## **C**#

C# is Microsoft's answer to Java. In most ways it is very similar to Java, with some C++ concepts reintroduced and some useful new features.

Similarities to Java include:

- C# is object-based, with all objected descended from class
   Object.
- Objects are created from classes using new. All objects are heapallocated and garbage collection is provided.
- All code is placed within methods which must be defined within classes.
- Almost all Java reserved words have C# equivalents (many are identical).

- Classes have single inheritance.
- C# generates code for a virtual machine to support cross-platform execution.
- Interfaces are provided to capture functionality common to many classes.
- Exceptions are very similar in form to Java's.
- Instance and static data within an object must be initialized at point of creation.

### C# Improves Upon Some Java Features

 Operators as well as methods can be overloaded:

```
}
```

- Switch statements may be indexed by string literals.
- In a switch, fall-throughs to the next case are disallowed (if nonempty).
- Goto's are allowed.
- Virtual methods must be marked.

 Persistent objects (that may be stored across executions) are available.

# C# Adds Useful FEATURES

- Events and delegates are included to handle asynchronous actions (like keyboard or mouse actions).
- Properties allow user-defined read and write actions for fields. You can add get and set methods to the definition of a field. For example,

```
class Customer {
  private string name;
  public string Name {
   get { return name; }}
}
Customer c; ...
string s = c.Name;
```

 Indexers allow objects other than arrays to be indexed. The [] operator is overloadable. This allows you to define the meaning of

```
obj[123] or obj["abc"] within any class definition.
```

- Collection classes may be directly enumerated: foreach (int i in array) ...
- Fields, methods and constructors may be defined within a *struct* as well as a class. Structs are allocated within the stack instead of the heap, and are passed by value. For example:

```
struct Point {
    int x,y;
    void reset () {
        x=0; y=0; }
}
```

 When an object is needed, a primitive (int, char, etc.) or a struct will be automatically boxed or unboxed without explicit use of a wrapper class (like Integer or Character). Thus if method List.add expects an object, you may write

List.add(123); and 123 will be boxed into an Integer object automatically.

- Enumerations are provided:
   enum Color {Red, Blue, Green};
- Rectangular arrays are provided: int [,] multi = new int[5,5];
- Reference, out and variable-length parameter lists are allowed.
- Pointers may be used in methods marked unsafe.

### VERSION **3.0** of C# Adds Additional Features

 Implicitly Typed Local Variables (Old form):

```
int n = 5;
string s = "CS 538 rules!";
int[] nums =
    new int[] {1, 2, 3};
```

```
(New form):
    var n = 5;
    var s = "CS 538 rules!";
    var nums =
        new int[] {1, 2, 3};
```

```
    Lambda Expressions

  string[] arr =
   { "asdf", "pop", "crazy", "mine" };
  var sorted =
   arr.OrderBy(e => e[e.Length-1]);
 //sorted by last char in the string

    Object Initializers

 (Old form):
   Contact contact =
     new Contact();
   contact.LastName = "Magennis";
   contact.DateOfBirth =
    new DateTime(1973,12,09);
 (New form):
   Contact contact =
    new Contact {
     LastName = "Magennis",
     DateOfBirth =
      new DateTime(1973,12,09)
   };;
```

#### Collection Initializers

```
List<int> digits =
     new List<int> { 0, 1, 2,
       3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 };
   List<Contact> contacts =
    new List<Contact> {
      new Contact {
        LastName = "Doherty",
        DOB =
         newDateTime(1989,1,1)},
      new Contact {
        LastName = "Wilcox",
        DOB =
         new DateTime(1987,3,3)}
   };

    Anonymous Types

   var anonType =
      new \{X = 1, Y = 2\};
```

```
    Implicitly Typed Arrays

 Old:
   int[] a =
    new int[] { 1, 10, 100, 1000 };
   double[] b =
    new double[] { 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 };
   string[] c =
    new string[] { "hello",null, "world"};
 New:
   var a = new[] \{ 1, 10, 100, 1000 \};
   var b = new[] { 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 };
   var c = new[] {"hello", null, "world"};

    Automatic Properties

 Old:
   private string name;
   public string Name
   {
       get { return name; }
       set { name = value; }
   }
 New:
   public string Name { get; set; }
```

#### Pizza

Pizza is an extension to Java developed in the late 90s by Odersky and Wadler.

Pizza shows that many of the best ideas of functional languages can be incorporated into a "mainstream" language, giving it added power and expressability. Pizza adds to Java:

1. Parametric Polymorphism

Classes can be parameterized with types, allowing the creation of "custom" data types with full compile-time type checking. 2. First-class Functions

Functions can be passed, returned and stored just like other types.

3. Patterns and Value Constructors Classes can be subdivided into a number of value constructors, and patterns can be used to structure the definition of methods.

### PARAMETRIC Polymorphism

```
Java allows a form of
polymorphism by defining
container classes (lists, stacks,
queues, etc.) in terms of values of
type Object.
```

For example, to implement a linked list we might use:

```
class LinkedList {
   Object value;
   LinkedList next;
   Object head() {return value;}
   LinkedList tail(){return next;}
   LinkedList(Object O) {
     value = 0; next = null;}
   LinkedList(Object 0,
        LinkedList L){
     value = 0; next = L;}
}
```

We use class **Object** because any object can be assigned to **Object** (all classes must be a subclass of **Object**).

Using this class, we can create a linked list of any subtype of **Object**.

But,

- We can't guarantee that linked lists are *type homogeneous* (contain only a single type).
- We must unbox **Object** types back into their "real" types when we extract list values.
- We must use wrapper classes like **Integer** rather than **int** (because primitive types like **int** aren't objects, and aren't subclass of **Object**).

For example, to use **LinkedList** to build a linked list of **int**s we do the following:

LinkedList L =

new LinkedList(new Integer(123));
int i =

((Integer) L.head()).intValue();
This is pretty clumsy code. We'd
prefer a mechanism that allows us
to create a "custom version" of
LinkedList, based on the type
we want the list to contain.

We can't just call something like

LinkedList(int) Of

**LinkedList(Integer)** because types can't be passed as parameters.

*Parametric polymorphism* is the solution. Using this mechanism, we *can* use type parameters to

```
build a "custom version" of a class
from a general purpose class.
C++ allows this using its template
mechanism. Pizza also allows
type parameters.
In both languages, type
parameters are enclosed in "angle
brackets" (e.g., LinkedList<T>
passes r, a type, to the
LinkedList class).
In Pizza we have
class LinkedList<T> {
  T value; LinkedList<T> next;
 T head() {return value;}
  LinkedList<T> tail() {
     return next;}
  LinkedList(T O) {
    value = 0; next = null;}
  LinkedList(T O,LinkedList<T> L)
     \{value = 0; next = L;\}
}
```

```
When linked list objects are
created (using new) no type
qualifiers are needed—the type of
the constructor's parameters are
used. We can create
LinkedList<int> L1 =
    new LinkedList(123);
int i = L1.head();
LinkedList<String> L2 =
    new LinkedList("abc");
String s = L2.head();
LinkedList<LinkedList<int> > L3 =
    new LinkedList(L1);
int j = L3.head().head();
```

## **Bounded Polymorphism**

Compare> { ... }

In fact, we can improve upon how interfaces are defined and used. Recall that in method **lessThan** we had to use parameters declared as type **Object** to be general enough to match (and accept) any object type. This leads to clumsy casting (with runtime correctness checks) when **lessThan** is implemented for a particular type:

}

#### Pizza allows us to parameterize class definitions with type parameters, so why not do the same for interfaces? In fact, this is just what Pizza does. We now define **compare** as interface Compare<T> { boolean lessThan(T o1, T o2); } Now class LinkedList is class LinkedList<T implements Compare<T> > { $\ldots$ } Given this form of interface definition, no casting (from type **Object**) is needed in classes that implement **Compare**: class IntCompare implements Compare<Integer> { public boolean lessThan(Integer i1, Integer i2){ return i1.intValue() <</pre> i2.intValue();} }

## First-class Functions in Pizza

In Java, functions are treated as constants that may appear only in classes.

To pass a function as a parameter, you must pass a class that contains that function as a member. For example,

```
class Fct {
```

int f(int i) { return i+1; }
}

```
class Test {
```

```
static int call(Fct g, int arg)
{ return g.f(arg); }
```

}

#### Changing the value of a function is even nastier. Since you can't assign to a member function, you have to use subclassing to override an existing definition:

```
class Fct2 extends Fct {
```

int f(int i) { return i+111; }
}

Computing new functions during executions is nastier still, as Java doesn't have any notion of a lambda-term (that builds a new function). Pizza makes functions first-class, as in ML. You can have function parameters, variables and return values. You can also define new functions within a method.

The notation used to define the type of a function value is

 $(T_1, T_2, \ldots) - > T_0$ 

This says the function will take the list  $(T_1, T_2, \ldots)$  as it arguments and will return  $T_0$  as its result.

Thus

(int)->int

represents the type of a method like

int plus1(int i) {return i+1;}

The notation used by Java for fixed functions still works. Thus static int f(int i){return 2\*i;}; denotes a function constant, f. The definition

static (int)->int g = f;

defines a field of type (int)->int named g that is initialized to the value of f.

The definition

```
{return f(i);};
```

defines a constant function that takes as parameters a function value of type (int)->int and an int value. It calls the function parameter with the int parameter and returns the value the function computes. Pizza also has a notation for anonymous functions (function literals), similar to fn in ML and lambda in Scheme. The notation fun ( $T_1 a_1$ ,  $T_2 a_2$ , ...) ->  $T_0$ 

```
{Body}
```

defines a nameless function with arguments declared as

( $\mathbf{T}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_1, \ \mathbf{T}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_2, \ \ldots$ ) and a result type of  $\mathbf{T}_0$ . The function's body is computed by executing the block {**Body**}.

For example,

```
static (int)->int compose(
  (int)->int f, (int)->int g){
  return fun (int i) -> int
    {return f(g(i));};
```

}

defines a method named compose. It takes as parameters two functions, f and g, each of type (int)->int.

The function returns a function as its result. The type of the result is (int)->int and its value is the composition of functions f and g:

return f(g(i));

Thus we can now have a call like

compose(f1, f2)(100)

which computes f1(f2(100)).

```
With function parameters, some
familiar functions can be readily
programmed:
class Map {
 static int[] map((int)->int f,
                   int[] a) {
   int [] ans =
       new int[a.length];
   for (int i=0;i<a.length;i++)</pre>
       ans[i]=f(a[i]);
   return ans;
 };
}
```

```
And we can make such operations
polymorphic by using parametric
polymorphism:
class Map<T> {
  private static T dummy;
  Map(T val) {dummy=val;};
  static T[] map((T)->T f,
                   T[] a \}
     T [] ans = (T[]) a.clone();
     for (int i=0;i<a.length;i++)</pre>
         ans[i]=f(a[i]);
     return ans;
  };
}
```

# Algebraic Data Types

Pizza also provides "algebraic data types" which allow a type to be defined as a number of cases. This is essentially the patternoriented approach we saw in ML. A list is a good example of the utility of algebraic data types. Lists come in two forms, null and non-null, and we must constantly ask which form of list we currently have. With patterns, the need to consider both forms is enforced, leading to a more reliable programming style. In Pizza, patterns are modeled as "cases" and grafted onto the

existing switch statement (this formulation is a bit clumsy):

```
class List {
  case Nil;
  case Cons(char head,
            List tail);
  int length() {
    switch(this) {
      case Nil: return 0;
      case Cons(char x, List t):
          return 1 + t.length();
    }
  }
}
```

```
And guess what! We can use
parametric polymorphism along
with algebraic data types:
class List<T> {
  case Nil;
  case Cons(T head,
            List<T> tail);
  int length() {
    switch(this) {
     case Nil: return 0;
     case Cons(T x, List<T> t):
        return 1 + t.length();
    }
  }
}
```