

CS 536 — Spring 2016

Programming Assignment 3 CSX Parser

Due: Tuesday, March 22, 2016

Not accepted after Tuesday, March 29, 2016

You are to write a Java CUP parser specification to implement a CSX parser. A grammar that defines CSX's syntax appears below. You should examine the grammar carefully to learn the structure of CSX constructs. In most cases, structures are very similar to those of Java and C++. Note that at this stage you need not understand exactly what each construct *does*, but rather just what each construct *looks like*.

The CSX grammar listed below encodes the fact that the unary `!` and type cast operators have the highest precedence. The `*` and `/` operators have the next highest precedence. The `+` and `-` operators have the third highest precedence. The relational operators (`==`, `!=`, `<`, `<=`, `>=` and `>`) have the fourth highest precedence. The boolean operators (`&&` and `||`) have the lowest precedence. Thus `!A+B*C==3 || D!=F` is equivalent to the following fully-parenthesized expression: `(((!A)+(B*C))==3) || (D!=F)`. All binary operators are left-associative, except the relational operators which do not associate at all (i.e., `A==B==C` is illegal). The unary operators are (of course) right-associative. Be sure that your parser properly reflects these precedence and associativity rules. Note that the increment and decrement operators (`++` and `--`) are **not** part of expressions. Rather, they are only used at the statement level.

program	→	class id { memberdecls }
memberdecls	→	fielddecl memberdecls
		methoddecls
fielddecls	→	fielddecl fielddecls
		λ
methoddecls	→	methoddecl methoddecls
		λ
optionalSemi	→	;
		λ
methoddecl	→	void id () { fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
		void id (argdecls) { fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
		type id () { fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
		type id (argdecls) { fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
argdecls	→	argdecl , argdecls
		argdecl
argdecl	→	type id
		type id []
fielddecl	→	type id ;
		type id = expr ;
		type id [intlit] ;
		const id = expr ;

stmts	→	stmt stmts
		λ
stmt	→	if (expr) stmt
		if (expr) stmt else stmt
		while (expr) stmt
		id : while (expr) stmt
		name = expr ;
		name ++ ;
		name -- ;
		read (readlist) ;
		print (printlist) ;
		id () ;
		id (args) ;
		return ;
		return expr ;
		break id ;
		continue id ;
		{ fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
type	→	int
		char
		bool
args	→	expr , args
		expr
readlist	→	name , readlist
		name
printlist	→	expr , printlist
		expr
expr	→	expr term
		expr && term
		term
term	→	factor < factor
		factor > factor
		factor <= factor
		factor >= factor
		factor == factor
		factor != factor
		factor
factor	→	factor + pri
		factor - pri
		pri
pri	→	pri * unary
		pri / unary
		unary
unary	→	! unary
		(type) unary
		unit
unit	→	name
		id ()
		id (args)
		intlit

```

|    charlit
|    strlit
|    true
|    false
|    (  expr  )
name → id
|    id [ expr ]

```

CSX Grammar

Using Java CUP to Build a Parser

You will use *Java CUP*, a Java-based parser generator, to build your CSX parser. You'll have to rewrite the CSX grammar into the format required by Java CUP. This format is defined in "CUP User's Manual," available in the "Useful Programming Tools" section of the class home-page. A sample CUP specification corresponding to *CSX lite* (a small subset of CSX) is at www.cs.wisc.edu/~fischer/cs536.s16/course/proj3/startup/java/lite.cup. The file `lite.cup` is also included in the Eclipse archive for project 3.

Once you've rewritten the CSX grammar we've provided and entered it into a file (say `csx.cup`), you can test whether the grammar can be parsed by a CUP-generated parser. The build file for project 3 contains a target *Cup* that runs Java CUP on file `lite.cup`. (You can edit `build.xml` to make target *Cup* process a Java CUP file other than `lite.cup`.) Running

```
ant Cup
```

will initiate execution of Java CUP.

Alternatively, at the command line level you can enter

```
java java_cup.Main < csx.cup
```

Using the grammar we've provided, Java CUP will generate a message

```
*** Shift/Reduce conflict found in state #XX
```

where XX is a number that depends on the exact structure of the grammar you enter. This message indicates that the grammar we've provided is almost, but not quite, in a form acceptable to CUP. This is a common occurrence. Most context-free grammars used to define programming languages can be handled by CUP, sometimes after minor modification.

The difficulty in this grammar is the well-known "dangling else" problem. That is, given the statement

```
if (a) if (b) a=true; else b=true;
```

does the `else` statement belong to the outer or inner `if`? The grammar we've provided allows either association. The *correct* association is to match the `else` part with the nearest unmatched `if`. You will have to modify the grammar we've provided to enforce this "nearest match" rule.

Initially, you may remove the `if-then` production, keeping the `if-then-else` production. This will temporarily solve the shift/reduce conflict, allowing you to build and test a working parser.

At some point you will need to add back the `if-then` production. You can solve the resulting shift/reduce conflict in either of two ways. The problem is that the `then`-part of an `if-then-else` should **not** be allowed to generate an `if-then` statement. That is, using the above example, if we start with

```
if (a) stmt else b=true;
```

we have the `else`-part controlled by the value of variable `a`. But if `stmt` then generates

```
if (b) a=true;
```

then it appears that variable `b` controls execution of the `else`-part. So we must rewrite the grammar so that `stmt` in the `then`-part of an `if-then-else` can never generate anything that ends with an `if-then` statement.

Alternatively, Java CUP allows us to use a grammar with a shift/reduce conflict if we properly ask it to (see section 3 of the Java CUP manual (`expect` option)). A shift operation takes precedence over a reduce operation, which (if done carefully) can correctly solve the dangling `else` problem.

You may rewrite the CSX grammar in any way you wish, adding or changing productions and nonterminals. You **can't** change the CSX language itself (i.e., the sequences of tokens considered valid).

Once your grammar is in the right format and generates no error messages, Java CUP will create a file `parser.java` that contains the parser it has generated. It will also create a file `sym.java` that contains the token codes the parser is expecting. Use `sym.java` with JLex in generating your scanner to guarantee that both the scanner and parser use the same token codes.

The generated parser, named `parse`, is a member of class `parser`. It will call `Scanner.next_token()` to get tokens. Class `Scanner` (provided by us) creates a `Yylex` object (a JLex scanner) and calls `yylex()` as necessary to provide tokens. Be sure to call `Scanner.init(in)` prior to parsing with `in`, the `FileInputStream` you wish to scan from.

If there is a syntax error during parsing, `parse()` will throw a `SyntaxErrorException`; be sure to catch it. It will also call `syntax_error(token)` to print an error message. We provide a simple implementation of `syntax_error` in `lite.cup` (the Java CUP parser specification for CSX-lite). You may improve it if you wish (perhaps to print the offending token). You should test your parser on a variety of simple inputs, both legal and illegal, to verify that your parser is operating correctly.

Generating Abstract Syntax Trees

So far your parser reads input tokens and determines whether they form a syntactically correct program. You now must extend your parser so that it builds an abstract syntax tree (AST). The AST will be used by the type checker and code generator to complete compilation of a CSX program.

Abstract syntax tree nodes are defined as Java classes, with each particular kind of AST node corresponding to a particular class. Thus the AST node for an assignment statement corresponds to the class `asgNode`. The classes comprising AST nodes are not independent. All of them are direct or indirect subclasses of the following:

```
abstract class ASTNode {
    public int    linenum;
    public int    colnum;

    ASTNode(){linenum=-1;colnum=-1;}
    ASTNode(int l,int c){linenum=l;colnum=c;}

    boolean    isNull(){return false;}; // Is this node null?

    abstract void accept(Visitor v,int indent); // Defined in sub-classes
};
```

`ASTNode` is the base class from which all other classes for AST nodes are created. `ASTNode` is what is termed an *abstract superclass*. This means objects of this class are never created. Rather the definition serves to define the fields and methods shared by all subclasses.

`ASTNode` contains two instance variables: `linenum` and `colnum`. They represent the line and column numbers of the tokens from which the AST node was built. Thus for `asgNode`, the AST node for assignment statements, `linenum` and `colnum` would correspond to the position of the assignment's target variable, since that's where the assignment statement begins.

`ASTNode` also has two constructors that set `linenum` and `colnum`. These constructors are called by constructors of subclasses to set these two fields (to either explicit or default values).

The method `isNull` is used to determine if a particular AST node is "null"; that is, if it corresponds to λ . Only special "null nodes" will define their `isNull` function to return true; other AST nodes will inherit the definition in `ASTNode`.

The abstract method `accept` will be defined in each concrete `ASTNode` subclass. It acts as a "traffic cop" dispatching methods organized using the *Visitor pattern* (see section 7.7.2 of the class text).

An example of an AST node that we will build as a CSX program is parsed is:

```
class classNode extends ASTNode {
    public final identNode      className;
    public final memberDeclsNode members;

    classNode(identNode id, memberDeclsNode m,
              int line,int col){ ... }
    void accept(Visitor u,int indent) { u.visit(this,indent); }
};
```

`classNode` corresponds to the start symbol of all CSX programs, `program`. `classNode` is a subclass of `ASTNode`, so it inherits all of `ASTNode`'s fields and members. It contains a constructor, as all AST nodes will. This constructor sets the fields of the class. It also calls `ASTNode`'s constructor to set `linenum` and `colnum`. Method `accept` when called with a visitor class (a set of translation or analysis methods organized on a per-AST node basis) will visit the method in class `u` defined for AST class `classNode` (since `this` is a reference to it). `classNode` corresponds to a non- λ construct, so it is content to inherit and use `ASTNode`'s definition of `isNull`.

`classNode` contains two fields, defined as `public final`. These correspond to the two subtrees a `classNode` will contain: the name of the class (an identifier), and the declarations (fields and methods) within the class. The type declarations tell us *precisely* the kind of subtrees that are permitted. Thus if we tried to assign a subtree corresponding to an integer literal to `className`, we'd get a Java type error, because the AST node corresponding to integer literals (`intLitNode`) is different than the type `className` expects (which is `identNode`). The fields will not change once they are set, so they are made `final`.

We can now see why we've created so many different classes for AST nodes. Each different kind of node has its own class, and it is wrong to assign a class corresponding to one kind of AST node to a field expecting a reference to a different kind of AST node.

We list below (in Table 1) all the AST classes that we use. For each class, we list the field names in that class and the type of each field. This type will usually be a reference to a particular AST class object. In some cases a field may reference a special kind of AST node, a "null node," that corresponds to λ . That is, if a subtree is empty, we'll use a null node to represent that fact. For example, in a CSX class, field declarations are optional. If a class chooses to have no fields, the `fields` field in `memberDeclsNode` will point to a `nullFieldDeclsNode`. As you

might expect, null nodes have no internal fields. They simply serve as placeholders so that all subtrees that are expected are always present. Without null nodes, you'd have to routinely check if an AST reference is null before you use it, which is tedious and error-prone.

Some AST nodes are always leaves (e.g., `identNode`); others have one or more subtrees. Thus the `asgNode` has two subtrees, one for the name being assigned to (`target`) and the other for the expression being assigned (`source`).

The AST nodes `identNode`, `intLitNode`, `charLitNode` and `strLitNode` do not have subtrees, but do contain the string value, integer value, character value, or string value returned by the scanner (in token objects). Leaf nodes like `trueNode` and `boolTypeNode` have no fields (other than `linenum` and `colnum` inherited from their superclass). This simply means that for such nodes we need no information beyond their class.

Null nodes are used to represent null subtrees. Java's strict type rules make it necessary to create several different classes for null nodes. However, we have made it easy to reference a null node of the correct type. If you want a null node that can be assigned to a field of class `XXX`, then `XXX.NULL` is the null node you want. For example, if you want to assign a null node to a field expecting a `stmtsOption`, then `stmtsOption.NULL` is the value you should use.

It is better to reference a null node than to store a `null` value. If all object references in AST nodes point to *something* then we never have to check a reference before we use it.

Besides `astNode`, we will use a number of other abstract superclasses to build our AST. One of these is `stmtNode`. We will never actually create a node of type `stmtNode`. But then why do we bother to define it?

Sometimes we want to be able to reference one of a number of kinds of AST nodes, but not just any node. Thus in a `stmtNode` we want to reference any kind of AST node corresponding to a statement, but not AST nodes corresponding to non-statements. We solve this problem by declaring a reference to have type `stmtNode`. We make all classes corresponding to statements (like `asgNode` or `whileNode`) *subclasses* of `stmtNode`. The rules of Java say that a reference to a class `S` may be assigned an object of any *subclass* of `S`. This is because a subclass of `S` contains everything `S` does (and perhaps more). Thus an `asgNode` may be assigned to a field expecting a `stmtNode` without error. However an AST node that is not a subclass of `stmtNode` (e.g., `boolTypeNode`) may not be legally assigned to a field expecting a `stmtNode`.

Although the set of class definitions in `ast.java` looks complex, the main benefit of using them is that it becomes very difficult to insert AST nodes in the wrong place. If you try, you'll get an error message complaining that the type of node you are trying to assign to an AST node's field is illegal. In Table 2, below, we list all the abstract AST nodes that appear in `ast.java` and their subclasses.

Java class	Fields Used	Type of Fields	Null node allowed?
<code>classNode</code>	<code>className</code>	<code>identNode</code>	No
	<code>members</code>	<code>memberDeclsNode</code>	No
<code>memberDeclsNode</code>	<code>fields</code>	<code>fieldDeclsOption</code>	Yes
	<code>methods</code>	<code>methodDeclsOption</code>	Yes
<code>fieldDeclsNode</code>	<code>thisField</code>	<code>declNode</code>	No
	<code>moreFields</code>	<code>fieldDeclsOption</code>	Yes

Table 1. Classes Used to Define AST Nodes in CSX

Java class	Fields Used	Type of Fields	Null node allowed?
varDeclNode	varName varType initValue	identNode typeNode exprNodeOption	No No Yes
constDeclNode	constName constValue	identNode exprNode	No No
arrayDeclNode	arrayName elementType arraySize	identNode typeNode intLitNode	No No No
intTypeNode			
boolTypeNode			
charTypeNode			
voidTypeNode			
methodDeclsNode	thisDecl moreDecls	methodDeclNode methodDeclsOption	No Yes
methodDeclNode	name args returnType decls stmts	identNode argDeclsOption typeNode fieldDeclsOption stmtsNode	No Yes No Yes No
argDeclsNode	thisDecl moreDecls	argDeclNode argDeclsOption	No Yes
arrayArgDeclNode	argName elementType	identNode typeNode	No No
valArgDeclNode	argName argType	identNode typeNode	No No
stmtsNode	thisStmt moreStmts	stmtNode stmtsOption	No Yes
asgNode	target source	nameNode exprNode	No No
incrementNode	target	nameNode	No
decrementNode	target	nameNode	No
ifThenNode	condition thenPart elsePart	exprNode stmtNode stmtOption	No No Yes
whileNode	label condition loopBody	exprNodeOption exprNode stmtNode	Yes No No

Table 1. Classes Used to Define AST Nodes in CSX

Java class	Fields Used	Type of Fields	Null node allowed?
readNode	targetVar moreReads	nameNode readNodeOption	No Yes
printNode	outputValue morePrints	exprNode printNodeOption	No Yes
callNode	methodName args	identNode argsNodeOption	No Yes
returnNode	returnVal	exprNodeOption	Yes
breakNode	label	identNode	No
continueNode	label	identNode	No
blockNode	decls stmts	fieldDeclsOption stmtsOption	Yes Yes
argsNode	argVal moreArgs	exprNode argsNodeOption	No Yes
strLitNode	strval	String	No
binaryOpNode	leftOperand rightOperand operatorCode	exprNode exprNode int	No No No
unaryOpNode	operand operatorCode	exprNode int	No No
castNode	resultType operand	typeNode exprNode	No No
fctCallNode	methodName methodArgs	identNode argsNodeOption	No Yes
identNode	idname	String	No
nameNode	varName subscriptVal	identNode exprNodeOption	No Yes
intLitNode	intval	int	No
charLitNode	charval	char	No
trueNode	none		
falseNode	none		
null nodes (many kinds)	none		

Table 1. Classes Used to Define AST Nodes in CSX

Building ASTs in Java CUP

We'll need to build ASTs for CSX programs we have parsed. One of the reasons we're using Java CUP to build our parser is that it's easy to build ASTs using CUP. CUP allows us to embed *actions*, in the form of Java code, in the productions CUP parses. When a production containing

Abstract AST Node	Subclasses	Abstract AST Node	Subclasses
argDeclNode	arrayArgDeclNode valArgDeclNode	argDeclsOption	argDeclsNode nullArgDeclsNode
argsNodeOption	argsNode nullArgsNode	declNode	varDeclNode constDeclNode arrayDeclNode
exprNode	binaryOpNode castNode charLitNode falseNode fctCallNode identNode intLitNode nameNode strLitNode trueNode unaryOpNode	stmtNode	asgNode blockNode breakNode callNode continueNode ifThenNode printNodeOption readNodeOption returnNode whileNode incrementNode decrementNode
exprNodeOption	exprNode nullExprNode	fieldDeclsOption	fieldDeclsNode nullFieldDeclsNode
methodDeclsOption	methodDeclsNode nullMethodDeclsNode	printNodeOption	printNode nullPrintNode
readNodeOption	readNode nullReadNode	stmtOption	stmtNode nullStmtNode
stmtsOption	stmtsNode nullStmtsNode	typeNode	boolTypeNode charTypeNode intTypeNode voidTypeNode
typeNodeOption	typeNode nullTypeNode		

Table 2 Abstract Classes Used in AST Nodes and Their Subclasses

an action is matched by `parse()`, the associated action is automatically executed. For example in the following rule (drawn from `lite.cup`)

```

stmt ::= LBRACE:l fielddecls:f stmts:s RBRACE optionalSemi
      {: RESULT=new blockNode(f,s, l.linenum, l.colnum);
      :}

```

the production `stmt → { fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi` is specified. Moreover, whenever this production is matched, the constructor `blockNode` is called (since `blockNode` corresponds to block statements). The constructor for `blockNode` wants four things: ASTs nodes

corresponding to the declarations and statements in the block, and a line and column number to associate with the block. The special suffixes `:l`, `:f` and `:s` represent references (automatically maintained by CUP) to the tokens and ASTs for the `{`, `fielddecls` and `stmts` that have already been parsed. The ASTs have already been built by the time this production is matched. We define the line and column of the block to be the line and column of the leftmost symbol in the block, which is the `{`. Since, `l` references the token corresponding to `{`, `l.linenum` represents the line number already stored for the `{`.

After `blockNode` builds a new AST node for the block and links in its subtrees, the result is assigned to `RESULT`. `RESULT` is a special symbol, maintained by CUP, that represents the left-hand side non-terminal (`stmt`). As productions are matched, AST subtrees are built and merged into progressively larger trees. Finally, when the first production (corresponding to an entire program) is matched, the root of the complete AST can be returned by the parser. The bookkeeping required to maintain AST pointers as productions are matched is automatically done by CUP, using the `RESULT` and `:name` notation.

The objects referenced for each terminal and non-terminal symbol in the grammar are defined using `terminal` and `non terminal` directives. The lines

```
terminal CSXIdentifierToken    IDENTIFIER;
terminal CSXToken SEMI, LPAREN, RPAREN, ASG, LBRACE, RBRACE;
```

tell Java CUP that the tokens for `;`, `(`, `)`, etc. will all be instances of class `CSXToken`, while the `IDENTIFIER` token will be an instance of class `CSXIdentifierToken`. The lines

```
non terminal csxLiteNode      prog;
non terminal stmtsOption      stmts;
```

say that the nonterminal `prog` will reference class `csxLiteNode`, while the nonterminal `stmts` will reference `stmtsOption`.

The member function `parse()`, which is the CUP-generated parser, returns an object of type `Symbol`. For successful parses, this will be the start symbol (`program`) of the derivation. The `value` field of the returned `Symbol` will contain the AST corresponding to `program`.

Unparsing

For grading, testing and debugging purposes, it is necessary to display the abstract syntax tree your parser creates. A convenient way to do this is to create a collection of “unparsing methods,” one for each kind of AST node. The natural place to locate these methods is within AST node classes. But this approach is problematic. Many components of our compiler operate by traversing the AST. If each compiler phase places its methods within AST classes, the classes soon become large and unreadable, cluttered with methods for many different analyses and translations.

An alternative is to use the “visitor pattern” (see section 7.7.2 of the class text). All the methods needed to implement a given compiler phase (like unparsing) are placed in a single class that is derived from class `Visitor`. Hence we put all unparsing methods (one for each kind of AST node) in class `Unparsing`, a subclass of `Visitor`. We start by calling the unparsing method corresponding to the root of the AST (`classNode`) in the `main` method of `P3`. The unparsing method for `classNode` can then call unparsing methods for its subtrees, unparsing their content. This works nicely until we need to unparse a subtree for which we don’t know the exact type of the root. This is common, since we often define the type of a subtree to be an abstract class.

Here the cleverness of the visitor pattern becomes evident. In the `Visitor` class we have a special definition of `visit`, used by all classes (particularly abstract classes) that don't provide their own definition of `visit`:

```
public void visit(ASTNode n,int indent){
    n.accept(this, indent); }
```

This method calls an `accept` method for node `n`, an AST node. Each concrete (non-abstract) AST node has a definition of `accept`. In all cases this definition is the same! It is

```
void accept(Visitor u, int indent){ u.visit(this,indent); }
```

The `accept` in the exact class we want to visit is executed. Thus in the call `u.visit(this,indent)` we execute the `visit` method corresponding to `this`, which has the exact AST node type we want to process.

Each `visit` method in class `Unparsing` prints out the structure of some AST node in conventional (text-oriented) form. (The parameter `indent` is the number of tabs to indent prior to printing the node's structure.) Unparsing methods "pretty print" a construct, adding new lines and tabs as appropriate to create a pleasing and easily-readable listing. For constructs that are forced to begin on a new line (like statements and declarations) you should print a line number at the beginning of the construct's unparsing using the `linenum` value stored in the AST node. Note that the line numbers printed *may not* be consecutive since they correspond to the original input text. Moreover, some parts of a construct that appear on a new line (like the `}` at the end of the class definition) will get a line number that appears "out of order" since the line number stored with an AST node corresponds to where the construct *began*.

Each abstract syntax tree node is associated with a production that can be viewed as a pattern that specifies how a node is to be displayed. For example assume we must unparse an `asgNode`, its unparsing method, in class `Unparsing`, is

```
void visit(asgNode n,int indent){
    System.out.print(n.linenum + ":");
    genIndent(indent);
    this.visit(n.target,0);
    System.out.print(" = ");
    this.visit(n.source,0);
    System.out.println(";");
}
```

An assignment is always be printed on a new line, so we first print out the line number (using the node's `linenum` value) and indent using the `indent` parameter. We then call `this.visit(n.target,0)`. This executes the `visit` method in class `Unparsing` for `n.target`, which is a `nameNode`. This call prints the target variable, without indenting. Next we print `'='`, and then call `this.visit(n.source,0)` to print the source expression, without indenting. Finally, we print `';'`.

To unparses `intLitNodes` we print `intval`. For `strLitNodes` we print `strval` (which is the full string representation, with quotes and escapes). For `charLitNodes` we print `charval` as a quoted character in fully escaped form. For `identNodes` we use `idname` which is the text of the identifier.

Abstract syntax trees for expressions contain no parentheses since the tree structure encodes how operands are grouped. When expressions are unparsed, explicit parentheses should be added to guarantee that expressions are properly interpreted. Hence `A+B*C` would be unparsed as `(A+(B*C))`. (Fancier unparsers that only print necessary parentheses are a bit harder to write. An unparses that prints parentheses only when really necessary will get extra credit.)

What You Must Do

This project step is not nearly as hard as it looks, because you have CUP to help you build your parser. Still, it helps a lot to see an example of all the pieces you'll need to complete. We've created a small subset of CSX, called **CSX-lite**, that's defined by the following productions:

program	→	{ fielddecls stmts }
fielddecls	→	fielddecl fielddecls
		λ
fielddecl	→	type id ;
type	→	int
		bool
stmts	→	stmt stmts
		λ
stmt	→	id = expr ;
		if (expr) stmt ;
		{ fielddecls stmts } optionalSemi
expr	→	expr + unit
		expr - unit
		expr == unit
		expr != unit
unit	→	(expr)
		intlit
		id
optionalSemi	→	;
		λ

CSX-lite Grammar

This subset contains only simple variable declarations. The only statements are assignment, conditional (if statements) and blocks. Expressions involve only +, -, ==, and !=, as well as identifiers and integer literals. Complete CUP specifications, parsers, AST builders and unparsers for CSX-lite may be found at the class web page (Programming Assignments section) as an Eclipse archive file (`cs 536 project 3.zip`). The material is also available in a folder (Java Code) at the same web page.

You should look at what we've provided to make sure you understand how each step of the project works for CSX-lite. Basically, ASTs are built using calls to constructors as illustrated in `lite.cup`. Once an individual production is matched by the parser, a constructor for the corresponding AST node is called. You should substitute your scanner from project 2, by replacing `lite.jlex` with your `csx.jlex` file. (Be sure to update the `build.xml` file with the name of the new JLex file.)

Unparsing functions, one for each AST node that is built, are in `Unparsing.java`. We've created prototypes for each unparser you'll need. Replace implementations that warn of "not implemented yet" with appropriate unparsing actions.

Once you're clear on what's going on, add a single simple feature like a variable declaration or a while loop. This involves first adding the appropriate productions to the CUP specification. Build the parser and verify that you get no syntax errors when you parse source files containing the new construct. Next, add constructor actions to your CUP specification to build ASTs for the construct you've added. Then complete unparsing methods for the nodes you've built. Now you can verify the ASTs you built are correct by looking at the unparsing you generate. To aid in test-

ing, we've added a new target called `test1` in `build.xml`. If you use this target, your source files will be recompiled as necessary, and then you will be prompted to enter the name of a test file. In the simpler form (the default) a box requesting a file name will appear. A more complex version creates a "file chooser" box that lists available files and allows you to click on the file you want to use. To activate this version, change the constant `SIMPLE_GUI` (in source file `ArgsProcessor.java`) from `true` to `false`.

After you have added a few constructs, you should have a good understanding of all the steps involved. Then you can incrementally add the complete set of CSX productions to your CUP specification, eventually creating a complete CSX parser and unparser.

Error Handling

In the case of syntax errors CUP will call `syntax_error()` to print an error message and then throw a `SyntaxErrorException`, indicating abnormal termination. The caller of your parser should catch this exception, which indicates that because of errors no AST could be built.

CUP does provide a simple error recovery mechanism (using "error" markers). This is described in §5 of the CUP manual. If you wish, you may experiment with syntactic error recovery *after* your parser is fully operational.

What to Hand In

As input, your parser will take a text file on the command line, which will be passed to the scanner to read and build tokens for the parser (if no file name is found on the command line, a GUI will prompt you to enter one). You should test your parser on syntactically valid and invalid programs. For invalid programs, your error messages should be clear and meaningful. For valid programs, you should show a *readable* unparsed listing of the abstract syntax tree that is created.

Create a folder (directory) and name it using your first and last name (e.g., `CharlesFischer`). Copy into this folder a `README` file, a `build.xml` file and all source files necessary to build an executable version of your program (`.java` source files, a `csx.jlex` file and a `csx.cup` file). Do not hand in any `.class` files. Name the class that contains your main `P3.java`. Upload this handin folder to the `cs 536 project 3 Dropbox` folder in `learn@uw` (<https://learnuw.wisc.edu>). You may compress your handin folder into a single file using zip if you wish. Partners should submit only one solution. The other partner should submit only a `README` file identifying the partnership.

If you wish to claim extra credit, *clearly* state (in the `README` file) what you've added and include examples of its operation.