Announcements

H3 due today
H4 assigned today
P3 assigned today
Midterm is on October 25\textsuperscript{th} in class
  – Let me know THIS WEEK if you can’t make it
Bottom-up parsing algorithms

Cocke–Younger–Kasami algorithm

and

Chomsky Normal Form
Last time

Showed how to use JavaCUP for getting ASTs
But we never saw HOW the parser works
This time

Dip our toe into parsing

– Approaches to Parsing
– CFG transformations
  • Useless non-terminals
  • Chomsky Normal Form: A form of grammar that’s easier to deal with
– CYK: powerful, heavyweight approach to parsing
Approaches to parsing

Top Down / “Goal driven”
   – Start at root of parse tree, grow downward to match the string

Bottom Up / “Data Driven”
   – Start at terminal, generate subtrees until you get to the start

```
Expr
  /\  
Term plus
  /\  /\  
 id id id
```
CYK: A general approach to Parsing
*(Cocke–Younger–Kasami algorithm)*

Operates in $O(n^3)$
Works Bottom-Up
Only takes a grammar in Chomsky Normal Form
  – This will not turn out to be a limitation
Chomsky Normal Form

All rules must be one of two forms:

- \( X \rightarrow t \) (terminal)
- \( X \rightarrow A \ B \)

The only rule allowed to derive epsilon is the start \( S \)
What CNF buys CYK

Fact that non-terminals come in pairs allows you to think of subtree as a subspan of the input.
CYK: Dynamic Programming

\( X \rightarrow t \)

Prods. form the leaves of the parse tree

\( X \rightarrow A \, B \)

Form binary nodes
Running CYK...

Track every viable subtree from leaf to root. Here are all the subspans for a string of 6 terminals.
CYK Example

F → I W
F → I Y
W → L X
X → N R
Y → L R
N → id
N → I Z
Z → C N
I → id
L → ( )
R → ,
C → ,

id ( id , id )
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CYK Example

F → I W
F → I Y
W → L X
X → N R
Y → L R
N → id
N → I Z
Z → C N
I → id
L → ( )
R → ,
C → ,
XY \rightarrow LW
X \rightarrow NR
Y \rightarrow LR
N \rightarrow id
N \rightarrow IZ
Z \rightarrow CN
I \rightarrow id
L \rightarrow (R \rightarrow )
C \rightarrow ,
CYK Example
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id

( id , id )

F → IW

F → IY

W → LX

X → NR

Y → LR

N → id

N → IZ

Z → CN

I → id

L → ( )

R → ,
CYK Example

F → I W
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Cleaning up our grammars

We want to avoid unnecessary work

– Remove *useless* rules
Eliminating Useless Nonterminals

1. If a nonterminal cannot derive a sequence of terminal symbols then it is useless.
2. If a nonterminal cannot be derived from the start symbol, then it is useless.
Eliminate Useless Nonterms

If a nonterminal cannot derive a sequence of terminal symbols, then it is useless.

Mark all terminal symbols
Repeat
  If all symbols on the righthand side of a production are marked
    mark the lefthand side
Until no more non-terminals can be marked.
Example:

S     \rightarrow     X | Y
X     \rightarrow     ()
Y     \rightarrow     (YY)
Eliminate Useless Nonterms

If a nonterminal cannot be derived from the start symbol, then it is useless

Mark the start symbol
Repeat
  If the lefthand side of a production is marked
    mark all righthand non-terminal
Until no more non-terminals can be marked
Example:

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow A B \\
A & \rightarrow + | - | \varepsilon \\
B & \rightarrow \text{digit} | B \text{ digit} \\
C & \rightarrow . B
\end{align*}
\]
Chomsky Normal Form

4 Steps

- Eliminate epsilon rules
- Eliminate unit rules
- Fix productions with terminals on RHS
- Fix productions with > 2 nonterminals on RHS
Eliminate (Most) Epsilon Productions

If a nonterminal $A$ immediately derives epsilon

– Make copies of all rules with $A$ on the RHS and delete all combinations of $A$ in those copies
Example 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>→ id(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>→ ε</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>→ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>→ id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>→ id,N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>→ id(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>→ id( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>→ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>→ id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>→ id,N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 2

\[
\begin{align*}
X & \rightarrow A \times A \ y \ A \\
A & \rightarrow \varepsilon \\
A & \rightarrow z
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
X & \rightarrow A \times A \ y \ A \\
& \quad | \\
& \quad | \\
& \quad | \\
& \quad | \\
A & \rightarrow z
\end{align*}
\]
Eliminate Unit Productions

Productions of the form $A \rightarrow B$ are called unit productions.

Place B anywhere A could have appeared and remove the unit production.
Example 1

\[
\begin{align*}
F & \rightarrow \text{id (A)} \\
F & \rightarrow \text{id ( )} \\
A & \rightarrow N \\
N & \rightarrow \text{id} \\
N & \rightarrow \text{id , N} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Fix RHS Terminals

For productions with Terminals and something else on the RHS

- For each terminal \( t \) add the rule
  \[ X \rightarrow t \]
  Where \( X \) is a new non-terminal
- Replace \( t \) with \( X \) in the original rules
Example

F → id ( N )
F → id ( )
N → id
N → id , N

F → ILN R
F → ILR
N → id
N → ICN
I → id
L → (  
R → )
C → ,
Fix RHS Nonterminals

For productions with > 2 Nonterminals on the RHS

- Replace all but the first nonterminal with a new nonterminal
- Add a rule from the new nonterminal to the replaced nonterminal sequence
- Repeat
Example

F ⟷ I L N R

F ⟷ I W

W ⟷ L N R

F ⟷ I W

W ⟷ L X

X ⟷ N R
Parsing is Tough

CYK parses an arbitrary CFG, but

- $O(n^3)$
- Too slow!

For special class of grammars

- $O(n)$
- Includes LL(1) and LALR(1)
Classes of Grammars

LL(1)
- Scans input from Left-to-right (first L)
- Builds a Leftmost Derivation (second L)
- Can peek (1) token ahead of the token being parsed
- Top-down “predictive parsers”

LALR(1)
- Uses special lookahead procedure (LA)
- Scans input from Left-to-right (second L)
- Rightmost derivation (R)
- Can also peek (1) token ahead

LALR(1) strictly more powerful, much harder to understand
In summary

We talked about how to parse with CYK and Chomsky Normal Form grammars