C# is Microsoft’s answer to Java. In most ways it is very similar to Java, with some C++ concepts reintroduced and some useful new features. Similarities to Java include:
- C# is object-based, with all objected descended from class `Object`.
- Objects are created from classes using `new`. All objects are heap-allocated and garbage collection is provided.
- All code is placed within methods which must be defined within classes.
- Almost all Java reserved words have C# equivalents (many are identical).

C# Improves Upon Some Java Features
- Operators as well as methods can be overloaded:
  ```csharp
  class Point {
    int x, y;
    static Point operator + (Point p1, Point p2) {
      return new Point(p1.x+p2.x,
                       p1.y+p2.y);
    }
  }
  ```
- Switch statements may be indexed by string literals.
- In a switch, fall-throughs to the next case are disallowed (if non-empty).
- Goto’s are allowed.
- Virtual methods must be marked.

- Classes have single inheritance.
- C# generates code for a virtual machine to support cross-platform execution.
- Interfaces are provided to capture functionality common to many classes.
- Exceptions are very similar in form to Java’s.
- Instance and static data within an object must be initialized at point of creation.

- Persistent objects (that may be stored across executions) are available.
C# Adds Useful Features

- *Events* and *delegates* are included to handle asynchronous actions (like keyboard or mouse actions).
- *Properties* allow user-defined read and write actions for fields. You can add `get` and `set` methods to the definition of a field. For example,

```csharp
class Customer {
    private string name;
    public string Name {
        get { return name; }
        set { name = value; }
    }
}
Customer c; ...
string s = c.Name;
```

- *Indexers* allow objects other than arrays to be indexed. The `[]` operator is overloadable. This allows you to define the meaning of `obj[123]` or `obj["abc"]` within *any* class definition.
- Collection classes may be directly enumerated:

```csharp
foreach (int i in array) ...
```

- Fields, methods and constructors may be defined within a *struct* as well as a class. Structs are allocated within the stack instead of the heap, and are passed by value. For example:

```csharp
struct Point {
    int x, y;
    void reset () {
        x = 0; y = 0;
    }
}
```

Version 3.0 of C# Adds Additional Features

- Implicitly Typed Local Variables
  (Old form):

```csharp
int n = 5;
string s = "CS 538 rules!";
int[] nums =
    new int[] {1, 2, 3};
```

(New form):

```csharp
var n = 5;
var s = "CS 538 rules!";
var nums =
    new int[] {1, 2, 3};
```
• Lambda Expressions

```csharp
string[] arr = {
    "asdf", "pop", "crazy", "mine"
};
var sorted =
    arr.OrderBy(e => e[e.Length-1]);
//sorted by last char in the string
```

• Object Initializers

(Old form):
```csharp
Contact contact =
    new Contact();
contact.LastName = "Magennis";
contact.DateOfBirth =
    new DateTime(1973,12,09);
```

(New form):
```csharp
Contact contact =
    new Contact {
        LastName = "Magennis",
        DateOfBirth =
            new DateTime(1973,12,09)
    };
```

• Collection Initializers

```csharp
List<int> digits =
    new List<int> { 0, 1, 2,
        3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 };;
List<Contact> contacts =
    new List<Contact> {
        new Contact {
            LastName = "Doherty",
            DOB =
                new DateTime(1989,1,1))},
        new Contact {
            LastName = "Wilcox",
            DOB =
                new DateTime(1987,3,3)}
    };
```

• Anonymous Types

```csharp
var anonType =
    new {
        X = 1, Y = 2
    };
```

• Implicitly Typed Arrays

(Old):
```csharp
int[] a =
    new int[] { 1, 10, 100, 1000 };;
double[] b =
    new double[] { 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 };;
string[] c =
    new string[] { "hello", null, "world" };;
```

(New):
```csharp
var a = new[] { 1, 10, 100, 1000 };
var b = new[] { 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 };
var c = new[] { "hello", null, "world" };
```

• Automatic Properties

(Old):
```csharp
private string _name;
public string Name
    {
        get { return _name; }
        set { _name = value; }
    }
```

(New):
```csharp
public string Name { get; set; }
```

Pizza

Pizza is an extension to Java developed in the late 90s by Odersky and Wadler. Pizza shows that many of the best ideas of functional languages can be incorporated into a "mainstream" language, giving it added power and expressability. Pizza adds to Java:

1. Parametric Polymorphism

Classes can be parameterized with types, allowing the creation of "custom" data types with full compile-time type checking.
2. First-class Functions
   Functions can be passed, returned and stored just like other types.
3. Patterns and Value Constructors
   Classes can be subdivided into a number of value constructors, and patterns can be used to structure the definition of methods.

**Parametric Polymorphism**

Java allows a form of polymorphism by defining *container classes* (lists, stacks, queues, etc.) in terms of values of type `Object`.

For example, to implement a linked list we might use:

```java
class LinkedList {
    Object value;
    LinkedList next;
    Object head() {return value;}
    LinkedList tail(){return next;}
    LinkedList(Object O) {
        value = O; next = null;
    }
    LinkedList(Object O, LinkedList L) {
        value = O; next = L;
    }
}
```

We use class `Object` because any object can be assigned to `Object` (all classes must be a subclass of `Object`).

Using this class, we can create a linked list of any subtype of `Object`.

*But,*

- We can’t guarantee that linked lists are *type homogeneous* (contain only a single type).
- We must unbox `Object` types back into their “real” types when we extract list values.
- We must use wrapper classes like `Integer` rather than `int` (because primitive types like `int` aren’t objects, and aren’t subclass of `Object`).

For example, to use `LinkedList` to build a linked list of `ints` we do the following:

```java
LinkedList L =
    new LinkedList(new Integer(123));
int i =
    ((Integer) L.head()).intValue();
```

This is pretty clumsy code. We’d prefer a mechanism that allows us to create a “custom version” of `LinkedList`, based on the type we want the list to contain.

We can’t just call something like `LinkedList(int)` or `LinkedList(Integer)` because types can’t be passed as parameters.

*Parametric polymorphism* is the solution. Using this mechanism, we *can* use type parameters to
build a “custom version” of a class from a general purpose class.

C++ allows this using its template mechanism. Pizza also allows type parameters.

In both languages, type parameters are enclosed in “angle brackets” (e.g., `LinkedList<T>` passes `T`, a type, to the `LinkedList` class).

In Pizza we have

```java
class LinkedList<T> {
    T value; LinkedList<T> next;
    T head() {return value;}
    LinkedList<T> tail() {
        return next;
    }
    LinkedList(T O) {
        value = O; next = null;
    }
    LinkedList(T O,LinkedList<T> L) {
        value = O; next = L;
    }
}
```

When linked list objects are created (using `new`) no type qualifiers are needed—the type of the constructor’s parameters are used. We can create

```java
LinkedList<int> L1 = new LinkedList(123);
int i = L1.head();
```

```java
LinkedList<String> L2 = new LinkedList("abc");
String s = L2.head();
```

```java
LinkedList<LinkedList<int> > L3 = new LinkedList(L1);
int j = L3.head().head();
```

Bounded Polymorphism

In Pizza we can use interfaces to bound the type parameters a class will accept.

Recall our `Compare` interface:

```java
interface Compare {
    boolean lessThan(Object o1, Object o2);
}
```

We can specify that a parameterized class will only takes types that implement `Compare`:

```java
class LinkedList<T implements Compare> { ... }
```

In fact, we can improve upon how interfaces are defined and used.

Recall that in method `lessThan` we had to use parameters declared as type `Object` to be general enough to match (and accept) any object type. This leads to clumsy casting (with runtime correctness checks) when `lessThan` is implemented for a particular type:

```java
class IntCompare implements Compare {
    public boolean lessThan(Object i1, Object i2) {
        return ((Integer)i1).intValue() < ((Integer)i2).intValue();
    }
}
```
Pizza allows us to parameterize class definitions with type parameters, so why not do the same for interfaces?
In fact, this is just what Pizza does. We now define `Compare` as

```java
interface Compare<T> {
    boolean lessThan(T o1, T o2);
}
```

Now class `LinkedList` is

```java
class LinkedList<T implements Compare<T>> { ... }
```

Given this form of interface definition, no casting (from type `Object`) is needed in classes that implement `Compare`:

```java
class IntCompare implements Compare<Integer> {
    public boolean lessThan(Integer i1, Integer i2) {
        return i1.intValue() < i2.intValue();
    }
}
```

**First-class Functions in Pizza**

In Java, functions are treated as constants that may appear only in classes.
To pass a function as a parameter, you must pass a class that contains that function as a member. For example,

```java
class Fct {
    int f(int i) { return i+1; }
}
class Test {
    static int call(Fct g, int arg) {
        return g.f(arg);
    }
}
```

Changing the value of a function is even nastier. Since you can’t assign to a member function, you have to use subclassing to override an existing definition:

```java
class Fct2 extends Fct {
    int f(int i) { return i+111; }
}
```

Computing new functions during executions is nastier still, as Java doesn’t have any notion of a lambda-term (that builds a new function).

Pizza makes functions first-class, as in ML. You can have function parameters, variables and return values. You can also define new functions within a method.

The notation used to define the type of a function value is `(...)->T`.
This says the function will take the list `(...)` as it arguments and will return `T` as its result.

Thus

```
(int)->int
```
represents the type of a method like

```
int plus1(int i) {return i+1;}
```
The notation used by Java for fixed functions still works. Thus
\[\text{static int } f\text{(int } i\text{)}\{\text{return } 2* i;\}\]
denotes a function constant, \(f\).
The definition
\[\text{static (int)->int } g = f;\]
defines a field of type \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\) named \(g\) that is initialized to the value of \(f\).
The definition
\[\text{static int call((int)->int } f, \text{ int } i)\]
\{\text{return } f(i);\}\]
defines a constant function that takes as parameters a function value of type \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\) and an \text{int} value. It calls the function parameter with the \text{int} parameter and returns the value the function computes.

Pizza also has a notation for anonymous functions (function literals), similar to \text{fn} in ML and \text{lambda} in Scheme. The notation
\[\text{fun } (T_1 \ a_1, \ T_2 \ a_2, \ ...) \rightarrow T_0 \ \{\text{Body}\}\]
defines a nameless function with arguments declared as
\((T_1 \ a_1, \ T_2 \ a_2, \ ...)\) and a result type of \(T_0\). The function's body is computed by executing the block \{\text{Body}\}.
For example,
\[\text{static (int)->int compose(} \text{(int)->int } f, \text{ (int)->int } g){\}
\{\text{return fun (int } i\text{) }\rightarrow \text{ int}\}
\{\text{return f(g(i));}\};\}

defines a method named \text{compose}. It takes as parameters two functions, \(f\) and \(g\), each of type \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\).
The function returns a function as its result. The type of the result is \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\) and its value is the composition of functions \(f\) and \(g\):
\[\text{return } f(g(i));\]
Thus we can now have a call like
\[\text{compose(f1,f2)}(100)\]
which \text{computes} \(f_1(f_2(100))\).

With function parameters, some familiar functions can be readily programmed:
\text{class Map {}
\text{static int[ ] map((int)->int } f, \text{ int[ ] } a){\}
\text{int [ ] ans =}
\text{new int[a.length];}
\text{for (int } i=0; i<a.length; i++) ans[i]=f(a[i]);
\text{return ans;}};\}

The notation used by Java for fixed functions still works. Thus
\[\text{static int } f\text{(int } i\text{)}\{\text{return } 2* i;\}\]
denotes a function constant, \(f\).
The definition
\[\text{static (int)->int } g = f;\]
defines a field of type \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\) named \(g\) that is initialized to the value of \(f\).
The definition
\[\text{static int call((int)->int } f, \text{ int } i)\]
\{\text{return } f(i);\}\]
defines a constant function that takes as parameters a function value of type \((\text{int})\rightarrow\text{int}\) and an \text{int} value. It calls the function parameter with the \text{int} parameter and returns the value the function computes.
And we can make such operations polymorphic by using parametric polymorphism:

```java
class Map<T> {
    private static T dummy;
    Map(T val) {dummy=val;};
    static T[] map((T)->T f, T[] a){
        T[] ans = (T[]) a.clone();
        for (int i=0;i<a.length;i++)
            ans[i]=f(a[i]);
        return ans;
    }
}
```

**Algebraic Data Types**

Pizza also provides “algebraic data types” which allow a type to be defined as a number of cases. This is essentially the pattern-oriented approach we saw in ML. A list is a good example of the utility of algebraic data types. Lists come in two forms, null and non-null, and we must constantly ask which form of list we currently have. With patterns, the need to consider both forms is enforced, leading to a more reliable programming style. In Pizza, patterns are modeled as “cases” and grafted onto the existing switch statement (this formulation is a bit clumsy):

```java
class List {
    case Nil;
    case Cons(char head, List tail);
    int length(){
        switch(this){
            case Nil: return 0;
            case Cons(x, t): return 1 + t.length();
        }
    }
}
```

And guess what! We can use parametric polymorphism along with algebraic data types:

```java
class List<T> {
    case Nil;
    case Cons(T head, List<T> tail);
    int length(){
        switch(this){
            case Nil: return 0;
            case Cons(x, t): return 1 + t.length();
        }
    }
}
```