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Abstract

Background: Bronchiolitis is the leading cause of hospitalization in children under 2 years of age. Each year in the United States, bronchiolitis results in 287,000 emergency department visits, 32%-40% of which end in hospitalization. Frequently, emergency department disposition decisions (to discharge or to hospitalize) are made subjectively because of a lack of evidence and objective criteria for bronchiolitis management, leading to significant practice variation, wasted healthcare use, and suboptimal outcomes. At present, no operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for emergency department patients with bronchiolitis exists. Yet, such a definition is essential for assessing care quality and building a predictive model to guide and standardize disposition decisions. Our prior work provided a framework of such a definition using two concepts, one on safe vs. unsafe discharge and another on necessary vs. unnecessary hospitalization.

Objective: The goal of this study is to determine the two threshold values used in the two concepts, with one value per concept.

Methods: Using Intermountain Healthcare data from 2005-2014, we examined the distributions of several relevant attributes of emergency department visits by children under age two for bronchiolitis. Via a data-driven approach, we determined the two threshold values.

Results: We completed the first operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for emergency department patients with bronchiolitis. Appropriate hospital admissions include actual admissions with exposure to major medical interventions for more than six hours, as well as actual emergency department discharges followed by an emergency department return within 12 hours ending in admission for bronchiolitis. Based on the definition, 0.96% (=221/23,125) of emergency department discharges were deemed unsafe. 14.36% (=432/3,008) of hospital admissions from the emergency department were deemed unnecessary.

Conclusions: Our operational definition can define the prediction target for building a predictive model to guide and improve emergency department disposition decisions for bronchiolitis in the future.
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1. Introduction

Bronchiolitis is inflammation of the bronchioles, the smallest air passages in the lungs, mainly seen in children under age two in response to viral respiratory infection. More than 1/3 of children are diagnosed with bronchiolitis before age two [1]. Bronchiolitis is the leading cause of hospitalization in children under age two and is responsible for 16% of hospitalizations in this age group [2-5]. Each year in the United States, bronchiolitis incurs about 287,000 emergency department (ED) visits [6], 128,000 hospitalizations [2], and US $1.73 billion of total inpatient cost (2009) [2].

Around 32%-40% of ED visits for bronchiolitis end in hospitalization [7-9]. As acknowledged in current clinical guidelines for bronchiolitis [10, 11], ED disposition decisions (to discharge or to hospitalize) are often made subjectively because of a lack of evidence and objective criteria for bronchiolitis management [4, 12]. This causes large practice variation [3, 12-23], wasted healthcare use, increased iatrogenic risk, and suboptimal outcomes due to unnecessary admissions and unsafe discharges [15, 21, 24]. About 10% of infants with bronchiolitis experience adverse events during hospitalization [25]. At present, no operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for ED patients with bronchiolitis exists [26]. Yet, such an operational definition is essential for assessing ED care quality and building a predictive model to guide and standardize disposition decisions [26].

Our prior work [26] provided a framework of such an operational definition using two concepts, one on safe vs. unsafe discharge and another on necessary vs. unnecessary hospitalization (Figure 1). Each concept uses a threshold value to be determined. Appropriate admissions include both necessary admissions (actual admissions that are necessary) and unsafe discharges. Appropriate ED discharges include both safe discharges and unnecessary admissions. This study aims to determine...
the two threshold values in a data-driven way, complete the first operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for ED patients with bronchiolitis, and report the corresponding percentages of unnecessary admissions and unsafe discharges.

2. Methods

Study design and ethics approval

This study performed secondary analysis of retrospective data. The institutional review boards of University of Washington Medicine, University of Utah, and Intermountain Healthcare reviewed and approved this study, and waived the need for informed consent for all patients.

Patient population

Our patient cohort included children under age two who had ED encounters at 22 Intermountain Healthcare hospitals for bronchiolitis in 2005-2014. Intermountain Healthcare is the largest healthcare system in Utah, with 185 clinics and 22 hospitals providing ~85% of pediatric care given in Utah [27]. We used an approach similar to those by Flaherman et al. [28-30] to identify as many ED encounters for bronchiolitis as possible.

Several ICD-9-CM (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification) discharge diagnosis codes, rather than only the discharge diagnosis code of bronchiolitis, can be possibly assigned to an ED encounter for bronchiolitis. Using the methods used in prior studies [28-30], we included patients with an ED or hospital ICD-9-CM primary discharge diagnosis of bronchiolitis or bronchitis (466.x), viral pneumonia (480.x), adenoviral infection (079.0), rhinovirus infection (079.3), respiratory infection due to influenza (487.0, 487.1), respiratory syncytial virus (079.6), H1N1 influenza (488.1, 488.11, 488.12), influenza due to identified avian influenza virus (488, 488.0, 488.01, 488.02), or influenza due to novel influenza A (488.81, 488.82).

We also included all patients with any of the above as a non-primary diagnosis, as long as the ICD-9-CM primary diagnosis is any of the following: apnea (786.03), shortness of breath (786.05), tachypnea (786.06), wheezing (786.07), other respiratory abnormalities (786.09), cough (786.2), fever (780.60, 780.61), acute nasopharyngitis (460), acute upper respiratory infections (465.x), other specified viral infection (079.89), urinary tract infection (599.0), pneumonia unspecified organism (486), unspecified viral infection (079.99), volume depletion (276.5x), or respiratory failure (518.81, 518.82).

Data set

We extracted a clinical and administrative data set from Intermountain Healthcare’s enterprise data warehouse. The data set included ED visit and hospitalization information of our patient cohort.

Data analysis

To determine the threshold value used for defining unsafe discharges (Figure 1), we examined the length distribution of the interval between an ED discharge and a return ED visit within two weeks ending in hospitalization for bronchiolitis [31, 32]. In children under age two, bronchiolitis lasting longer than two weeks tends to result from new infection with a differing virus strain instead of persistent infection by the same virus strain [33].

To determine the threshold value used for defining unnecessary admissions (Figure 1), we examined the patients who were hospitalized for ≤12 hours and discharged with no readmission for bronchiolitis within two weeks. These patients tend to have been admitted unnecessarily. We use their median duration of using major medical interventions as a conservative threshold for using major medical interventions in all admissions. As shown in Figure 1, major medical interventions include “supplemental oxygen, intravenous fluids, nasopharyngeal suctioning, cardiovascular support, invasive positive pressure ventilation (mechanical ventilation), non-invasive positive pressure ventilation, chest physiotherapy, inhaled therapy (bronchodilator and mucolytics), and nutritional support (enteral feeding and total parenteral nutrition)” [26]. Every hospital admission with exposure to major medical interventions for no longer than the threshold is deemed unnecessary. During 2005-2012, Intermountain Healthcare iteratively modified its internal guidelines for bronchiolitis management in the ED and hospital several times, with an associated change in the distribution of the duration of using major medical interventions. After the beginning of 2013, significant changes in internal guidelines did not occur. The duration of using major medical interventions became stabilized. To compute the threshold value, we used 2013-2014 data with a stable distribution of the duration of using major medical interventions. Both the duration of hospitalization and duration of using major medical interventions included only time in the hospital after the patient left the ED.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of our patient cohort: children under age two who had ED encounters for bronchiolitis. About 38.20% (=14,292/37,417) of ED visits for bronchiolitis ended in hospitalization.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of our patient cohort.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ED visits (n = 37,417)</th>
<th>ED visits discharged (n = 23,125)</th>
<th>ED visits ending in hospitalization (n = 14,292)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 months</td>
<td>4,769 (12.75%)</td>
<td>1,646 (7.12%)</td>
<td>3,123 (21.85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to &lt;12 months</td>
<td>22,101 (59.07%)</td>
<td>14,569 (63.00%)</td>
<td>7,532 (52.70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to 24 months</td>
<td>10,547 (28.19%)</td>
<td>6,910 (29.88%)</td>
<td>3,637 (25.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21,536 (57.56%)</td>
<td>13,399 (57.94%)</td>
<td>8,137 (56.93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15,881 (42.44%)</td>
<td>9,733 (42.06%)</td>
<td>6,155 (43.07%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska native</td>
<td>458 (1.22%)</td>
<td>295 (1.28%)</td>
<td>163 (1.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>395 (1.06%)</td>
<td>222 (0.96%)</td>
<td>173 (1.21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>1,017 (2.72%)</td>
<td>664 (2.87%)</td>
<td>353 (2.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander</td>
<td>2,209 (5.90%)</td>
<td>1,243 (5.38%)</td>
<td>966 (6.76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>28,510 (76.20%)</td>
<td>17,660 (76.37%)</td>
<td>10,850 (75.92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown or not reported</td>
<td>4,828 (12.90%)</td>
<td>3,041 (13.15%)</td>
<td>1,787 (12.50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>9,011 (24.08%)</td>
<td>5,975 (25.84%)</td>
<td>3,036 (21.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>18,823 (50.31%)</td>
<td>11,278 (48.77%)</td>
<td>7,545 (52.79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown or not reported</td>
<td>9,583 (25.61%)</td>
<td>5,872 (25.39%)</td>
<td>3,711 (25.97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>22,162 (59.23%)</td>
<td>13,052 (56.44%)</td>
<td>9,110 (63.74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>13,448 (35.94%)</td>
<td>8,729 (37.75%)</td>
<td>4,719 (33.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-paid or charity</td>
<td>1,807 (4.82%)</td>
<td>1,344 (5.81%)</td>
<td>463 (3.24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>2,246 (6.00%)</td>
<td>883 (3.82%)</td>
<td>1,363 (9.54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic complex condition [34]</td>
<td>2,040 (5.45%)</td>
<td>365 (1.58%)</td>
<td>1,675 (11.72%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Cumulative length distribution of interval between emergency department discharge and return visit within 2 weeks ending in hospitalization for bronchiolitis.
Figures 2 and 3 show the cumulative distribution of the length in hours of the interval between an ED discharge and a return ED visit within two weeks ending in hospitalization for bronchiolitis. Figure 4 shows the probability density function of the interval length. The probability density function is relatively large until the interval length reaches the cumulative distribution curve’s inflection point at about 10 to 12 hours, and then becomes smaller afterward. The cumulative distribution curve seems to have two inflection points, suggesting three underlying distributions. As indicated by the dotted curve in Figure 4, the three distributions are postulated to represent an early ED return after an inappropriate ED discharge, natural disease progression in a subgroup of appropriate ED discharges, and an even later ED return due to a new viral infection after an appropriate ED discharge, respectively. When selecting the threshold value for defining unsafe discharges (Figure 1), we wanted our choice to capture the majority of unsafe discharges, while avoiding contamination with ED returns not due to unsafe discharges. To help make the choice, we used the probability density function that has a local minimum at the interval length of 10 to 12 hours. We chose 12 hours that fulfilled our selection criteria. Accordingly, 0.96% (=221/23,125) of ED discharges were followed by an ED return within 12 hours resulting in hospital admission for bronchiolitis and are deemed unsafe ED discharges.
In 2013-2014, no major medical intervention was applied in 6.45% (=194/3,008) of cases of hospitalization from the ED for bronchiolitis. In another 7.91% (=238/3,008) of cases, one or more major medical interventions were applied, but the duration of using them was ≤6 hours. Among the patients hospitalized in 2013-2014, 8.31% (=250/3,008) were hospitalized for ≤12 hours and discharged with no readmission for bronchiolitis within two weeks. Figure 5 shows the distribution of the duration in hours of using major medical interventions in these patients. The median duration of using major medical interventions is 6 hours, which we used as the threshold value for defining unnecessary admissions (Figure 1). Accordingly, 14.36% (=432/3,008) of hospital admissions from the ED in 2013-2014 incurred exposure to major medical interventions for no longer than this threshold and were deemed unnecessary.

By filling in the two threshold values in our definition framework (Figure 1) [26], we completed the first operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for ED patients with bronchiolitis. Appropriate hospital admissions include actual admissions with exposure to major medical interventions for more than six hours, as well as actual ED discharges followed by

---

**Figure 4.** Probability density function of interval between emergency department discharge and return visit within 2 weeks ending in hospitalization for bronchiolitis, when the interval length is ≤120 hours.

**Figure 5.** Distribution of duration of using major medical interventions among patients who were hospitalized for ≤12 hours in 2013-2014 and discharged with no readmission for bronchiolitis within 2 weeks.
In the future, it would be desirable to use data from other healthcare systems to validate our operational definition of appropriate hospital admission. Protocols are in place to facilitate brief hospitalizations if oxygen is the only intervention a patient needs in the hospital [30]. Patients hospitalized with bronchiolitis at Intermountain Healthcare are discharged on home oxygen for outpatient management. Those patients are at high elevation (more than 4,000 feet above sea level). This may result in increased incidence of hypoxia. About 46% of children in multiple hospitals within Intermountain Healthcare are at high elevation. The average quality of the ED disposition decisions for bronchiolitis management were completed during 2005-2012, impacting the ED and hospital care of children in multiple hospitals within Intermountain Healthcare. The average quality of the ED disposition decisions for bronchiolitis made at Intermountain Healthcare could be higher than that at some other healthcare systems, particularly if those healthcare systems employ few pediatricians in their EDs.

Limitations
This study has several limitations. One limitation is that the study used data from a single healthcare system, Intermountain Healthcare, and our results may not generalize to other healthcare systems. Notably, most Intermountain Healthcare hospitals are at high elevation (more than 4,000 feet above sea level). This may result in increased incidence of hypoxia. About 46% of patients hospitalized with bronchiolitis at Intermountain Healthcare are discharged on home oxygen for outpatient management. Protocols in place to facilitate brief hospitalizations if oxygen is the only intervention a patient needs in the hospital [30]. In the future, it would be desirable to use data from other healthcare systems to validate our operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for ED patients with bronchiolitis. As indicated by the similarities between our findings and those in previous studies, we do not expect such validation to significantly change our results. Intermountain Healthcare is a large healthcare system with EDs at 22 heterogeneous hospitals spread over a large geographic area, ranging from community metropolitan and rural hospitals attended by general practitioners and family doctors with constrained pediatric resources to tertiary care children’s and general hospitals in urban areas attended by sub-specialists” [26]. Each hospital has a different patient population, geographic location, staff composition, scope of services, and cultural background. This variation provides a realistic situation for finding factors generalizable to other hospitals across the United States.

Another limitation of this study is that Intermountain Healthcare does not have complete clinical and administrative data on all of its patients, although it is an integrated healthcare system. Within two weeks of a visit to an Intermountain Healthcare ED for bronchiolitis, a patient could use a non-Intermountain Healthcare hospital for bronchiolitis again. If this occurred, our data set would miss the information on healthcare use that occurred at the non-Intermountain Healthcare hospital. Including data from non-Intermountain Healthcare hospitals may lead to different results. Nevertheless, we do not expect this to greatly change our results’ accuracy. Intermountain Healthcare provides ~85% of pediatric care given in Utah [27]. Thus, our data set is reasonably, although not 100%, complete in terms of capturing bronchiolitis patients’ use of hospitals at Utah.

A third limitation is that this study does not consider factors such as preference of the patient’s parents, patient transportation availability, and time of day in defining appropriate hospital admission. Many of these factors are often undocumented in patient records. For some hospital admissions from the ED that were deemed unnecessary based on our operational definition of appropriate hospital admission, the original admission decisions could be made due to these factors.
5. Conclusions
We provided the first operational definition of appropriate hospital admission for ED patients with bronchiolitis. Our operational definition can define the prediction target for building a predictive model in the future, with the goal of standardizing and improving ED disposition decisions for bronchiolitis.
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