Reading Assignment

• Read Section 15.4 (Code Scheduling) of Chapter 15.

• Read Gibbon's and Muchnick's paper, “Efficient Instruction Scheduling for a Pipelined Architecture.”

• Read Kerns and Eggers' paper, “Balanced Scheduling: Instruction Scheduling When Memory Latency is Uncertain.”
Code Scheduling

Modern processors are pipelined. They give the impression that all instructions take unit time by executing instructions in stages (steps), as if on an assembly line. Certain instructions though (loads, floating point divides and square roots, delayed branches) take more than one cycle to execute. These instructions may stall (halt the processor) or require a nop (null operation) to execute properly.

A Code Scheduling phase may be needed in a compiler to avoid stalls or eliminate nops.
**Scheduling Expression DAGs**

After generating code for a DAG or basic block, we may wish to schedule (reorder) instructions to reduce or eliminate stalls.

A *Postpass Scheduler* is run after code selection and register allocation.

Postpass schedulers are very general and flexible, since they can be used with code generated by any compiler with any degree of optimization.

*But*, since they can't modify register allocations, they can't always avoid stalls.
Dependency DAGs

Obviously, not all reorderings of generated instructions are acceptable.

Computation of a register value must precede all uses of that value.
A store of a value must precede all loads that might read that value.

A Dependency Dag reflects essential ordering constraints among instructions:
- Nodes are Instructions to be scheduled.
- An arc from Instruction i to Instruction j indicates that i must be executed before j may be executed.
Kinds of Dependencies

We can identify several kinds of dependencies:

- True Dependence:
  An operation that uses a value has a true dependence (also called a flow dependence) upon an earlier operation that computes the value. For example:
  
  ```
  mov  1, %l2
  add  %l2, 1, %l2
  ```

- Anti Dependence:
  An operation that writes a value has an anti dependence upon an earlier operation that reads the value. For example:
  
  ```
  add  %l2, 1, %l0
  mov  1, %l2
  ```
• **Output Dependence:**

An operation that writes a value has a output dependence upon an earlier operation that writes the value. For example:

```
mov 1, %l2
mov 2, %l2
```

Collectively, true, anti and output dependencies are called data dependencies. Data dependencies constrain the order in which instructions may be executed.
Example

Consider the code that might be generated for:

\[ a = ((a+b) + (c*d)) + ((c+d) * d); \]

We'll assume 4 registers, the minimum possible, and we'll reuse already loaded values.

Assume a 1 cycle stall between a load and use of the loaded value and a 2 cycle stall between a multiplication and first use of the product.
1. ld [a], %r1
2. ld [b], %r2
3. add %r1,%r2,%r1
4. ld [c], %r2
5. ld [d], %r3
6. smul %r2,%r3,%r4
7. add %r1,%r4,%r1
8. add %r2,%r3,%r2
9. smul %r2,%r3,%r2
10. add %r1,%r2,%r1
11. st %r1,[a]  (6 Stalls Total)
Scheduling Requires Topological Traversal

Any valid code schedule is a Topological Sort of the dependency dag.

To create a code schedule you
(1) Pick any root of the Dag.
(2) Remove it from the Dag and schedule it.
(3) Iterate!

Choosing a Minimum Delay schedule is NP-Complete:

Dynamically Scheduled (Out of Order) Processors

To avoid stalls, some processors can execute instructions Out of Program Order.

If an instruction can't execute because a previous instruction it depends upon hasn't completed yet, the instruction can be "held" and a successor instruction executed instead.

When needed predecessors have completed, the held instruction is released for execution.
Example
1. ld [a], %r1
2. ld [b], %r2
5. ld [d], %r3
3. add %r1,%r2,%r1
4. ld [c], %r2
6. smul %r2,%r3,%r4
8. add %r2,%r3,%r2
9. smul %r2,%r3,%r2
7. add %r1,%r4,%r1
10. add %r1,%r2,%r1
11. st %r1,[a]  (2 Stalls Total)
Limitations of Dynamic Scheduling

1. Extra processor complexity.
2. Register renaming (to avoid False Dependencies) may be needed.
3. Identifying instructions to be delayed takes time.
4. Instructions “late” in the program can’t be started earlier.
Gibbons & Muchnick Postpass
Code Scheduler

1. If there is only one root, schedule it.

2. If there is more than one root, choose that root that won't be stalled by instructions already scheduled.

3. If more than one root can be scheduled without stalling, consider the following rules (in order);
   (a) Does this root stall any of its successors? (If so, schedule it immediately.)
   (b) How many new roots are exposed if this node is scheduled? (More is better.)
(c) Which root has the longest weighted path to a leaf (using instruction delays as the weight). (The “critical path” in the DAG gets priority.)
Example

1. ld [a], %r1 //Longest path
2. ld [b], %r2 //Exposes a root
5. ld [d], %r3 //Not delayed
3. add %r1,%r2,%r1 //Only choice
4. ld [c], %r2 //Only choice
6. smul %r2,%r3,%r4 //Stalls succ.
8. add %r2,%r3,%r2 //Not delayed
9. smul %r2,%r3,%r2 //Not delayed
7. add %r1,%r4,%r1 //Only choice
10. add %r1,%r2,%r1 //Only choice
11. st %r1,[a] (2 Stalls Total)
False Dependencies

We still have delays in the schedule that was produced because of "false dependencies."

Both \( b \) and \( c \) are loaded into \( %r2 \). This limits the ability to move the load of \( c \) prior to any use of \( %r2 \) that uses \( b \).

To improve our schedule we can use a processor that renames registers or allocate additional registers to remove false dependencies.
Register Renaming

Many out of order processors automatically rename distinct uses of the same architectural register to distinct internal registers.

Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ld } [a], &\%r1 \\
\text{ld } [b], &\%r2 \\
\text{add } &\%r1,\%r2,\%r1 \\
\text{ld } [c], &\%r2
\end{align*}
\]

is executed as if it were

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ld } [a], &\%r1 \\
\text{ld } [b], &\%r2 \\
\text{add } &\%r1,\%r2,\%r3 \\
\text{ld } [c], &\%r4
\end{align*}
\]

Now the final load can be executed prior to the add, eliminating a stall.
**Compiler Renaming**

A compiler can also use the idea of renaming to avoid unnecessary stalls. An extra register may be needed (as was the case for scheduling expression trees).

Also, a *round-robin* allocation policy is needed. Registers are reused in a *cyclic* fashion, so that the most recently freed register is reused last, not first.
Example

1. ld [a], %r1
2. ld [b], %r2
3. add %r1,%r2,%r1
4. ld [c], %r3
5. ld [d], %r4
6. smul %r3,%r4,%r5
7. add %r1,%r5,%r2
8. add %r3,%r4,%r3
9. smul %r3,%r4,%r3
10. add %r2,%r3,%r2
11. st %r2,[a]   (6 Stalls Total)

Stall  Stall*
Stall  Stall*2  Stall*2
(6 Stalls Total)
After Scheduling:

4. `ld [c], %r3` //Longest path
5. `ld [d], %r4` //Exposes a root
1. `ld [a], %r1` //Stalls succ.
2. `ld [b], %r2` //Exposes a root
6. `smul %r3,%r4,%r5` //Stalls succ.
8. `add %r3,%r4,%r3` //Longest path
9. `smul %r3,%r4,%r3` //Stalls succ.
3. `add %r1,%r2,%r1` //Only choice
7. `add %r1,%r5,%r2` //Only choice
10. `add %r2,%r3,%r2` //Only choice
11. `st %r2,[a]` (0 Stalls Total)