A database schema $R$ is a set of relations: we will typically use the symbols $R, S, T, \ldots$ to denote relations. The arity of a relation $R$ is the number of attributes in the relation. This is the unnamed perspective of a relational schema, since we do not associate a name with each attribute in the relation. Contrast this to defining a relation as $R(A, B)$, where we can refer to each of the attributes as $R.A$ and $R.B$ respectively.

The attributes in a relation can take values from the same domain $\text{dom}$, which is a countably infinite set. We can also assume that each attribute takes values from a different domain (in this case we would denote the domain as $\text{dom}(A)$), but from a theoretical perspective it almost always suffices to have a single shared domain. A constant is an element of the domain $\text{dom}$.

Let $R$ be a relation of arity $m$. A fact over $R$ is an expression of the form $R(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, where $a_i \in \text{dom}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$. An instance of the relation $R$ is a finite set of facts over $R$. It is important that we define an instance as a set, and not as a bag or multiset ($\text{set semantics vs bag semantics}$). This means that each fact can appear at most once in an instance.

A database instance $I$ over a database schema $R$ is a union of relational instances over the relations $R \in R$. In this case, we denote $R^I$ the instance of relation $R \in R$. Given a database instance $I$, we define the active domain of $I$, denoted $\text{adom}(I)$ as the set of all constants occurring in $I$.

### 1.1 Basics of Conjunctive Queries

Conjunctive queries are the simplest form of queries that can be expressed over a database, but as we will see they have many interesting properties and a deep theory behind them.

There are many ways to define a conjunctive query, and we will do it from a logical perspective first, using Datalog notation. Syntactically, a conjunctive query $q$ (or simply CQ) is an expression of the form

$$ q(x_1, \ldots, x_k) : -R_1(y_1), \ldots, R_n(y_n) \quad (1.1) $$

where $n \geq 0$, $R_i \in R$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $q$ is a fresh relation name. The expressions \( \bar{x}, \bar{y_1}, \ldots, \bar{y_n} \) are called free tuples, and contain either variables or constants. We will typically name the variables $x, y, z, \ldots$, and the constants $a, b, c, \ldots$. There are two syntactic restrictions on how a conjunctive query is formed:

1. The tuples $\bar{y}_i$ must match the arities of the corresponding relation.
2. Every variable in $\vec{x} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle$ must appear in one of $\vec{y}_1, \ldots, \vec{y}_n$.

The expression $q(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ is called the head of the query, and $R_1(\vec{y}_1), \ldots, R_n(\vec{y}_n)$ is called the body of the query. Each expression $R_i(\vec{y}_i)$ is called an atom: notice that the atom is different from a relation, since many atoms can correspond to the same relation! The set of variables in the query is denoted $\text{var}(q)$.

Example 1.1. Below are some examples of conjunctive queries:

- $q_1(x, y) : -R(x, y), S(y, z)$
- $q_2() : -R(x, y), S(y, a), T(x)$
- $q_3(x, y, z) : -R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, x)$
- $q_4(x, b) : -R(x, x), S(x, y)$

When writing CQs in Datalog form, we can also choose to use equality ($=$). For example, we can equivalently write the query $q(x) : -R(x, a)$ as $q(x) : -R(x, y), y = a$. However, we are not allowed to use any other predicate symbols, such as $<, \leq, >, \geq, \neq$.

1.1.1 Semantics

So far we looked at the syntactic definition of a conjunctive query. We now turn our attention to the semantics of CQs. The intuition here is that we will try to match to each variable of the body a value from the domain such that the body is true, and then we can infer a new fact from the head of the query.

Definition 1.2. A valuation (or substitution) $v$ over a set of variables $V$ is a total function $\Gamma$ from $V$ to the domain $\text{dom}$.

For example, for query $q_1$, the function $v$, where $v(x) = a, v(y) = b, v(z) = c$ is a valuation. We extend the valuation to be the identity from $\text{dom}$ to $\text{dom}$, and then extend it naturally to map free tuples to tuples over $\text{dom}$. For example, $v((x, y)) = (v(x), v(y)) = (a, b)$, and $v((x, y, c)) = (v(x), v(y), v(c)) = (a, b, c)$.

We can now formally define the semantics for CQs. Let $I$ be a database instance over the schema $R$. Then, for the conjunctive query $q$, as given in [1,1], the result $q(I)$ of executing query $q$ over the database instance $I$ is:

$$q(I) = \{v(\vec{x}) \mid v \text{ is a valuation over } \text{var}(q) \text{ such that } \forall i = 1, \ldots, n : v(\vec{y}_i) \in R_i^I\}$$

The query $q$ returns a new relational instance over a new schema; the arity of the instance is equal to the arity of the head of $q$.

---

1A total function is a function defined for all possible input values.
Example 1.3. We will execute query \( q_1(x, y) : -R(x, y), S(y, z) \) over the relational instance

\[
I = \{ R(a, a), R(a, b), R(b, c), R(c, a), S(b, c), S(b, b), T(a) \}.
\]

There are two valuations over the set of variables \( \{x, y, z\} \) that will result in an output tuple. The first valuation is \( \nu(x) = a, \nu(y) = b, \nu(z) = c \). Since \( \nu((x, y)) = (a, b) \in R^I \) and \( \nu(y, z) = (b, c) \in S^I \), this valuation will give the output tuple \( \nu(x, y) = (a, b) \). The second valuation is \( \nu(x) = a, \nu(y) = b, \nu(z) = b \); this valuation gives the same output tuple \((a, b)\). There is no other valuation that gives an output tuple. The final result is \( q_1(I) = \{(a, b)\} \).

Exercise 1.4. Evaluate the queries \( q_2, q_3, q_4 \) over the database instance

\[
I = \{ R(a, a), R(a, b), R(b, c), R(c, a), S(b, c), S(b, b), T(a) \}.
\]

In Datalog terminology, the expressions \( R_i(\vec{y}_i) \) are called subgoals. The relations \( R_1, \ldots, R_n \) are called extensional relations, since they are provided as input to the query. The relation \( q \) is called intensional relation, since its content is only given by "intension" or "definition" through the query.

1.1.2 Equivalent Formalisms

In the formalism of relational calculus, the query \( q \) from (1.1) can be written as follows:

\[
\{x_1, \ldots, x_k \mid \exists z_1, \ldots, z_m (R_1(\vec{y}_1) \land \cdots \land R_n(\vec{y}_n))\}
\]

where \( z_1, \ldots, z_m \) are the variables that appear in the body, but not in the head of the query \( q \). Notice that this is a first-order logical formula that consists of only existential quantification, followed by a conjunction of atoms: this is the reason why this class of queries is called conjunctive queries. As an example, \( q_1 \) would be expressed in relational calculus as follows:

\[
\{x, y \mid \exists z (R(x, y) \land S(y, z))\}
\]

The above formalism in relational calculus is equivalent to the Datalog definition of CQs. The other formalism that is equivalent is the class of SPJ queries in relational algebra: these are queries that contain only selections (S) with equality, projections (P) and joins (J). Notice that the relational algebra formalism is procedural, in contrast to the other formalisms that are declarative; in other words, they specify what the result of a query is instead of specifying how to compute it.

Exercise 1.5. Express the queries \( q_1, \ldots, q_4 \) in relational algebra and relational calculus.

In SQL, conjunctive queries correspond to SELECT FROM WHERE queries, where the WHERE conditions contain only equalities.

Example 1.6. To express the query \( q_1(x, y) : -R(x, y), S(y, z) \) in SQL, say that the relational schema is \( R(A, B), S(C, D) \). Then:

```sql
SELECT DISTINCT R.A, R.B
FROM R, S
WHERE R.B = S.C ;
```
1.1.3 More Definitions

**Definition 1.7 (Monotonicity).** A query \( q \) is monotone if for instances \( I \subseteq J \), it holds that \( q(I) \subseteq q(J) \).

An equivalent way of expressing monotonicity is that \( q(I) \subseteq q(I \cup \{t\}) \); in other words, adding a tuple in an instance will never cause the output result of \( q \) to decrease in size.

**Proposition 1.8.** Every Conjunctive Query is monotone.

*Proof.* Consider some tuple \( t \in q(I) \). Then, there exists a valuation \( v \) over \( \text{var}(q) \) such that \( t = v(\vec{x}) \), and for every \( R_i \), we have \( v(\vec{y}_i) \in R^I_{i} \). Since \( I \subseteq J \), we have that \( v(\vec{y}_i) \in R^J_{i} \), and thus \( t \in q(J) \) as well. \( \square \)

The above proposition immediately tells us that there are queries that cannot be expressed as a Conjunctive Query. Indeed, any query that expresses a non-monotone property cannot be written as a CQ. For example, suppose that we have a binary relation \( R \), and we express the following query: *return all tuples of the form \((a,b)\) such that \((b,a)\) is not in \( R \).*

**Definition 1.9 (Satisfiability).** A query \( q \) is satisfiable if there exists an instance \( I \) such that \( q(I) \neq \emptyset \).

**Proposition 1.10.** Every Conjunctive Query is satisfiable.

When the head of a CQ is of the form \( q() \), then we say that \( q \) is a boolean CQ. For example, the query \( q_2 \) is boolean. The answer to a boolean CQ is essentially a yes or no, depending on whether the answer is the set containing a single tuple with no attributes \( \{()\} \), or it is the empty set \( \{\} \) respectively. When the head of the CQ contains all the variables in the body, then we say it is a full CQ; this is equivalent to a query in relational algebra that has no projections (SJ).

1.2 Extensions of Conjunctive Queries

We can extend the class of Conjunctive Queries to obtain classes of queries that are strictly more expressive than CQs.

**CQ\#.** The query class \( CQ\# \) is obtained by adding inequality \((\neq)\) to conjunctive queries. For example, we can now express the following query: *Return the endpoints for paths of length 3 that start and end in different vertices.*

\[
q(x, w) : -R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, w), x \neq w.
\]

The above query cannot be expressed as a standard CQ.

**CQ<.** The class \( CQ< \) is obtained by adding the operators \(<, \leq, >, \geq\) to conjunctive queries. In this case, we also have to assume a total order on the values of the domain \( \text{dom} \). For example, we can
express the following query: “Return the endpoints for paths of length 3 with strictly increasing value of vertices.”

\[ q(x, w) : \neg R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, w), x < y, y < z, z < w. \]

**UCQ.** The class UCQ (Union of Conjunctive Queries) is obtained by adding union to conjunctive queries. A UCQ is a query of the form \( q_1 \cup q_2 \cup \ldots q_m \), where each \( q_i \) is a conjunctive query. For example, the query \( q = q_1 \cup q_2 \), where \( q_1(x, y) = R(x, z), R(z, y) \), and \( q_2(x, y) = R(x, z), R(z, w), R(w, y) \) is a UCQ that returns the endpoints of paths of length 2 or 3. We can also write the above UCQ as two Datalog rules with the same head:

\[ q(x, y) : \neg R(x, z), R(z, y). \]
\[ q(x, y) : \neg R(x, z), R(z, w), R(w, y). \]

The class of UCQs corresponds to the fragment of relational algebra that uses Selection, Projection, Joins and Union (SPJU), and to relational calculus queries that uses \( \exists, \lor, \land \) (so no universal quantifier \( \forall \) or negation).

**Proposition 1.11.** The query languages \( CQ^\neq, CQ^<, UCQ \) are all monotone.

**CQ\^\neg.** The class \( CQ^\neg \) is obtained by adding negation (\( \neg \)) to conjunctive queries. For example, we can now express the following query: return all tuples of the form \((a, b)\) such that \((b, a)\) is not in \(R\).

\[ q(x, y) : \neg R(x, y), \neg R(y, x). \]

When adding negation, we have to be careful to add it in a way. In particular, we have to make sure that every variable that appears in a negated atom also exists in a positive atom: we call such a query safe. For example, the query \( q() : \neg R(x), \neg R(y) \) is not a safe query. A query in \( CQ^\neg \) is not necessarily monotone!