CS 536 Announcements for Wednesday, February 7, 2024

Programming Assignment 2

- released later today
- due Tuesday, February 20

Last Time

- regular expressions \rightarrow DFAs
- language recognition → tokenizers
- scanner generators
- JLex

Today

- JLex
- CFGs

Next Time

• CFG ambiguity

JLex

Declarative specification : you don't tell JLex <u>how</u> to scan / how to match tokens, you tell JLex <u>what</u> you want scanned (tokens) & what to do when a token is matched

Input: set of regular expressions + associated actions **Output:** Java source code for a scanner

Format of JLex specification : 3 sections separated by %%

- user code section
- directives
- regular expression rules

Example

```
// User Code section: For right now, we will not use it.
88
DIGIT=
            [0-9]
LETTER=
            [a-zA-Z]
WHITESPACE= [\040\t\n]
%state SPECIALINTSTATE
%implements java cup.runtime.Scanner
%function next token
%type java cup.runtime.Symbol
%eofval{
System.out.println("All done");
return null;
%eofval}
%line
```

```
({LETTER}|" ") ({DIGIT}|{LETTER}|" ")* {
                          System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": ID "
                                    + yytext()); }
"="
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": ASSIGN"); }
"+"
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": PLUS"); }
11 ^ 11
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": EXP"); }
"<"
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": LESSTHAN"); }
"+="
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": INCR"); }
"<="
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": LEQ"); }
{WHITESPACE}* { }
               { System.out.println(yyline+1 + ": bad char"); }
•
```

Regular expression rules section

88

Format: <regex>{code} where <regex> is a regular expression for a single token

- can use macros from Directives section surround with curly braces { }
- characters represent themselves (except special characters)
- characters inside " " represent themselves (except \")
- . matches anything

```
Regular expression operators: | * + ? ()
```

```
Character class operators: – ^ \
```

Using scanner generated by JLex in a program

```
// inFile is a FileReader initialized to read from the
// file to be scanned
Yylex scanner = new Yylex(inFile);
try {
    scanner.next_token();
} catch (IOException ex) {
    System.err.println(
        "unexpected IOException thrown by the scanner");
    System.exit(-1);
}
```

Why regular expressions are not good enough

Regular expression wrap-up

- + perfect for tokenizing a language
- limitations
 - define only limited family of languages
 - can't be used to specify all the programming constructs we need
 - no notion of structure

Regexs cannot handle "matching"

Example: $L() = \{ (n)^n \text{ where } n > 0 \}$

Theorem: No regex/DFA can describe the language L()

Proof by contradiction: Suppose there exists a DFA *A* for L() where *A* has *N* states.

Then A has to accept the string $(^{N})^{N}$ with some sequence of states

By the pigeonhole principle, there exists $i, j \le N$ where i < j such that So

In other words,

No notion of structure

Consider the following stream of tokens: ID ASSIGN ID PLUS ID

The Chomsky Language Hierarchy

Language class:

recursively enumerable

context-sensitive

context-free

regular

Context-free grammar (CFG)

= a set of recursive rewriting rules to generate patterns of strings

Formal definition: A CFG is a 4-tuple (N, Σ , P, S)

- N = set of **non-terminals**
- \sum = set of **terminals**
- P = set of productions
- S = initial non-terminal symbol ("start symbol"), S ϵ N

Productions

Production syntax : LHS \rightarrow RHS

Language defined by a CFG

= set of strings (i.e., sequences of terminals) that can be derived from the start non-terminal

To derive a string (of terminal symbols):

- set Curr_Seq to start symbol
- repeat
 - find a non-terminal x in Curr_Seq
 - find production of the form $x \rightarrow \alpha$
 - "apply" production: create new Curr_Seq by replacing x with α
- until Curr_Seq contains no non-terminals

Derivation notation

- derives
- derives in one or more steps
- derives in zero or more steps

L(G) = language defined by CFG G

=

Example grammar

Terminals

BEGIN

END

SEMICOLON

ASSIGN

ID

PLUS

Non-terminals

prog

stmts

stmt

expr

Productions

- 1) prog \rightarrow BEGIN stmts END
- 2) stmts \rightarrow stmts SEMICOLON stmt
- 3) | stmt
- 4) stmt \rightarrow ID ASSIGN expr
- 5) expr \rightarrow ID
- 6) | expr PLUS ID

Example derivation

Productions

- 1) prog \rightarrow BEGIN stmts END
- 2) stmts \rightarrow stmts SEMICOLON stmt
- 3) | stmt
- 4) stmt \rightarrow ID ASSIGN expr
- 5) expr \rightarrow ID
- 6) expr PLUS ID

Derivation

- $prog \Rightarrow BEGIN stmts END$
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN stmts SEMICOLON stmt END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN stmt SEMICOLON stmt END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN ID ASSIGN expr SEMICOLON stmt END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN ID ASSIGN expr SEMICOLON ID ASSIGN expr END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN ID ASSIGN ID SEMICOLON ID ASSIGN expr END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN ID ASSIGN ID SEMICOLON ID ASSIGN expr PLUS ID END
 - \Rightarrow BEGIN ID ASSIGN ID SEMICOLON ID ASSIGN ID PLUS ID END

Parse trees

= way to visualize a derivation

To derive a string (of terminal symbols):

- set root of parse tree to start symbol
- repeat
 - find a leaf non-terminal x
 - find production of the form $x \rightarrow \alpha$
 - "apply" production: symbols in α become the children of x
- until there are no more leaf non-terminals

Derived sequence determined from leaves, from left to right

Productions

- 1) prog \rightarrow BEGIN stmts END
- 2) stmts \rightarrow stmts SEMICOLON stmt
- 3) | stmt
- 4) stmt \rightarrow ID ASSIGN expr
- 5) expr \rightarrow ID
- 6) | expr PLUS ID