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## 1 Continuous Setting

Consider the traditional classification task where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The goal is to find a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that:

$$
\left.\min _{f} Q(f)=L(f)+R(f), L(f)=E_{x, y}[l(f(x)), y)\right]
$$

where $l(\cdot)$ is defined to be the loss function and $R$ is a regularization function. Similar to Kernel methods, consider the two-level network given below to represent $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega, \rho, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \sigma(\theta, x) \omega(\theta) \rho(\theta) d \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(\theta, x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a known real-valued function, $\omega(\theta): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real value function of $\theta$, and $\rho(\theta)$ is a probability density over $\theta$. For regularizer, we use

$$
R(\omega, \rho)=\lambda_{1} R_{1}(\omega, \rho)+\lambda_{2} R_{2}(\rho)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}(\omega, \rho) & =\int r_{1}(\omega(\theta)) \rho(\theta) d \theta, r_{1}(\omega)=|\omega|^{2} \\
R_{2}(\rho) & =\int r_{2}(\theta) \rho(\theta) d \theta, r_{2}(\theta)=\|\theta\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we show a discrete NN approximates the continuous one when hidden nodes go to infinity and then drive the evolution rule of $\rho(\theta)$ and $\omega(\theta)$ from the (noisy) GD algorithm when the step size becomes small.

## 2 Discrete Setting

Consider a finite NN with the following form to approximate $f(\omega, \rho, x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}(\mu, \Theta, x)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu^{j} \sigma\left(\theta^{j}, x\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta=\left\{\theta^{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$.
The regularization terms are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{R}_{1}(\mu, \Theta)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{1}\left(\mu^{j}\right), \widehat{R}_{2}(\Theta)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{2}\left(\theta^{j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the training objective is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{Q}(\mu, \Theta)=\mathbb{E}_{x, y} l(\widehat{f}(\mu, \Theta, x), y)+\lambda_{1} \widehat{R}_{1}(\mu, \Theta)+\lambda_{2} \widehat{R}_{2}(\Theta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can solve it through the standard (noisy) GD, the algorithm is given by:
Step 0. Initialize $\mu_{0} \sim P_{\mu, 0}(\mu), \theta_{0}^{j} \sim P_{\theta, 0}(\theta)$
Step 1. Update $\theta^{j}$ by

$$
\theta_{t+\Delta t}^{j}=\theta_{t}^{j}-\Delta t \nabla_{\theta^{j}}\left[\widehat{Q}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}\right)\right]-\sqrt{\lambda_{3}} \xi_{t+1}^{j},
$$

where $\Delta t$ is the step size and $\xi_{t+1}^{j} \sim N\left(0, \sqrt{2 \Delta t} I_{d}\right)$.
Step 2. Update $\mu^{j}$ by

$$
\mu_{t+\Delta t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{j}-\Delta t \nabla_{\mu^{j}}\left[\widehat{Q}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}\right)\right]-\sqrt{\lambda_{3}} \zeta_{t+1}^{j},
$$

where $\zeta_{t+1}^{j} \sim N(0, \sqrt{2 \Delta t})$.

### 2.1 Plain GD

We first consider the unnoisy setting where $\lambda_{3}=0$. We have the following Lemma.
Lemma 1. Suppose $\lambda_{3}=0$. Suppose at time $t \geq 0$, we have $\theta_{t}^{j} \sim \rho_{t}$, and suppose $\mu_{t}^{j}=$ $\omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}^{j}\right)$. Assume $l^{\prime}$ is continuous and $\sigma$ is twice differentiable. For all $x$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}, x\right)=f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, when $\Delta t \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty$, we can derive,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \rho_{t}(\theta)}{d t}=-\nabla_{\theta} \cdot\left[\rho_{t}(\theta) g_{2}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)\right] \\
& \frac{d \omega_{t}(\theta)}{d t}=g_{1}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)-\nabla_{\theta}\left[\omega_{t}(\theta)\right] g_{2}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla_{\theta}$. means the divergence, $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{1}(t, \theta, v)=-\mathbb{E}_{x, y}\left[l^{\prime}\left(f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right), y\right) \sigma(\theta, x)\right]-\lambda_{1} \nabla_{v}\left[r_{1}(v)\right] \\
g_{2}(t, \theta, v)=-\mathbb{E}_{x, y}\left[l^{\prime}\left(f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right), y\right) v \nabla_{\theta} \sigma(\theta, x)\right]-\lambda_{2} \nabla_{\theta}\left[r_{2}(\theta)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

To prove the lemma, we utilize the tool with Fokker-Planck Equation to compute the evolution.

Background with Fokker-Planck Equation Suppose the movement of a particle in $m$ dimensional space can be characterized by the stochastic differential equation given below:

$$
d x_{t}=g\left(x_{t}, t\right) d_{t}+\sqrt{2 \beta^{-1}} \Sigma d B_{t}
$$

Let $x_{t} \sim p(x, t)$, the evolution of $p(x, t)$ is given by:

$$
\frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\Sigma \Sigma^{\top}}{\beta} \nabla^{2} p(x, t)-\nabla \cdot\left[p(x, t) g\left(x_{t}, t\right)\right]
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let the $p_{t}(\theta, v)$ as the joint distribution for $(\theta, v)$ :

$$
\left(\theta_{t}^{j}, \mu_{t}^{j}\right) \sim p_{t}(\theta, v)=\rho_{t} \delta\left(v=\omega_{t}(\theta)\right)
$$

We can rewrite $f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right)$ as:

$$
f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \sigma(\theta, x) p_{t}(\theta, v) d \theta d v
$$

By the Law of the Large number, when $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\widehat{f}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}, x\right) \rightarrow f\left(\omega_{t}, \rho_{t}, x\right) .
$$

Now we denote

$$
\widehat{g}_{2}(t, \theta, v)=-\mathbb{E}_{x, y}\left[l^{\prime}\left(\widehat{f}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}, x\right), y\right) v \nabla_{\theta} \sigma(\theta, x)\right]-\lambda_{2} \nabla_{\theta}\left[r_{2}(\theta)\right]
$$

From the update rule of GD, we have $\theta_{t+1}^{j}=\theta_{t}^{j}+\Delta t \widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta_{t}^{j}, \mu_{t}^{j}\right)$. Let $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, using $\mu_{t}^{j}=\omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}^{j}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{d \theta_{t}^{j}}{d t}=\widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta_{t}^{j}, \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}^{j}\right)\right)
$$

By applying Fokker-Planck equation,

$$
\frac{d \rho_{t}(\theta)}{d t}=-\nabla_{\theta} \cdot\left[\rho_{t}(\theta) \widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)\right]
$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$, and because $l^{\prime}$ is continuous, $\sigma(\theta, x)$ and $\rho_{t}$ are also second-order smooth, we obtain:

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \cdot\left[\rho_{t}(\theta) \widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)\right]-\nabla_{\theta} \cdot\left[\rho_{t}(\theta) g_{2}\left(t, \theta, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)\right] \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0
$$

To prove the evolution form for $\omega_{t}(\theta)$, we let:

$$
\widehat{g}_{1}(t, \theta, v)=-\mathbb{E}_{x, y}\left[l^{\prime}\left(\widehat{f}\left(\mu_{t}, \Theta_{t}, x\right), y\right) \sigma(\theta, x)\right]-\lambda_{1} \nabla_{v} r_{1}(v) .
$$

Then, (ignoring the superscript $j$ since all $j$ have the same calculation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{t+\Delta t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right) \\
= & \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)+\frac{d \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)}{d t} \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \\
= & \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}+\widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta_{t}, \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right)+\frac{d \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)}{d t} \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \\
= & \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)+\left[\nabla_{\theta} \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right] \cdot \widehat{g}_{2}\left(t, \theta_{t}, \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)\right) \Delta t+\frac{d \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)}{d t} \Delta t+o(\Delta t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the update rule $\omega_{t+\Delta t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)=\omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t}\right)+\widehat{g}_{1}\left(t, \theta_{t}, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right) \Delta t$, we have:

$$
\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d \omega_{t}\left(\theta_{t+\Delta t}\right)}{d t}=\widehat{g}_{1}\left(t, \theta_{t}, \omega_{t}(\theta)\right)
$$

The proof is finished by Let $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, and let $m \rightarrow \infty$.
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