFS)

Journaling file system that provides performance and consistency by decoupling ordering and durability

Such decoupling allows OptFS to trade freshness for performance while maintaining crash consistency

Results

Techniques: checksums, delayed writes, etc.

OptFS provides strong consistency

- Equivalent to ext4 data journaling

OptFS improves performance significantly

IOx better than ext4 on some workloads

New primitive osync() provides ordering among writes at high performance

Outline

Introduction

Ordering and Durability in Journaling

Optimistic File System

Results

Conclusion

Outline

Introduction

- Ordering and Durability in Journaling
 - Journaling Overview
 - Realizing Ordering on Disks
 - Journaling without Ordering

Optimistic File System

Results

Conclusion

Before updating file system, write note describing update

Make sure note is safely on disk

Once note is safe, update file system

- If interrupted, read note and redo updates

Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)







SySNS 13



Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

Data write (D)





APPLICATION FILE SYSTEM DISK SySNS 13 Iournal

Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

Data write (D)



Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

Data write (D)







- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (JM)





- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (JM)



- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (JM)





Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (JM)
- Logging Commit (J_C)





APPLICATION FILE SYSTEM DISK SySNS 13

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (JM)
- Logging Commit (J_C)







Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (Jм)
- Logging Commit (J_C)





APPLICATION FILE SYSTEM DISK SySNS 13

Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)





APPLICATION

FILE SYSTEM







Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)





FILE SYSTEM

APPLICATION







Workload: Creating and writing to a file Journaling protocol (ordered journaling)

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)





APPLICATION

DISK M SySNS 13

Outline

Introduction

Ordering and Durability in Journaling

- Journaling Overview
- Realizing Ordering on Disks
- Journaling without Ordering

Optimistic File System

Results

Conclusion

Original Disks















SySNS 13



SySNS 13




























SySNS 13

APPLICATION FILE SYSTEM DISK CACHE DISK PLATTER SySNS 13

Journaling with Flushes Journaling protocol – Data write (D)



Journaling with Flushes Journaling protocol – Data write (D)





14



- Journaling protocol
 - Data write (D)
 - Logging Metadata (Jм)







- Journaling protocol
 - Data write (D)
 - Logging Metadata (Jм)



- Journaling protocol
 - Data write (D)
 - Logging Metadata (Jм)





14



- Journaling protocol
 - Data write (D)
 - Logging Metadata (Jм)



- Journaling protocol
 - Data write (D)
 - Logging Metadata (Jм)



Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)





14



Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)



14

Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)



Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)



Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)



14

DATA

Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)

APPLICATION

SySNS 13





FLUSH

JC



Μ

Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)

APPLICATION



DISK CACHE

DISK PLATTER



SySNS 13



DATA

Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)

APPLICATION

SySNS 13







Journaling protocol

- Data write (D)
- Logging Metadata (J_M)
- Logging Commit (J_C)
- Checkpointing (M)

APPLICATION



DATA

METADATA

Outline

Introduction

Ordering and Durability in Journaling

- Journaling Overview
- Realizing Ordering on Disks
- Journaling without Ordering

Optimistic File System

Results

Conclusion

Journaling without Ordering

Practitioners turn off flushes due to performance degradation

 E.g., ext3 by default did not enable flushes for many years

Observe crashes do not cause inconsistency for some workloads

We term this probabilistic crash consistency

Studied in detail

Probabilistic Crash Consistency

p-inconsistency for different workloads

- Read-heavy workloads have low p-inconsistency
- Database workloads have high p-inconsistency
- See paper for detailed study
 - Factors that affect p-inconsistency
- Turning off flushing provides performance, but does not ensure consistency

Additional techniques required to obtain both performance and consistency SySNS 13

Outline

Introduction

Ordering and Durability in Journaling

- **Optimistic File System**
 - Overview
 - Handling Re-Ordering
 - New File-system Primitives

Results

Conclusion

Optimistic File System

Achieves both performance and consistency by trading on new axis

Freshness indicates how up-to-date state is after a crash

OptFS provides strong consistency while trading freshness for increased performance

State I
$$\rightarrow$$
 State 2 \rightarrow State 3 \rightarrow State 3
3 OptFS ext4

Optimistic File System

- Eliminates flushes in the common case
- Blocks may be re-ordered without flushes
- **Optimistic Crash Consistency** handles re-orderings with different techniques
 - Some re-orderings are detected after crash
 - Some re-orderings are prevented from occurring

Modified Disk Interface

Asynchronous Durability Notifications (ADN) signal when block is made durable







Modified Disk Interface

Asynchronous Durability Notifications (ADN) signal when block is made durable



Modified Disk Interface

Asynchronous Durability Notifications (ADN) signal when block is made durable










ADNs increase disk freedom

- Blocks can be destaged in any order
- Blocks can be destaged at any time
- Only requirement is to inform upper layer

OptFS uses ADNs to control what blocks are dirty at the same time in disk cache

- Re-ordering can only happen among these blocks

Outline

Introduction

Ordering and Durability in Journaling

Optimistic File System

- Overview
- Handling Re-Ordering
- New File-system Primitives

Results

Conclusion









Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes





Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes





Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes





Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes





SySNS 13

APPLICATION

FILE SYSTEM

DISK CACHE

DISK PLATTER

Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes

D

APPLICATION

FILE SYSTEM

DISK CACHE

DISK PLATTER

SySNS 13







Checksums and Delayed Writes handle reordering from removing flushes













Optimistic Techniques

Other Techniques

- In-order journal recovery and release
- Reuse after notification
- Selective data journaling

See paper for more details

Outline

Introduction

- Ordering and Durability in Journaling
- **Optimistic File System**
 - Overview
 - Handling Re-Ordering
 - New File-system Primitives

Results

Conclusion

File-system Primitives

fsync() provides ordering and durability

OptFS splits fsync()

- osync() for only ordering and high performance
- dsync() for durability
- Primitives can increase performance
- Ex: SQLite

SySNS 13

File-system Primitives

fsync() provides ordering and durability

OptFS splits fsync()

- osync() for only ordering and high performance
- dsync() for durability
- Primitives can increase performance
- Ex: SQLite

write(log)

fsync(log)

write(header)



SySNS 13 fsync(header)

write(log)
osync(log)
write(header)
dsync(header)

File-system Primitives

fsync() provides ordering and durability

OptFS splits fsync()

- osync() for only ordering and high performance
- dsync() for durability

Primitives can increase performance

- Ex: SQLite

SySNS 13

write(log)
fsync(log)
write(header)
fsync(header)





Outline

Introduction

- Ordering and Durability in Journaling
- **Optimistic File System**

Results

Conclusion

Evaluation

- Does OptFS preserve file-system consistency after crashes?
 - OptFS consistent after 400 random crashes
- How does OptFS perform?
 - OptFS 4-10x better than ext4 with flushes
- Can meaningful application-level consistency be built on top of OptFS?
 - SQLite provides ACI semantics at 10x performance

Outline

Introduction

- Ordering and Durability in Journaling
- **Optimistic File System**

Results

Conclusion

Summary

Problem: providing both performance and consistency

Solution: decoupling ordering and durability in OptFS

OptFS maintains consistency while trading freshness for increased performance

osync() provides a cheap primitive to order application writes

Conclusion

Storage-stack layers are increasing

- 18 layers between application and storage [Thereska13]
- Interfaces that provide freedom to each layer are the way forward

First impulse: trade consistency for performance

- Trade-off not required in distributed systems [Escriva12]
- By trading freshness, we can obtain both consistency and high performance

Thank You

Source code

<u>http://research.cs.wisc.edu/adsl/Software/optfs/</u> <u>http://github.com/vijay03/optfs</u>

Questions?



