

# Great Algorithms: FFT

Aaron Gorenstein

September 1, 2013

## 1 Background

For brevity, I assume you understand that the FFT algorithm is an evaluation of an  $n$ -degree polynomial on  $n$  distinct points, thereby converting it from coefficient to point representation in  $O(n \log n)$  time instead of the naïve  $O(n^2)$  time. I will *not* explain the significance of the “Fourier” part. I *will* explain how the FFT evaluates a polynomial at  $n$  points, then use that to motivate our development of  $\omega$  values.

Before we get into it, understand that this is more like filled-in notes from reading the algorithms textbook I studied [DPV08]. If you’ve read that and are confused, perhaps my notes may help. The actual book is great, and there is a good draft online. The FFT algorithm is also in CLRS [CLRS01], in the “advanced topics” section. Look there for another sophisticated treatment that’s distinct from the one here or in the Dasgupta book (the initially-mentioned [DPV08]).

Please do email me if you have any questions or suggestions.

## 2 Intuition

We will use this polynomial for our examples:

$$p(x) = 5x^7 + 8x^6 + 2x^5 + x^4 + 7x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x + 6 \quad (1)$$

which can be expressed in code as an array of coefficients [6, 4, 3, 7, 1, 2, 8, 5] (observe the coefficients appear backwards—this is for convenience). As  $n = 8$  for this polynomial, we want to evaluate  $p$  at 8 distinct points. In other words, our goal is to compute many evaluations of  $p$  *very quickly*.

Our overall technique for computing many evaluations quickly follows from this simple fact: if some polynomial  $q$  has all *even* exponents, then  $q(x) = q(-x)$ . For our purposes, this means that evaluating  $q$  at some point  $x$  gives us two evaluations for the price of one! We immediately get the evaluation of  $q(x)$ , but also  $q(-x)$ . This is really the theme of the whole algorithm: we apply that trick in a “divide-and-conquer” fashion.

The first step in a divide-and-conquer algorithm is to divide our initial problem into subproblems. Here, a *subproblem* is a smaller polynomial. In our case we divide  $p$  into the polynomials *even* and *odd*:

$$\text{even}(x) = 8x^6 + x^4 + 3x^2 + 6 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{odd}(x) = 5x^6 + 2x^4 + 7x^2 + 4 \quad (3)$$

Note that

$$p(x) = \text{even}(x) + x \cdot \text{odd}(x). \quad (4)$$

The polynomial *even* naturally contains all even-exponent terms of  $p$ , and *odd* contains all odd-exponent terms *with an  $x$  factored out*. We see that eq. (4) is a very natural, obvious way of combining these terms to get back  $p$ . It is critical to note that both sub-polynomials *even*, *odd* are even-powered-only polynomials.

If one sits down to implement this algorithm, we will immediately realize a problem: the polynomials *even*, *odd* as we defined them inspired by eqs. (2) and (3), are *not actually smaller than the original input!*

Explicitly,  $even = [6, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 8, 0]$  and  $odd = [4, 0, 7, 0, 2, 0, 5, 0]$  (recall the coefficients are reversed). While there are a lot more zeros, we're trying to shrink the *length* of the polynomials for each sub-problem, and this does not cut it. So much for dividing.

But what if we represent  $even$  as  $even' = [6, 2, 1, 8]$ ? Thus, while  $even = 8x^6 + x^4 + 2x^2 + 6$ , we define  $even' = 8x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 6$ . In other words, each monomial in  $even'$  corresponds to a monomial in  $even$ , but with *half* the exponent. We can define  $odd'$  in a similar fashion. Of course, this loses us the advantage that  $even(x) = even(-x)$ . However, we can essentially regain that advantage using the following identity:

$$even'(x^2) = even'((-x)^2) = even(x) = even(-x). \quad (5)$$

In other words, we have “factored out” the fact that  $even$  has all-even exponents. To show that we can really use eq. (5) to get “two evaluations for the price of one”, consider the following identities:

$$even(x) = even'(x^2) \quad (6)$$

$$odd(x) = odd'(x^2) \quad (7)$$

$$p(x) = even'(x^2) + x \cdot odd'(x^2) \quad (8)$$

$$p(-x) = even'(x^2) - x \cdot odd'(x^2). \quad (9)$$

Note that we've really established a mathematical equality here. Thus, we have both the nice divide-and-conquer structure by really using smaller polynomials such that we can use their evaluations at two-to-one price.

For more explicit computation, say we've evaluated  $even'$  and  $odd'$  both at 4 points,  $a, b, c, d$ . Then we can evaluate  $p(x)$  at 8 points simply:

$$p(a) = even'(a^2) + a \cdot odd'(a^2) \quad p(-a) = even'(a^2) - a \cdot odd'(a^2) \quad (10)$$

$$p(b) = even'(b^2) + b \cdot odd'(b^2) \quad p(-b) = even'(b^2) - b \cdot odd'(b^2) \quad (11)$$

$$p(c) = even'(c^2) + c \cdot odd'(c^2) \quad p(-c) = even'(c^2) - c \cdot odd'(c^2) \quad (12)$$

$$p(d) = even'(d^2) + d \cdot odd'(d^2) \quad p(-d) = even'(d^2) - d \cdot odd'(d^2) \quad (13)$$

To have a fully-working FFT algorithm, we need only deal with one more issue: how can we make sure that we never accidentally set two of our input values (such as  $a$  and  $b$ ) to be negations of one another? If  $a = -b$ , then eqs. (10) and (11) are the same evaluations of  $p$ , and we'll only have evaluated  $p$  at 6 distinct points! It is rather hard to keep track of what values we've used to evaluate, as we may be recursing a lot (if our input polynomial is huge). To avoid this pitfall, we use a very special kind of value called roots of unity, the subject of the next section.

### 3 Choosing the Points

From a top-down perspective, to evaluate  $p(\pm x)$  we evaluate  $even(x^2) \pm x \cdot odd(x^2)$ . From a bottom-up perspective, when we have finished evaluating our polynomial at a point  $x$ , then we help our parent (the next level up in the recursion call-tree) compute its own evaluation at  $\pm\sqrt{x}$ .

Perhaps it is this bottom-up perspective which best illustrates how FFT is efficient: by evaluating a leaf at one point  $x$ , we are able to get two points of evaluation for our “parent”. So we choose this perspective to explain the very special points we choose to evaluate  $p$  at during our FFT computation.

Say we're evaluating a leaf—that is, we need to choose exactly one point on which to evaluate  $p$ . Well, why not choose 1. It seems as good as any. This means our parent (needing 2 points of evaluation) is obligated to evaluate its polynomial at  $\pm\sqrt{1} = \{+1, -1\}$ . So far so good. We can call this set the *square roots* of 1. And the parent of *that* subcall must in turn evaluate its degree-4 polynomial at  $\{\pm\sqrt{+1}, \pm\sqrt{-1}\} = \{+1, -1, +i, -i\}$ . We can call this set the *fourth roots* of 1. For a degree-8 polynomial, we have to confront the rather curious question: what is  $\sqrt{i}$ ? As it happens that's  $\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ , but that doesn't seem illuminating. More

generally, we want to get the *eighth roots* of 1, and of course as the recursive calls continue we'll want to compute the  $2^n$ -th roots of 1. Recall that we always need distinct points on which to evaluate  $p$ . So, are there in fact  $2^n$  completely *distinct*  $2^n$ -th roots of 1?

By the magic of math, it is in fact the case! In particular, there is this famous equation (called Euler's identity):

$$e^{i\pi} = -1. \tag{14}$$

Why this is so is out of the scope of this exposition. However, it suffices to realize this: the square roots of 1 are  $\{e^{i\pi}, -e^{i\pi}\}$ . The fourth roots of 1 are  $\{e^{i\pi/2}, -e^{i\pi/2}, e^{i\pi/2}, -e^{i\pi/2}\}$ . See how what we once wrote as  $i, -i$  is now written as  $\pm e^{i\pi/2}$ ? The critical idea is that denominator in the exponent, the  $\frac{1}{2}$  factor. It is simply the case that  $e^{i\pi/4}$  is an 8-root of unity. This is simply because  $(e^{i\pi/4})^4 = -1$ , and so  $((e^{i\pi/4})^4)^2 = (-1)^2 = 1$ . Moreover,  $e^{i\pi/8}$  is a 16-th root of unity by similar reasoning.

Playing around with these exponents, one realizes that *all*  $n$ -th roots of unity can be generated simply multiplying  $e^{2i\pi/n}$  with itself! To make it concrete, consider  $n = 16$ . For brevity, call  $e^{i\pi/8} = \omega$ .

$$\omega^1 = e^{i\pi/8} \tag{15}$$

$$\omega^2 = e^{2i\pi/8} \tag{16}$$

$$\dots \tag{17}$$

$$\omega^7 = e^{7i\pi/8} \tag{18}$$

$$\omega^8 = e^{8i\pi/8} = e^{i\pi} = -1 \tag{19}$$

$$\omega^9 = e^{9i\pi/8} = \omega^8 \cdot \omega = (-1) \cdot \omega \tag{20}$$

$$\omega^{10} = e^{10i\pi/8} = \omega^8 \cdot \omega^2 = (-1) \cdot \omega^2 \tag{21}$$

$$\dots \tag{22}$$

$$\omega^{15} = e^{15i\pi/8} = (-1)\omega^7 \tag{23}$$

$$\omega^{16} = e^{16i\pi/8} = (-1)(-1) = 1 \tag{24}$$

This idea, and all the math, takes a really long time to digest, so it is definitely worth playing around with these  $\omega$  values themselves, read other expositions (such as the textbook), and of course ask your friends (including your friendly TA and/or professor!). As a final note, observe that for the "second half" of the  $\omega$  values listed above, each term is a negation of a previously-appearing term. So,  $\omega^{11} = -\omega^3$ , for instance. Why, this is exactly the trick we need to have our FFT algorithm work!<sup>1</sup>

## 4 Conclusion

Hopefully this has filled in the most confusing aspects of the FFT algorithm. Again, any-and-all feedback welcome, just send me an email. Thanks for reading!

## References

- [CLRS01] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*. MIT Press, 2001.
- [DPV08] S. Dasgupta, C.H. Papadimitriou, and U.V. Vazirani. *Algorithms*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2008.

---

<sup>1</sup>I have a feeling this section is particularly incomprehensible. Do let me know what parts confuse you.