

*Continuations* provide a novel way to suspend and “re-execute” computations.

## 2. ML (“*Meta Language*”)

Strong, compile-time type checking.

Types are determined by *inference* rather than declaration.

Naturally polymorphic (one function declaration can be used with many different types).

Pattern-directed programming (you define patterns that are automatically matched during a call).

Typed exceptions are provided.  
Abstract data types, with  
constructors, are included.

3. Prolog (*Programming in Logic*)  
Programs are Facts and Rules.  
Programmers are concerned  
with definition, not execution.  
Execution order is  
automatically determined.

## 4. Pizza

Extends a popular Object-oriented language, Java, to include

- Parametric polymorphism (similar to C++'s templates).
- First-class functional objects.
- Algebraic data types, including patterns.

## 5. C#

Microsoft's answer to Java. In most ways it is very similar to Java, with some C++ concepts reintroduced and some useful additions.

- Events and delegates are included to handle asynchronous actions (like keyboard or mouse actions).
- Properties allow user-defined read and write actions for fields.
- Indexers allow objects other than arrays to be indexed.
- Collection classes may be directly enumerated:  
**foreach (int i in array) ...**
- Structs and classes co-exist and may be inter-converted (boxed and unboxed).
- Enumerations, operator overloading and rectangular arrays are provided.
- Reference, out and variable-length parameter lists are allowed.

## 6. Java 1.5 (Tiger Java, Java 5.0)

Extends current definition of Java to include:

- Parametric polymorphism (collection types may be parameterized).
- Enhanced loop iterators.
- Automatic boxing and unboxing of wrapper classes.
- Typesafe enumerations.
- Static imports (**`out.println`** rather than **`System.out.println`**).
- Variable argument methods.
- Formatted output using **`printf`**:  
**`out.printf("Ans = %3d", a+b);`**

## 7. Python

A simple, efficient scripting language that quickly builds new programs out of existing applications and libraries.

It cleanly includes objects.

It scales nicely into larger applications.

# Evolution of Programming Languages

*In the beginning, ...*

programs were written in absolute machine code—a sequence of bits that encode machine instructions.

Example:

```
34020005  
0000000c  
3c011001  
ac220000
```

This form of programming is

- Very detailed
- Very tedious
- Very error-prone
- Very machine specific

# Symbolic Assemblers

Allow use of symbols for operation codes and labels.

Example:

```
li      $v0, 5
syscall
sw      $v0, a
```

Far more readable, but still very detailed, tedious and machine-specific.

Types are machine types.

Control structures are conditional branches.

Subprograms are blocks of code called via a “subroutine branch” instruction.

All labels are global.



# Fortran (*Formula Translator*)

Example:

```
do 10 i=1,100  
10 a(i)=0
```

Developed in the mid-50s.

A major step forward:

- Programming became more “problem oriented” and less “machine oriented.”
- Notions of control structures (ifs and do loops) were introduced.
- Subprograms, calls, and parameters were made available.
- Notions of machine independence were introduced.
- Has evolved into many new variants, including Fortran 77, Fortran 90 and HPF (High Performance Fortran).

# Cobol (Common *Business Oriented Language*)

## Example:

```
multiply i by 3 giving j.  
move j to k.  
write line1 after advancing  
1 lines.
```

Developed in the early 60s.

The first widely-standardized programming language.

Once dominant in the business world; still important.

Wordy in structure; designed for non-scientific users.

Raised the issue of who should program and how important readability and maintainability are.

# Algol 60 (*Algorithmic Language*)

## Example:

```
real procedure cheb(x,n);
value x,n;
real x; integer n;
cheb :=
    if n = 0 then 1
    else if n = 1 then x
    else 2 × x ×
        cheb(x,n-1) - cheb(x,n-2);
```

Developed about 1960.

A direct precursor of Pascal, C, C++ and Java.

Introduced many ideas now in wide use:

- Blocks with local declarations and scopes.
- Nested declarations and control structures.

- Parameter passing
- Automatic recursion.

*But,*

- I/O wasn't standardized.
- IBM promoted Fortran and PL/I.

# Lisp (*List Processing Language*)

Example:

```
((lambda (x) (* x x)) 10)
```

Developed in the early 60s.

A radical departure from earlier programming languages.

Programs and data are represented in a *uniform* list format.

Types are a property of data values, *not* variables or parameters.

A program can build and run new functions as it executes.

Data values were not fixed in size.

Memory management was automatic.

*A formal semantics* was developed to define precisely what a program means.

# Simula 67 (*Simulation Algol*)

## Example:

```
Class Rectangle (Width, Height);  
Real Width, Height;  
Boolean Procedure IsSquare;  
    IsSquare := Width=Height;  
End of Rectangle;
```

Developed about 1967.

Introduced the notion of a class (for simulation purposes).

Included *objects*, a garbage collector, and notions of extending a class.

C++ was originally C with classes (as Simula was Algol with classes).

# C and C++

C was developed in the early 70's; C++ in the mid-80s.

These languages have a concise, expressive syntax; they generate high quality code sufficient for performance-critical applications.

C, along with Unix, proved the viability of *platform-independent* languages and applications.

C and C++ allow programmers a great deal of freedom in bending and breaking rules.

Raises the issue of whether one language can span both novice and expert programmers.



Interesting issue—if most statements and expressions are meaningful, can errors be readily detected?

```
if (a=b)
    a=0;
else a = 1;
```

# Java

Developed in the late 90s.

Cleaner object-oriented language than C++.

Introduced notions of dynamic loading of class definitions across the Web.

Much stronger emphasis on secure execution and detection of run-time errors.

Extended notions of platform independence to system independence.