# Chapter 6. Principles of Data Reduction Lecture 22: Sufficiency

## Data reduction

We consider a sample  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ , n > 1, from a population of interest (each  $X_i$  may be a vector and X may not be a random sample, although most of the time we consider a random sample).

Assume the population is indexed by  $\theta$ , an unknown parameter vector.

Let  $\mathscr{X}$  be the range of X

Let x be an observed data set, a realization of X.

- We want to use the information about  $\theta$  contained in *x*.
- The whole x may be hard to interpret, and hence we summarize the information by using a few key features (statistics).
   For example, the sample mean, sample variance, the largest and smallest order statistics.
- Let T(X) be a statistic. For T, if  $x \neq y$  but T(x) = T(y), then x and y provides the same information and can be treated as the same.

UW-Madison (Statistics)

Stat 609 Lecture 22

• *T* partitions  $\mathscr{X}$  into sets

$$A_t = \{x : T(x) = t\}, t \in \mathscr{T} \text{ (the range of } T)$$

All points in  $A_t$  are treated the same if we are interested in T only.

- Thus, *T* provides a data reduction.
- We wish to reduce data as much as we can, but not lose any information about θ (or at least important information).

### Sufficiency

A sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  is a statistic that captures all the information about  $\theta$  contained in the sample.

Formally we have the following definition.

#### Definition 6.2.1 (sufficiency)

A statistic T(X) is sufficient for  $\theta$  if the conditional distribution of X given T(X) = T(x) does not depend on  $\theta$ .

The sufficiency depends on the parameter of interest.

Stat 609 Lecture 22

- If X is discrete, then so is T(X) and sufficiency means that P(X = x | T(X) = T(x)) is known, i.e., it does not depend on any unknown quantity.
- Once we observe x and compute a sufficient statistic T(x), the original data x do not contain any further information concerning  $\theta$  and can be discarded, i.e., T(x) is all we need regarding  $\theta$ .
- If we do need x, we can simulate a sample y from
  P(X = y | T(X) = T(x)) since it is known; the observed y may not be the same as x, but T(x) = T(y).

## Example 6.2.3 (binomial sufficient statistic)

Suppose that  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are iid Bernoullie variables with probability  $\theta$ . The joint pmf is

$$f_{\theta}(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{x_{i}}(1-\theta)^{1-x_{i}} & x_{i}=0,1, i=1,...,n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Consider the statistic  $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ , which is the number of ones in *X*.

UW-Madison (Statistics)

Stat 609 Lecture 22

2015 3/15

To show *T* is sufficient for  $\theta$ , we compute the conditional probability P(X = x | T = t). For t = 0, 1, ..., n, let

$$B_t = \left\{ x = (x_1, ..., x_n) : x_i = 0, 1, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t \right\}.$$

If  $x \notin B_t$ , then P(X = x | T = t) = 0. If  $x \in B_t$ , then

$$P(X=x,T=t)=P(X=x)=f_{\theta}(x)=\theta^{t}(1-\theta)^{n-t}.$$

Also, since  $T \sim binomial(n, p)$ ,

$$P(T=t) = \binom{n}{t} \theta^{t} (1-\theta)^{n-t}$$

Then, for t = 0, 1, ..., n,

$$P(X = x | T = t) = rac{P(X = x, T = t)}{P(T = t)} = rac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} \ x \in B_t$$

is a known pmf (does not depend on  $\theta$ ).

UW-Madison (Statistics)

Hence T(X) is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

For any realization x of X, x is a sequence of n ones and zeros.

Since  $\theta$  is the probability of a one and T is the frequency of ones in x, it has all the information about  $\theta$ .

Given T = t, what is left in the data set x is the redundant information about the positions of t ones, and we can reproduce the data set x if we want by using T = t.

### How to find sufficient statistics?

To verify that a statistic *T* is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by definition, we must verify that for any fixed values of *x*, the conditional distribution X|T(X) = T(x) does not depend on  $\theta$ .

This may not be easy but at least we can try.

But how do we find the form of *T*? By guessing a statistic *T* that might be sufficient and computing the conditional distribution of X|T = t?

For families of populations having pdfs or pmfs, a simple way of finding sufficient statistics is to use the following factorization theorem.

UW-Madison (Statistics)

Stat 609 Lecture 22

### Theorem 6.2.6 (the Factorization Theorem)

Let  $f_{\theta}(x)$  be the joint pdf or pmf of the sample *X*. A statistic *T*(*X*) is sufficient for  $\theta$  iff there are functions *h* (which does not depend on  $\theta$ ) and  $g_{\theta}$  (which depends on  $\theta$ ) on the range of *T* such that

 $f_{\theta}(x) = g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x).$ 

In the binomial example,  $f_{\theta}(x) = g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)$  if we set

$$g_{\theta}(t) = \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t}$$
 and  $h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x_i = 0, 1, i = 1, ..., n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

#### Proof of Theorem 6.2.6 for the discrete case.

Suppose that T(X) is sufficient.

Let  $g_{\theta}(t) = P_{\theta}(T(X) = t)$  and h(x) = P(X = x | T(X) = T(x)). Then

$$f_{\theta}(x) = P_{\theta}(X = x) = P_{\theta}(X = x, T(X) = T(x))$$
  
=  $P_{\theta}(T(X) = T(x))P(X = x|T(X) = T(x))$   
=  $g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)$ 

Suppose now that  $f_{\theta}(x) = g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)$  for  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ . Let  $q_{\theta}(t)$  be the pmf of T(X) and  $A_x = \{y : T(y) = T(x)\}$ . Then, for any  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{q_{\theta}(T(x))} &= \frac{g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)}{q_{\theta}(T(x))} = \frac{g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)}{P_{\theta}(T(X) = T(x))} \\ &= \frac{g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)}{\sum_{y \in A_{x}} f_{\theta}(y)} = \frac{g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)}{\sum_{y \in A_{x}} g_{\theta}(T(y))h(y)} \\ &= \frac{g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)}{g_{\theta}(T(x))\sum_{y \in A_{x}} h(y)} = \frac{h(x)}{\sum_{y \in A_{x}} h(y)} \end{aligned}$$

which does not depend on  $\theta$ , i.e., T is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

#### Example 6.2.4 (normal sufficient statistic)

Let  $X_1,...,X_n$  be iid  $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ ,  $\theta = (\mu,\sigma^2)$ ; the joint pdf is

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-(x_i - \mu)^2 / 2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

UW-Madison (Statistics)

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\sigma^n}\exp\left(-\frac{(n-1)s^2}{2\sigma^2}-\frac{n(\bar{x}-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where  $s^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ , the realization of the sample variance  $S^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ .

Hence, by Theorem 6.2.6,  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

• If  $\sigma^2$  is known, then  $\bar{X}$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .

• If  $\mu$  is known, then  $S^2$  is sufficient for  $\sigma^2$ .

• If both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown, we cannot say that  $\bar{X}$  is sufficient for  $\mu$  (or  $S^2$  is sufficient for  $\sigma^2$ ); the correct statement is that  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  together is sufficient for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

• We can also say that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is sufficient for  $\mu$  (or  $\sigma^2$ ).

### Sufficiency for a sub-family

Let  $\theta$  be a parameter and  $\eta$  be a subset of components of  $\theta$ . If *T* is sufficient for  $\theta$ , then it is also sufficient for  $\eta$ .

## Example 6.2.5 (sufficient order statistics)

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be iid with a pdf  $f_\theta$  and  $X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)}$  be the order statistics. The joint pdf of  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_{(i)})$$

where  $x_{(1)}, ..., x_{(n)}$  are the ordered values of  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . Then, by the factorization theorem,  $(X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ . Intuitively, given the order statistics, what is left in the original data set is the information regarding the positions of  $x_1, ..., x_n$  and, hence, the set of order statistics is sufficient whenever positions of  $x_i$ 's are not of interest.

## One-to-one transformations of a sufficient statistic

It follows from the factorization theorem that, if T is sufficient and U is a one-to-one function of T, then U is also sufficient.

But this is also true in general by the definition of sufficiency.

In the order statistics problem,  $U = (U_1, ..., U_n)$  is a one-to-one function of  $(X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)})$ , where  $U_k = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$ , k = 1, ..., n. Hence, *U* is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

# Example 6.2.8 (uniform sufficient statistic)

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be iid from *uniform*(0,  $\theta$ ), where  $\theta > 0$  is the unknown parameter.

The joint pdf of  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\theta}(x_{i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\theta} I(\{0 < x_{i} < \theta\}) \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^{n}} I(\{0 < x_{(n)} < \theta\})$$

with  $x_{(n)}$  being the largest value of  $x_1, ..., x_n$ .

Thus, the largest order statistic  $X_{(n)}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

Intuitively, because  $X_i \leq \theta$  for all *i*, if we observe  $X_{(n)}$ , then we know that  $\theta \geq X_{(n)}$  and the values of other  $X_i$ 's do not provide any additional information about  $\theta$ .

The same result holds when  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are iid from the discrete uniform distribution on 1,2,..., $\theta$ .

## Theorem 6.2.10 (exponential families)

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be iid from a pdf or pmf  $f_{\theta}(x)$  that belongs to an exponential family:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = h(x)c(\theta)\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j(\theta)t_j(x)\right)$$

The joint pdf or pmf of  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\theta}(x_i) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} h(x_i)\right] [c(\theta)]^n \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_j(x_i)\right)$$

It follows from the factorization theorem that the k-dimensional statistic

$$T(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_1(X_i), ..., \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_k(X_i)\right)$$

is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

## Sufficiency Principle

Let *X* be a sample from a population indexed by  $\theta \in \Theta$ . If T(X) is sufficient for  $\theta$ , then any inference about  $\theta$  should depend on the sample only through the value T(X).

- Another way to state the sufficiency principle is that, if x and y are two data points (realizations of X), then our decision or inference about θ should be the same when T(x) = T(y).
- The sufficiency principle says that in any inference procedure we should consider functions of a sufficient statistic only.
- In what sense we can be assured that using functions of a sufficient statistic is enough?
- First we should have a criterion to evaluate the performance of inference procedures.
- As an example, we consider here the problem of estimating a function 
   *θ* = ψ(θ), where ψ is a known function on the parameter space Θ, but θ is unknown.

Let U(X) be a statistic used to estimate the unknown ϑ.
 A common criterion for the performance of U(X) is the so-called mean squared error (mse) defined as

 $E_{ heta}[U(X) - artheta]^2 = E_{ heta}[U(X) - \psi( heta)]^2, \qquad heta \in \Theta$ 

where  $E_{\theta}$  is the expectation with respect to the population indexed by  $\theta$ .

We view U(X) – ϑ to be the estimation error, which is random since X is random. The mse is simply the average of squared estimation error under the population indexed by θ, and we want to choose a statistic such that the mse is as small as possible.

#### Rao-Blackwell theorem

Let *X* be a sample from a population indexed by  $\theta \in \Theta$  and T(X) be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . If U(X) is a statistic used to estimate  $\vartheta = \psi(\theta)$  and  $E_{\theta}[U(X) - \vartheta]^2 < \infty$ , then the statistic h(T) = E[U(X)|T] satisfies

$$E_{\theta}[h(T) - \vartheta]^2 < E_{\theta}[U(X) - \vartheta]^2 \qquad \theta \in \Theta$$

unless  $P_{\theta}(U(X) = h(T(X))) = 1, \ \theta \in \Theta$ .

- The Rao-Blackwell theorem says that if U(X) is not a function of the sufficient statistic T, then the new statistic h(T) = E[U(X)|T] is better than U(X) in terms of the mean squared error criterion.
- The theorem is meaningful if a *T* other than the original data *X* can be found (such as the minimal sufficient statistic).
- Because E<sub>θ</sub>[U(X) − ϑ]<sup>2</sup> < ∞, E[U(X)|T] is well defined; in fact, we only need E<sub>θ</sub>|U(X)| < ∞ for every θ ∈ Θ.</li>
- Because T is sufficient, E[U(X)|T] does not depend on  $\theta$  and is a statistic.
- The Rao-Blackwell theorem actually has a more general form considering a criterion other than the mean squared error.

## Proof.

For every  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$E_{\theta}[U(X) - \vartheta]^{2} = E_{\theta}\{[U(X) - h(T)] + [h(T) - \vartheta]\}^{2}$$
  
=  $E_{\theta}[U(X) - h(T)]^{2} + E_{\theta}[h(T) - \vartheta]^{2}$   
+  $2E_{\theta}[U(X) - h(T)][h(T) - \vartheta]$ 

Using the properties of conditional expectations, we obtain that  $E_{\theta}[U(X) - h(T)][h(T) - \vartheta] = E_{\theta}(E_{\theta}\{[U(X) - h(T)][h(T) - \vartheta]|T\})$   $= E_{\theta}([h(T) - \vartheta]E_{\theta}\{[U(X) - h(T)]|T\})$   $= E_{\theta}([h(T) - \vartheta]E_{\theta}[U(X)|T] - h(T))$  = 0

Hence,

$$E_{\theta}[U(X) - \vartheta]^2 = E_{\theta}[U(X) - h(T)]^2 + E_{\theta}[h(T) - \vartheta]^2 > E_{\theta}[h(T) - \vartheta]^2$$
  
unless  $E_{\theta}[U(X) - h(T)]^2 = 0$ , which implies  $P_{\theta}(U(X) = h(T)) = 1$  from  
our previous discussion.

The Rao-Blackwell theorem tells us that we should consider functions of a sufficient statistic (if one simpler than X is available).

However, we still need to choose a function such that it provides the best procedure among all functions of the given sufficient statistic.

This will be treated in later chapters.