Researchers have been combing through code related to the Petya ransomware long enough they’ve been able to cobble together a decryption tool that should allow most victims to generate keys in less than 10 seconds.

The original SALSA20 implementation uses a 32-byte encryption key and an 8-byte initialization vector to produce the final 512-bit key-stream:

```
0000:0000 0000:0010 0000:0020 0000:0030
65 78 70 61 ac 64 cc a4 c7 9a e7 da d1 ae d0 ac
6e 64 20 33 6e 7c a4 68 22 00 58 d6
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 32 2d 62 79 ee e8 bd 86
e4 d4 f1 ee ea e0 ab 62 ce a8 c5 96 74 65 20 6b
```

- Sigma (a string with the value “expand 32-byte k”)
- First 16-bytes of the PASSWORD
- The IV (nonce)
- 64-bit stream position
- Last 16-bytes of the PASSWORD

Petya’s implementation of this simple encryption key generation is seriously flawed, which allows us to predict 256 bits out of the total 512 used in the key-stream. With this knowledge, we can brute force the encryption in a very reasonable time-frame, breaking the encryption and subverting Petya’s malicious actions without paying any ransom at all.
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TCP handshake

Client

SYN seqC,0

SYN/ACK seqS,seqC+1

ACK seqC+1,seqS+1

TCP connection established

SYN = syn flag set
ACK = ack flag set
x,y = x is sequence #, y is acknowledge #
mass scanning

* What if we want to scan the "whole internet"?
* Why?
  / Find all the unsecured webcams [shodani.io]
  / Find all the broken webservers
* How would we do this?
  / nmap -p 443 0.0.0.0/32
  / IPv4: 32-bits - 14% IANA reserved addresses
* How long would this take?
  / Assume mean round-trip time = 100ms
ZMap paper: 1300x faster than nmap
How?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normalized Coverage</th>
<th>Duration (mm:ss)</th>
<th>Est. Internet Wide Scan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nmap (1 probe)</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td>24:12</td>
<td>62.5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nmap (2 probes)</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>45:03</td>
<td>116.3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMap (1 probe)</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
<td>00:10</td>
<td>1:09:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMap (2 probes)</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>00:11</td>
<td>2:12:35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[zmap, Durumeric et al.]
fast scanning

Client

Record responses
Can't scan at high-speed in-order
Why?

ZMap uses a permutation over the address space

Random ordering, but don't have to track list of scanned addresses
dual ec

* Investigating "rigged" random number generator (RNG) called "dual elliptic curve" (dual EC) RNG

* … that could be used in setting up TLS connections

* **Q:** How many web servers support this RNG in real life?

* Scanned IPv4 with ZMap
  / 39M servers responding on port 443
  / Took 48 hours from CSL@UW

* Probed each web server with instrumented OpenSSL client (recorded TLS handshake)
  / 22M TLS (half-)handshakes; took 4 weeks

[On the Practical Exploitability of Dual-EC, Checkoway et al.]
AT&T Wiretap case

• Mark Klein discloses potential wiretapping activities by NSA at San Francisco AT&T office

• Fiber optic splitter on major trunk line for Internet communications
  – Electronic voice and data communications copied to “secret room”
  – Narus STA 6400 device
Interception technology

• From Narus website
  – “Target by phone number, URI, email account, user name, keyword, protocol, application and more”,
    “Service- and network agnostic”, “IPV 6 ready”
  – Collects at wire speeds beyond 10 Gbps
Wiretapping surveillance

Large amounts of Internet traffic cross relatively few key points
Types of packet inspection

Deep packet inspection (DPI) analyzes application headers and data.

IP datagram

Internet service providers need only look at IP headers to perform routing.

Shallow packet inspection investigates lower level headers such as TCP/UDP.

Which inspection is most powerful?
What are the technology challenges?
Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)

What can an IDS do that a router cannot?
- Store information for forensics
- Match known attack patterns (malware, XSS, SQL injection)
Preventing intercept

• End-to-end encryption (TLS, SSH)

• What does this protect? What does it leak?
• What can go wrong?

think-pair-share
End-run around HTTPS

- HTTPS terminated at edge of Google networks
- Internal data center-to-data center communications on privately leased lines
Hiding connectivity is harder

• IP addresses are required to route communication, yet not encrypted by normal end-to-end encryption
  – 1.2.3.4 talked to 5.6.7.8 over HTTPs

• How can we hide connectivity information?
Tor (The Onion Router)

Client -> 7.8.9.1 -> 8.9.1.1 -> 9.1.1.2 -> Destination  Called a circuit
Onion routing: the basic idea

Tor implements more complex version of this basic idea
What does adversary see?

Tor obfuscates who talked to whom, need end-to-end encryption (e.g., HTTPS) to protect payload
• Dec 2016: Eldo Kim, Harvard sophomore, sent bomb threats using Guerilla Mail (anonymous email service)
• Used ToR to connect to Guerilla Mail (from his dorm room)
• Caught within 2 days

• How did he get caught?
  • Guerilla Mail indicated user connected via ToR node
  • FBI compared timestamp on email to Harvard network logs,
  • He was the only one using ToR at that time, confessed when confronted
Directly connecting users

The Tor Project - https://metrics.torproject.org/

[As of: April 13, 2016]
Other anonymization systems

• Single-hop proxy services

• JonDonym, anonymous remailers (MixMaster, MixMinion), many more...
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FBI seizes server used to anonymize e-mail
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