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The “Software Crisis”

“To put it quite bluntly: as long as there were no machines, programming was no problem at all; when we had a few weak computers, programming became a mild problem, and now we have gigantic computers, programming has become an equally gigantic problem.”

-- E. Dijkstra, 1972 Turing Award Lecture

The First Software Crisis

- Time Frame: ‘60s and ‘70s
- Problem: Assembly Language Programming
  - Computers could handle larger more complex programs
- Needed to get Abstraction and Portability without losing Performance
How Did We Solve the First Software Crisis?

- High-level languages for von-Neumann machines
  - FORTRAN and C
- Provided “common machine language” for uniprocessors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single flow of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single memory image</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differences:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Register File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Second Software Crisis

- Time Frame: '80s and '90s
- Problem: Inability to build and maintain complex and robust applications requiring multi-million lines of code developed by hundreds of programmers
  - Computers could handle larger more complex programs
- Needed to get Composability, Malleability and Maintainability
  - High-performance was not an issue → left for Moore’s Law
How Did We Solve the Second Software Crisis?

- Object Oriented Programming
  - C++, C# and Java

- Also...
  - Better tools
    - Component libraries, Purify
  - Better software engineering methodology
    - Design patterns, specification, testing, code reviews

---

Today:
Programmers are Oblivious to Processors

- Solid boundary between Hardware and Software

- Programmers don’t have to know anything about the processor
  - High level languages abstract away the processors
    - Ex: Java bytecode is machine independent
  - Moore’s law does not require the programmers to know anything about the processors to get good speedups

- Programs are oblivious of the processor → work on all processors
  - A program written in ’70 using C still works and is much faster today

- This abstraction provides a lot of freedom for the programmers
The Origins of a Third Crisis

- Time Frame: 2005 to 20??
- Problem: Sequential performance is left behind by Moore's law
- Needed continuous and reasonable performance improvements
  - to support new features
  - to support larger datasets
- While sustaining portability, malleability and maintainability without unduly increasing complexity faced by the programmer
  → critical to keep-up with the current rate of evolution in software

March to multicore

- Silicon feature size
Moore's Law: # of transistors per chip will double every N months
N = 12, then 18, and now around 24

The March to Multicore: Uniprocessor Performance (SPECint)
The March to Multicore: Uniprocessor Performance (SPECint)

- General-purpose uniprocessors have stopped historic performance scaling
  - Power consumption ➔ Thermal limits
  - Wire delays
  - DRAM access latency
  - Diminishing returns of more instruction-level parallelism
### Power Efficiency (watts/spec)

![Graph showing power efficiency over time](image)

### Range of a Wire in One Clock Cycle

- 400 mm$^2$ Die
- From the SIA Roadmap

![Graph showing range of wires](image)
DRAM Access Latency

- Access times are a speed of light issue
- Memory technology is also changing
  - SRAM are getting harder to scale
  - DRAM is no longer cheapest cost/bit
- Power efficiency is an issue here as well

Diminishing Returns

- The ’80s: Superscalar expansion
  - 50% per year improvement in performance
  - Transistors applied to implicit parallelism
    - pipeline processor (10 CPI --> 1 CPI)
- The ’90s: The Era of Diminishing Returns
  - Squeaking out the last implicit parallelism
    - 2-way to 6-way issue, out-of-order issue, branch prediction
    - 1 CPI --> 0.5 CPI
  - performance below expectations
  - projects delayed & canceled
- The ’00s: The Beginning of the Multicore Era
  - The shift to Explicit Parallelism
Uniprocessors essentially extinct
Multicores are here

Multicores are Here
Programming Multicores

The Dilbert Approach

I hired all of you because the project will take 300 man days to complete.

There are 300 of you, so I want you to finish by five o’clock and clean out your desks. You’re all fired.

If it takes more than one meeting to manage a project, I lose interest.
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CS758: Multicore Programming

- Pthreads
- OpenMP
- Cilk++
- Threaded Building Blocks (TBB)
- Serialization Sets
- MapReduce
- Transactional Memory
CS758: Requirements and Outcomes

● Requirements
  ■ Substantial programming experience (C/C++)
  ■ At least one 700-level course in either architecture, programming languages, or operating systems
    - Some architecture background (at least CS552)
  ■ Instructor's consent

● Outcomes
  ■ Know fundamental concepts of parallel programming (both hardware and software)
  ■ Understand issues of parallel performance
  ■ Hands-on experience with several multicore platforms and programming models
  ■ Significant parallel programming project

Course operation

● See web site