CS 640 Introduction to Computer Networks

Lecture28

Today's lecture

- Network security
  - Encryption Algorithms
  - Authentication Protocols
  - Message Integrity Protocols
  - Key distribution
  - Example: SSH

Why do we care about Security?

- "Toto… I have a feeling we're not in Kansas anymore." Dorothy, *The Wizard of Oz*
- "The art of war teaches us to rely not on the likelihood of the enemy's not coming, but on our own readiness to receive him; not on the chance of his not attacking, but rather on the fact that we have made our position unassailable." *The Art of War*, Sun Tzu
- There **are** bad guys out there who can easily take advantage of you.
- Reference: *Cryptography and Network Security, Principles and Practice*, William Stallings, Prentice Hall

Overview

- Security services in networks
  - Privacy: preventing unauthorized release of information
  - Authentication: verifying identity of the remote participant
  - Integrity: making sure message has not been altered



- Cryptography algorithms – building blocks for security
  - Privacy/Authentication
    - Secret key (e.g., Data Encryption Standard (DES))
    - Public key (e.g., Rivest, Shamir and Adleman (RSA))
  - Integrity
    - Message digest/hash (e.g., Message Digest version 5 (MD5))

Issues in Security

- Threat models
  - How are bad guys trying to do bad things to you?
- Key distribution
  - How do folks get their keys?
- Implementation and verification
  - How can we be sure systems are secure?
- Non-goal: details of crypto algorithms
  - We are not going to focus on proving anything about crypto algorithms
    - See CS642

Crypto 101

- Cryptographic algorithms determine how to generate encoded text (ciphertext) from plaintext using keys (string of bits)
  - Can only be decrypted by key holders
- Algorithms
  - Published and stable
  - Keys must be kept secret
  - Keys cannot be deduced
  - Large keys make breaking code VERY hard
  - Computational efficiency

Secret Key (DES)



• Approach: Make algorithm so complicated that none of the original structure of plaintext exists in ciphertext

- Encrypt 64 bit blocks of plaintext with 64 bit key (56 bits + 8 bit parity)
- 16 rounds



- Each Round



- L,R = 32 bit halves of 64 bit block
- K = 48 bits of 64 bit key
- F = combiner function
- + = XOR

- Encryption steps are the same as decryption
- Repeat for larger messages (cipher block chaining)
  - IV = initialization vector = random number generated by sender



Public Key (RSA)



- One of the coolest algorithms ever!
- Encryption
  - *ciphertext* = $c = m^e \bmod n$ *(<e, n> = public key)*
- Decryption
  - *Message* = $m = c^d \bmod n$ *(<d, n> = private key)*
- M < n
  - Larger messages treated as concatenation of multiple n sized blocks

RSA contd.

- Choose two large prime numbers p and q (each 256 bits)
- Multiply p and q together to get n
- Choose the encryption key e, such that e and $(p - 1) \times (q - 1)$ are relatively prime.
- Two numbers are relatively prime if they have no common factor greater than one
- Compute decryption key d such that

  $$d = e^{-1} \bmod ((p - 1) \times (q - 1))$$
- Construct public key as (e, n)
- Construct public key as (d, n)
- Discard (do not disclose) original primes p and q

RSA contd.

- See example in book for applying RSA
  - Many others as well
- Usage
  - for privacy encrypt with recipient's *public* key and he decrypts with *private* key
  - for authentication encrypt with your *private* key and the recipient decrypts with your *public* key
- Security based on premise that factoring is hard
  - The bigger the key the harder it is to factor
  - The bigger the key is more computationally expensive it is to encrypt/decrypt

Message Digest

- Cryptographic checksum
  - a fixed length sequence of bits which is used to protect the receiver from accidental changes to the message; a cryptographic checksum protects the receiver from malicious changes to the message.
- One-way function
  - given a cryptographic checksum for a message, it is virtually impossible to figure out what message produced that checksum; it is not computationally feasible to find two messages that hash to the same cryptographic checksum.
- Relevance
  - if you are given a checksum for a message and you are able to compute exactly the same checksum for that message, then it is highly likely this message produced the checksum you were given.

Today's lecture

- Network security
  - Encryption Algorithms
  - Authentication Protocols
  - Message Integrity Protocols
  - Key distribution
  - Example: SSH

Authentication Protocols

- Three-way handshake (uses secret key - eg. password)
  - E(m,k) = encrypt message *m* with key *k;* C/SHK = client/server handshake key; x, y = random numbers; SK = session key



- Trusted third party (Kerberos)
  - A and B share secret keys (K_A, K_B) with trusted third party S
  - A,B =ID's; T = timestamp; L = lifetime, K = session key



- Public key authentication (using eg. RSA)



Today's lecture

- Network security
  - Encryption Algorithms
  - Authentication Protocols
  - Message Integrity Protocols
  - Key distribution
  - Example: SSH

Message Integrity Protocols

- Digital signature using RSA
  - special case of a message integrity where the code can only have been generated by one participant
  - compute signature with private key and verify with public key
- Keyed MD5 (uses MD5 and RSA)
  - sender: m + MD5(m + k) + E(k, *private*) where k = random number
  - receiver
    - recovers random key using the sender's public key
    - applies MD5 to the concatenation of this random key message
- MD5 with RSA signature
  - sender: m + E(MD5(m), *private*)
  - receiver
    - decrypts signature with sender's public key
    - compares result with MD5 checksum sent with message

Today's lecture

- Network security
  - Encryption Algorithms
  - Authentication Protocols
  - Message Integrity Protocols
  - Key distribution
  - Example: SSH

Key Distribution – a first step

- How can we be sure a key belongs to the entity that purports to own it?
- Solution = certificates
  - special type of digitally signed document:
    "I certify that the public key in this document belongs to the entity named in this document, signed X."
  - X is the name of the entity doing the certification
  - Only useful to the entity which knows the public key for X
  - Certificates themselves do not solve key distribution problem but they are a first step
- Certified Authority (CA)
  - administrative entity that issues certificates
  - useful only to someone that already holds the CA's public key
  - can trust more than one CA

Key Distribution (cont)

- Chain of Trust
  - if *X* certifies that a certain public key belongs to *Y*, and *Y* certifies that another public key belongs to *Z*, then there exists a chain of certificates from *X* to *Z*
  - someone that wants to verify *Z*'s public key has to know *X*'s public key and follow the chain
  - X.509 is a standard for certificates
- Certificate Revocation List
  - Means for removing certificates
  - Periodically updated by CA

Key Distribution (cont.)

- PGP (Pretty Good Privacy) provides email encryption and authentication
- Uses "web of trust" instead of "chain of trust"
  - You assign various levels of trust to public keys (e.g. if you got the key when you met face to face you trust it a lot)
  - People certify others' public keys
  - You trust a public key if it has enough "chains of trust"
    - The more disjoint paths in the trust graph the better
    - The shorter the paths the better
    - The more you trust the heads of the paths the better

Today's lecture

- Network security
  - Encryption Algorithms
  - Authentication Protocols
  - Message Integrity Protocols
  - Key distribution
  - Example: SSH

Secure Shell (SSH) Overview

- SSH is a **secure** remote virtual terminal application
  - Provides encrypted communication over an insecure network
    - Assumes eavesdroppers can hear *all* communications between hosts
    - Provides different methods of authentication
    - Encrypts data exchanged between hosts
  - Intended to replace insecure programs such as telnet, rsh, etc.
  - Includes capability to securely transfer file
    - SCP
  - Can forward X11 connections and TCP ports securely
- Very popular and widely used
  - Not invulnerable!

SSH authentication

- Client authenticates server
  - The client caches the public keys of all servers it talks to
    - User can add new keys to the cache
    - Otherwise the user is warned when first connecting to a given server
- Server authenticates client
  - Through user's password
  - Public RSA key the user puts ahead of time on the server
  - Other, riskier methods
- At connection setup server and client agree on a session key used to encrypt communication
  - Many algorithms allowed (IDEA, Blowfish, Triple DES, etc.)

SSH in Practice

- Host public/private key is generated when SSH is installed
  - Public key must be in ~/.ssh/known_hosts on remote systems
- *ssh-keygen* command is used to generate users public/private keys
  - Public key copied to ~/.ssh/authorized_keys on remote systems
  - Each private key in ~/.ssh/identity requires a pass phrase when used
    - *Ssh-agent* eliminates need for repeated typing of pass phrase
- Password authentication is vulnerable to guessing attacks
  - Server logs all unsuccessful login attempts
- X11 and port forwarding enable encrypted pipe through the Internet
  - Can be used to securely access insecure application eg. SMTP
  - Can be used to circumvent firewalls