

### Problem 1

Solution presented in discussion session

### Problems 2 and 3

Involves the use of synthesis scripts

### Problem 4

**beq**    \$2, \$3, foo  
**add**    \$3, \$4, \$5  
**sub**    \$5, \$6, \$7  
**or**     \$7, \$8, \$9  
**foo:**    and \$5, \$6, \$7

For this problem, since “no branch prediction” is done, the pipeline is stalled until the result of the branch is known at the end of MEM stage. Note the true dependence (\*) between **or** and **and** instruction.

On Branch not taken:

Cycles	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<b>beq</b>	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB									
<b>add</b>		stall	stall	Stall	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB					
<b>sub</b>						IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB				
<b>or</b>							IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB			
<b>and</b>								IF	ID*	ID*	ID*	EX	MEM	WB

On Branch taken:

Cycles	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>beq</b>	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB				
<b>and</b>		stall	stall	stall	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB

### Problem 5

(a) **add**    \$4, \$4, \$2  
       **sub**    \$5, \$3, \$1  
       **lw**     \$6, 200(\$3)  
       **add**    \$7, \$3, \$6

Dependences

L1	<b>add</b>	\$4, \$4, \$2	RAW on \$6 from L3 to L4
L2	<b>sub</b>	\$5, \$3, \$1	
L3	<b>lw</b>	\$6, 200(\$3)	
L4	<b>add</b>	\$7, \$3, \$6	

No Forwarding

L1	<b>add</b>	\$4, \$4, \$2	
L2	<b>sub</b>	\$5, \$3, \$1	
L3	<b>lw</b>	\$6, 200(\$3)	
	<b>NOP</b>		
	<b>NOP</b>		
L4	<b>add</b>	\$7, \$3, \$6	

Full Forwarding

L1	<b>add</b>	\$4, \$4, \$2	
L2	<b>sub</b>	\$5, \$3, \$1	
L3	<b>lw</b>	\$6, 200(\$3)	
	<b>NOP</b>		
	<b>NOP</b>		
L4	<b>add</b>	\$7, \$3, \$6	

(b) **lw** \$1, 40(\$6)  
**add** \$6, \$2, \$2  
**sw** \$6, 50(\$1)

Dependences

L1	<b>lw</b>	\$1, 40(\$6)	RAW on \$1 from L1 to L3
L2	<b>add</b>	\$6, \$2, \$2	RAW on \$6 from L2 to L3
L3	<b>sw</b>	\$6, 50(\$1)	WAR on \$6 from L1 to L2 and L3

No Forwarding

L1	<b>lw</b>	\$1, 40(\$6)	Delay L3 to avoid RAW hazard on \$1 from L1
L2	<b>add</b>	\$6, \$2, \$2	
	<b>NOP</b>		
L3	<b>sw</b>	\$6, 50(\$1)	

Full Forwarding

L1	<b>lw</b>	\$1, 40(\$6)	No RAW hazard on \$1 from L1 (forwarded)
L2	<b>add</b>	\$6, \$2, \$2	
L3	<b>sw</b>	\$6, 50(\$1)	

(c) **lw** \$5, -16(\$5)  
**sw** \$5, -16(\$5)  
**add** \$5, \$5, \$5

Dependences

L1	<b>lw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	RAW on \$5 from L1 to L2 and L3
L2	<b>sw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	WAR on \$5 from L1 and L2 to L3
L3	<b>add</b> \$5, \$5, \$5	WAW on \$5 from L1 to L3

No Forwarding

L1	<b>lw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	Delay L2 to avoid RAW hazard on \$5 from L1
	<b>NOP</b>	
	<b>NOP</b>	
L2	<b>sw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	
L3	<b>add</b> \$5, \$5, \$5	

Full Forwarding

L1	<b>lw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	Delay L2 to avoid RAW hazard on \$5 from L1
	<b>NOP</b>	
L2	<b>sw</b> \$5, -16(\$5)	Value for \$5 is forwarded from L2 now
L3	<b>add</b> \$5, \$5, \$5	

**Problem 6**

**4.24.1**

	Always taken	Always not-taken
<b>A</b>	3 / 4 = 75%	1 / 4 = 25%
<b>B</b>	3 / 5 = 60%	2 / 5 = 40%

**4.24.2**

	Outcomes	Predictor value at time of prediction	Correct (C) or Incorrect (I)	Accuracy
<b>A</b>	T, T, NT, T	0, 1, 2, 1	I, I, I, I	0%
<b>B</b>	T, T, T, NT	0, 1, 2, 3	I, I, C, I	25%

**4.24.3**

	Outcomes	Predictor value at time of prediction	Correct (C) or Incorrect (I)	Accuracy
<b>A</b>	T, T, NT, T	1 <sup>st</sup> occurrence: 0, 1, 2, 1 2 <sup>nd</sup> occurrence: 2, 3, 3, 2 3 <sup>rd</sup> occurrence: 3, 3, 3, 2 4 <sup>th</sup> occurrence: 3, 3, 3, 2	C, C, I, C	75%
<b>B</b>	T, T, T, NT, NT	1 <sup>st</sup> occurrence: 0, 1, 2, 3, 2 2 <sup>nd</sup> occurrence: 1, 2, 3, 3, 2 3 <sup>rd</sup> occurrence: 1, 2, 3, 3, 2	I, C, C, I, I	40%

## Problem 7

### → Fragment 1

Consider the following three fragments of code:

<pre>Fragment 1:     add \$5, \$5, \$2     beq \$5, \$6, Target     lw \$4, 0(\$2)     .     .     . Target: lw \$1, 0(\$7)     ...</pre>	<pre>Fragment 1: (with Delay Slot)     add \$5, \$5, \$2     beq \$5, \$6, Target     nop     lw \$4, 0(\$2)     .     .     . Target: lw \$1, 0(\$7)     ...</pre>
---	---

Here, we cannot place the first **lw** into the delay slot because **\$4** is not overwritten on the taken path. Likewise, the second **lw** cannot be placed in the delay slot because it is not known if **\$1** is overwritten on the not-taken path. Because the branch condition depends on **\$5**, the **\$5**, the **add** cannot be placed in the slot either. The correct answer is to insert a **NOP** in the delay slot

For the taken case, there is no performance difference from the original code (there is a 3-cycle delay in both). When the branch is not-taken, the performance is worse because not-taken prediction would have started with the first **lw** a cycle earlier. Thus, the average cycles lost is:  $60\% * (0 \text{ cycles lost}) + 40\% * (1 \text{ cycle lost}) = .4 \text{ cycles lost on average}$

### → Fragment 2

For this problem, one common answer was to place the **lw** instruction into the delay slot. This does not work if the branch is taken. On a branch taken event, the value of **\$4** will be overwritten immediately by the **sub** instruction, allowing normal execution. However, this does not address exceptions. By putting the **lw** into the delay slot, we could get an unexpected exception in this case

Consider the following code:

```
If (ptr != NULL)
    a = *ptr;
```

This would generate the code that looks something like

```
lw $4, PTR
beq $4, $0, NULL
lw $1, PTR
...
NULL: ...
```

If you used delayed branches and moved the second **lw** into the delay slot, you will dereference **\*ptr** even when it is **NULL**. The correct answer involves duplicating the **SUB** instruction. Since we know that the taken branch happens more often, we can optimize for this case. First, we put a copy of the **SUB** instruction in the delay slot, and then jump to a new branch target called **NewTarg**, which sits

one instruction after Target. This way we would cover all the cases. In the taken case, we have executed the **SUB** correctly, and in the not-taken case, the extra **SUB** is overwritten immediately by the **lw**. Here the average cycles gained is:  $60\% * (1 \text{ cycle gained}) + 40\% * (1 \text{ cycle lost}) = .2 \text{ cycles gained on average}$

Fragment 2:

```

add $5, $5, $2
beq $5, $6, Target
lw $4, 0($7)
.
.
.
Target: sub $4, $8, $3
...

```

Fragment 2: (w/ Delay Slot)

```

add $5, $5, $2
beqd $5, $6, NewTarg
sub $4, $8, $3
lw $4, 0($7)
.
.
.
Target: sub $4, $8, $3
NewTarg: ...

```

→ Fragment 3

Fragment 3:

```

movei $2, 21
.
.
.
addi $4, $4, 1
beq $4, $2, Target
.
.
.
Target: ...

```

Fragment 3: (with Delay Slot)

```

movei $2, 21
.
.
.
addi $4, $4, 1
beqd $4, $2, Target
nop
.
.
.
Target: ...

```

In the above fragment, the only instruction that can be placed in the delay slot is a **NOP**. One common answer was to decrement the immediate value in the **movei** instruction to 20 and then place the **addi** in the delay slot. However, because we do not know what happens to **\$2** between the **movei** and **addi**, this is not correct (For example, imagine that the instruction right after **movei** was another **movei** that loaded **\$2** again).

Because we insert a **NOP** into the delay slot, the effective penalty of the taken branch is 3 cycles. Thus, the average cycles lost is:  $60\% * (0 \text{ cycle lost}) + 40\% * (1 \text{ cycle lost}) = .4 \text{ cycles lost on average}$

### Problem 8

Answer varies depending on the instruction that was assigned to a student