Parameter Binding

Almost all programming languages have some notion of binding an actual parameter (provided at the point of call) to a formal parameter (used in the body of a subprogram).

There are many different, and inequivalent, methods of parameter binding. Exactly which is used depends upon the programming language in question.

Parameter Binding Modes include:

- Value: The formal parameter represents a local variable initialized to the value of the corresponding actual parameter.
• Result: The formal parameter represents a local variable. Its final value, at the point of return, is copied into the corresponding actual parameter.

• Value/Result: A combination of the value and results modes. The formal parameter is a local variable initialized to the value of the corresponding actual parameter. The formal’s final value, at the point of return, is copied into the corresponding actual parameter.

• Reference: The formal parameter is a pointer to the corresponding actual parameter. All references to the formal parameter indirectly access the corresponding actual parameter through the pointer.
• **Name:** The formal parameter represents a block of code (sometimes called a thunk) that is evaluated to obtain the value or address of the corresponding actual parameter. Each reference to the formal parameter causes the thunk to be reevaluated.

• **Readonly (sometimes called Const):** Only reads of the formal parameter are allowed. Either a copy of the actual parameter’s value, or its address, may be used.
What Parameter Modes do Programming Languages Use?

- **C**: Value mode except for arrays which pass a pointer to the start of the array.

- **C++**: Allows reference as well as value modes. E.g.,
  \[ \text{int f(int a, int} \& \ b) \]

- **C#**: Allows result (out) as well as reference and value modes. E.g.,
  \[ \text{int g(int a, out int b)} \]

- **Java**: Scalar types (\text{int, float, char, etc.}) are passed by value; objects are passed by reference (references to objects are passed by value).

- **Fortran**: Reference (even for constants!)

- **Ada**: Value/result, reference, and readonly are used.
Example

```c
void p(value int a,
       reference int b,
       name int c) {
    a=1; b=2; print(c)
}
int i=3, j=3, k[10][10];
p(i,j,k[i][j]);
```

What element of \( k \) is printed?

- The assignment to \( a \) does not affect \( i \), since \( a \) is a value parameter.
- The assignment to \( b \) does affect \( j \), since \( b \) is a reference parameter.
- \( c \) is a name parameter, so it is evaluated whenever it is used. In the print statement \( k[i][j] \) is printed. At that point \( i=3 \) and \( j=2 \), so \( k[3][2] \) is printed.
**Why are there so Many Different Parameter Modes?**

Parameter modes reflect different views on how parameters are to be accessed, as well as different degrees of efficiency in accessing and using parameters.

- **Call by value** protects the actual parameter value. No matter what the subprogram does, the parameter can’t be changed.

- **Call by reference** allows immediate updates to the actual parameter.

- **Call by readonly** protects the actual parameter and emphasizes the “constant” nature of the formal parameter.
• Call by value/result allows actual parameters to change, but treats a call as a single step (assign parameter values, execute the subprogram’s body, update parameter values).

• Call by name delays evaluation of an actual parameter until it is actually needed (which may be never).
Call by Name

Call by name is a special kind of parameter passing mode. It allows some calls to complete that otherwise would fail. Consider

\[ f(i, j/0) \]

Normally, when \( j/0 \) is evaluated, a divide fault terminates execution. If \( j/0 \) is passed by name, the division is delayed until the parameter is needed, which may be never.

Call by name also allows programmers to create some interesting solutions to hard programming problems.

Consider the conditional expression found in C, C++, and Java:

\[ (\text{cond} \ ? \ \text{value1} : \ \text{value2}) \]
What if we want to implement this as a function call:

```java
condExpr(cond, value1, value2) {
    if (cond)
        return value1;
    else return value2;
}
```

With most parameter passing modes this implementation won’t work! (Why?)

But if value1 and value2 are passed by name, the implementation is correct.
Call by Name and Lazy Evaluation

Call by name has much of the flavor of lazy evaluation. With lazy evaluation, you don’t compute a value but rather a suspension—a function that will provide a value when called.

This can be useful when we need to control how much of a computation is actually performed.

Consider an infinite list of integers. Mathematically it is represented as

1, 2, 3, ...

How do we compute a data structure that represents an infinite list?
The obvious computation

\[
\text{infList}(\text{int start}) \{ \\
\quad \text{return list}(\text{start}, \\
\quad \quad \text{infList}(\text{start+1})); \\
\}
\]
doesn’t work. (Why?)

A less obvious implementation, using suspensions, does work:

\[
\text{infList}(\text{int start}) \{ \\
\quad \text{return list}(\text{start}, \\
\quad \quad \text{function}() \{ \\
\quad \quad \quad \text{return infList}(\text{start+1}); \\
\quad \quad \}); \\
\}
\]

Now, whenever we are given an infinite list, we get two things: the first integer in the list and a suspension function. When called, this function will give you the rest of the infinite list (again, one more
value and another suspension function).

The whole list is there, but only as much as you care to access is actually computed.