

# CS 536 Announcements for Monday, February 12, 2024

**Programming Assignment 2** – due Tuesday, February 20

## Last Time

- why regular expressions aren't enough
- CFGs
  - formal definition
  - examples
  - language defined by a CFG

## Today

- Makefiles
- parse trees
- resolving ambiguity
- expression grammars
- list grammars

## Next Time

- syntax-directed translation

## Makefiles

### Basic structure

```
<target>: <dependency list>  
    <command to satisfy target>
```

### Example

```
Example.class: Example.java IO.class  
    javac Example.java  
  
IO.class: IO.java  
    javac IO.java
```

### Make creates an internal **dependency graph**

- a file is rebuilt if one of its dependencies changes

**Variables** – for common configuration values to use throughout your makefile

### Example

```
JC = /s/std/bin/javac  
JFLAGS = -g  
  
Example.class: Example.java IO.class  
    $(JC) $(JFLAGS) Example.java  
  
IO.class: IO.java  
    $(JC) $(JFLAGS) IO.java
```

## Phony targets

- target with no dependencies
- use make to run commands:

## Example

```
clean:
    rm -f *.class
```

## Programming Assignment 2

### Modify:

- base.jlex
- P2.java
- Makefile

### Makefile

```
###
# testing - add more here to run your tester and compare
# its results to expected results
###
test:
    java -cp $(CP) P2
    diff allTokens.in allTokens.out

###
# clean up
###

clean:
    rm -f *~ *.class base.jlex.java

cleantest:
    rm -f allTokens.out
```

### Running the tester

```
royal-12(53)% make test
java -cp ./deps:. P2
3:1 ****ERROR**** ignoring illegal character: a
diff allTokens.in allTokens.out
3d2
< a
make: *** [Makefile:40: test] Error 1
```

## CFG review

formal definition: CFG  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$

CFG generates a string by applying productions until no non-terminals remain

$\Rightarrow^+$  means "derives in 1 or more steps"

language defined by a CFG  $G$

$L(G) = \{ w \mid s \Rightarrow^+ w \}$  where

$s$  = start is the start non-terminal of  $G$ , an

$w$  = sequence consisting of (only) terminal symbols or  $\epsilon$

## Parse trees

= way to visualize a derivation

**To derive a string (of terminal symbols):**

- set root of parse tree to start symbol
- repeat
  - find a leaf non-terminal  $x$
  - find production of the form  $x \rightarrow \alpha$
  - "apply" production: symbols in  $\alpha$  become the children of  $x$
- until there are no more leaf non-terminals

Derived sequence determined from leaves, from left to right

## Parse tree example

### Productions

- 1) prog → BEGIN stmts END
- 2) stmts → stmts SEMICOLON stmt
- 3)       | stmt
- 4) stmt → ID ASSIGN expr
- 5) expr → ID
- 6)       | expr PLUS ID

## Derivation order

### Productions

- 1) prog → BEGIN stmts END
- 2) stmts → stmts SEMICOLON stmt
- 3)       | stmt
- 4) stmt → ID ASSIGN expr
- 5) expr → ID
- 6)       | expr PLUS ID

**Leftmost derivation :**

**Rightmost derivation :**

## Expression Grammar Example

- 1)  $\text{expr} \rightarrow \text{INTLIT}$
- 2)  $\text{expr} \mid \text{expr PLUS expr}$
- 3)  $\text{expr} \mid \text{expr TIMES expr}$
- 4)  $\text{LPAREN expr RPAREN}$

**Derive:  $4 + 7 * 3$**

For grammar  $G$  and string  $w$ ,  $G$  is **ambiguous** if there is

OR

OR

## Grammars for expressions

**Goal:** write a grammar that correctly reflects precedences and associativities

### Precedence

- use different non-terminal for each precedence level
- start by re-writing production for lowest precedence operator first

### Example

- 1)  $\text{expr} \rightarrow \text{INTLIT}$
- 2)  $\text{expr} \mid \text{expr PLUS expr}$
- 3)  $\text{expr} \mid \text{expr TIMES expr}$
- 4)  $\text{expr} \mid \text{LPAREN expr RPAREN}$

## Grammars for expressions (cont.)

What about associativity? Consider  $1 + 2 + 3$

### Definition: recursion in grammars

A grammar is **recursive in non-terminal  $x$**  if  $x \Rightarrow^+ \alpha x \gamma$  for non-empty strings of symbols  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$

A grammar is **left-recursive in non-terminal  $x$**  if  $x \Rightarrow^+ x \gamma$  for non-empty string of symbols  $\gamma$

A grammar is **right-recursive in non-terminal  $x$**  if  $x \Rightarrow^+ \alpha x$  for non-empty string of symbols  $\alpha$

### In expression grammars

for left associativity, use left recursion

for right associativity, use right recursion

### Example

## List grammars

**Example** a list with no separators, e.g., A B C D E F G

### Another ambiguous example

```
stmt → IF cond THEN stmt  
      | IF cond THEN stmt ELSE stmt  
      | ...
```

Given this sequence in this grammar: **if a then if b then s1 else s2**  
How would you derive it?