

CS412: Lecture #15

Mridul Aanjaneya

March 12, 2015

We shall turn our attention to solving linear equations

$$Ax = b$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We already saw examples of methods that required the solution of a linear system as part of the overall algorithm, e.g., the Vandermonde system for interpolation, which was a square system ($m = n$).

Another category of methods that leads to *rectangular* systems with $m > n$ is *least square methods*. They answer questions of the form:

- What is the *best* n -order polynomial we can use to *approximate* (not interpolate) $m + 1$ data points (where $m > n$).
- More generally, find the solution that *most closely* satisfies m equations in the presence of n ($n < m$) unknowns.

All these algorithms need to be conscious about *error*, and there are at least three sources for it.

- Some algorithms are “imperfect” in the sense that they require several iterations to generate a good quality approximation. Thus, intermediate results are subject to error.
- Sometimes, it is not possible to find an “ideal” solution, e.g. because we have more equations than unknowns. In this case, not all equations will be satisfied exactly, and we need a notion of the “error” incurred in not satisfying certain equations fully.
- Inputs to an algorithm are often computed by noise, roundoff error, etc. For example, instead of solving an “intended” system $AX = b$ we may be solving $A^*x = b^*$, where the entries A^* and b^* have been subject to noise and inaccuracy. It is important to know how those translate to errors in determining x .

Vector and Matrix Norms

Norms are valuable tools in arguing about the extent and magnitude of error. We will introduce some concepts that we will use broadly later on.

Definition A vector norm is a function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , with a certain number of properties. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we symbolize its norm by $\|x\|$. The defining properties of a norm are:

1. $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, also $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
2. $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
3. $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that the properties above do not determine a *unique* form of a “norm” function. In fact, many different valid norms exist. Typically, we will use subscripts ($\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_b$) to denote different types of norms.

Vector norms - why are they needed?

When dealing (for example) with the solution of a nonlinear equation $f(x) = 0$, the error $e = x_{\text{approx}} - x_{\text{exact}}$ is a single number. Thus, the absolute value of $|e|$ gives us a good idea of the “extent” of the error.

When solving a system of linear equations $Ax = b$, the exact solution x_{exact} as well as any approximation x_{approx} are vectors, and the error $e = x_{\text{approx}} - x_{\text{exact}}$ is a vector too! It is not as straightforward to assess the “magnitude” of such a vector-valued error. For e.g., consider $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1000}$, and

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 100 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which one is worse? e_1 has a modest amount of error, distributed over all components. In e_2 , all but one component are exact, but one of them has a huge discrepancy!

Exactly how we quantify and assess the extent of error is application-dependent. Vector norms are alternative ways to measure this magnitude, and different norms would be appropriate for different tasks. Some norms which satisfy the properties of vector norms are (here, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$):

1. The L_1 -norm (or 1-norm)

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

2. The L_2 norm (or 2-norm, or Euclidean norm)

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$$

3. The infinity norm (or max-norm)

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$$

4. (Less common) L_p norm

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

It is relatively easy to show that these satisfy the defining properties of a norm, e.g., for $\|\cdot\|_1$:

- $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \geq 0$
- if $x = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = 0 \Rightarrow |x_i| = 0, \forall i \Rightarrow x = 0$
- $\|\alpha x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha x_i| = |\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|_1$
- $\|x + y\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| = \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1$

Similar proofs can be given for $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ (just as easy), $\|\cdot\|_2$ (a bit more difficult) and $\|\cdot\|_p$ (rather complicated).

We can actually define norms for (square) matrices as well. A matrix norm is a function $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:

1. $\|M\| \geq 0, \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \|M\| = 0$ if and only if $M = O$.
2. $\|\alpha M\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|M\|$
3. $\|M + N\| \leq \|M\| + \|N\|$
4. $\|M \cdot N\| \leq \|M\| \cdot \|N\|$

(Property (4) is the one that has slightly different flavor than vector norms.)

Although *more types of matrix norms exist*, one common category is that of matrix norms *induced* by vector norms.

Definition: If $\|\cdot\|_\star$ is a valid vector norm, its *induced* matrix norm is defined as

$$\|M\|_\star = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Mx\|_\star}{\|x\|_\star}$$

or equivalently,

$$\|M\|_\star = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_\star = 1} \|Mx\|_\star$$

Note, again, that *not all* valid matrix norms are induced by vector norms. One notable example is the very commonly used *Frobenius norm*:

$$\|M\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n M_{ij}^2}$$

We can easily show that induced norms satisfy properties (1) through (4). Properties (1)-(3) are rather trivial, e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned}\|M + N\| &= \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|(M + N)x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Mx\| + \|Nx\|}{\|x\|} \\ &= \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Mx\|}{\|x\|} + \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Nx\|}{\|x\|} = \|M\| + \|N\|\end{aligned}$$

Property (4) is slightly trickier to show. First, a lemma:

Lemma 1. *If $\|\cdot\|$ is a matrix norm induced by a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$, then:*

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|$$

Proof. Since $\|A\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \|Ax\|/\|x\|$, we have that for an arbitrary $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($y \neq 0$)

$$\|A\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \geq \frac{\|Ay\|}{\|y\|} \Rightarrow \|Ay\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|y\|$$

This holds for $y \neq 0$, but we can see it is also true for $y = 0$. □

Property (4)

$$\begin{aligned}\|MN\| &= \max_{\|x\|=1} \|MNx\| \leq \max_{\|x\|=1} \|M\| \cdot \|Nx\| \\ &= \|M\| \cdot \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Nx\| = \|M\| \cdot \|N\| \\ \Rightarrow \|MN\| &\leq \|M\| \cdot \|N\|\end{aligned}$$

Although the definition of an induced norm allowed us to prove certain properties, it does not necessarily provide a convenient formula for evaluating the matrix norm.

Fortunately, such formulas do exist for the L_1 and L_∞ induced matrix norms. Given here (without proof):

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|_1 &= \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n |A_{ij}| \quad (\text{maximum absolute column sum}) \\ \|A\|_\infty &= \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |A_{ij}| \quad (\text{maximum absolute row sum})\end{aligned}$$

($\|\cdot\|_2$ is much more complicated!) Where do these vector/matrix norms come handy?