Deriving asymptotically unbiased estimators

An exactly unbiased estimator may not exist, or is hard to obtained. We often derive asymptotically unbiased estimators.

Functions of unbiased estimators

If the parameter to be estimated is $\vartheta = g(\theta)$ with a vector-valued parameter $\theta$ and $U_n$ is a vector of unbiased estimators of components of $\theta$, then $T_n = g(U_n)$ is often asymptotically unbiased for $\vartheta$.

Assume that $g$ is differentiable and

$$c_n(U_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d Y.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.6,

$$\text{amse}_{T_n}(P) = \frac{E\{[\nabla g(\theta)]^\tau Y\}^2}{c_n^2}.$$

Hence, $T_n$ has a good performance in terms of amse if $U_n$ is optimal in terms of mse (such as the UMVUE or BLUE).
Deriving asymptotically unbiased estimators

An exactly unbiased estimator may not exist, or is hard to obtained. We often derive asymptotically unbiased estimators.

Functions of unbiased estimators

If the parameter to be estimated is $\vartheta = g(\theta)$ with a vector-valued parameter $\theta$ and $U_n$ is a vector of unbiased estimators of components of $\theta$, then $T_n = g(U_n)$ is often asymptotically unbiased for $\vartheta$. Assume that $g$ is differentiable and

$$c_n(U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} Y.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.6,

$$\text{amse}_{T_n}(P) = E\{[\nabla g(\theta)]^\tau Y\}^2 / c_n^2$$

Hence, $T_n$ has a good performance in terms of amse if $U_n$ is optimal in terms of mse (such as the UMVUE or BLUE).
Example 3.22

Consider a polynomial regression of order $p$:

$$X_i = \beta^\tau Z_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})$, $Z_i = (1, t_i, \ldots, t_i^{p-1})$, and $\varepsilon_i$'s are i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$.

Suppose that the parameter to be estimated is $t_\beta \in \mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_j t_\beta^j = \max_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_j t^j.$$

Note that $t_\beta = g(\beta)$ for some function $g$.

Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the LSE of $\beta$.

Then the estimator $\hat{t}_\beta = g(\hat{\beta})$ is asymptotically unbiased and its amse can be derived under some conditions.
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Method of moments

The method of moments is the oldest method of deriving asymptotically unbiased estimators. Although they may not be the best estimators, they can be used as initial estimators.

Consider a parametric problem where $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are i.i.d. random variables from $P_\theta$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, and $E|X_1|^k < \infty$.

Let $\mu_j = EX_1^j$ be the $j$th moment of $P$ and let

$$\hat{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^j$$

be the $j$th sample moment, which is an unbiased estimator of $\mu_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. 
Method of moments

Typically,

\[ \mu_j = h_j(\theta), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k, \]  

(1)

for some functions \( h_j \) on \( \mathbb{R}^k \).

By substituting \( \mu_j \)'s on the left-hand side of (1) by the sample moments \( \hat{\mu}_j \), we obtain a moment estimator \( \hat{\theta} \), i.e., \( \hat{\theta} \) satisfies

\[ \hat{\mu}_j = h_j(\hat{\theta}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k, \]

which is a sample analogue of (1).

This method of deriving estimators is called the method of moments. An important statistical principle, the substitution principle, is applied in this method.

Let \( \hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_k) \) and \( h = (h_1, \ldots, h_k) \).

Then \( \hat{\mu} = h(\hat{\theta}) \).

If the inverse function \( h^{-1} \) exists, then the unique moment estimator of \( \theta \) is \( \hat{\theta} = h^{-1}(\hat{\mu}) \).
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Method of moments

When $h^{-1}$ does not exist (i.e., $h$ is not one-to-one), any solution of $\hat{\mu} = h(\hat{\theta})$ is a moment estimator of $\theta$.

If possible, we always choose a solution $\hat{\theta}$ in the parameter space $\Theta$. In some cases, however, a moment estimator does not exist (see Exercise 111).

Assume that $\hat{\theta} = g(\hat{\mu})$ for a function $g$.

If $h^{-1}$ exists, then $g = h^{-1}$.

If $g$ is continuous at $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is strongly consistent for $\theta$, since $\hat{\mu}_j \to a.s. \mu_j$ by the SLLN.

If $g$ is differentiable at $\mu$ and $E|X_1|^{2k} < \infty$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically normal, by the CLT and Theorem 1.12, and

$$\text{amse}_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta) = n^{-1} [\nabla g(\mu)]^\tau V_\mu \nabla g(\mu),$$

where $V_\mu$ is a $k \times k$ matrix whose $(i, j)$th element is $\mu_{i+j} - \mu_i \mu_j$.

Furthermore, the $n^{-1}$ order asymptotic bias of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$(2n)^{-1} \text{tr} \left( \nabla^2 g(\mu) V_\mu \right).$$
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Example 3.24

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. from a population $P_\theta$ indexed by the parameter $	heta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu = EX_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1) \in (0, \infty)$. This includes cases such as the family of normal distributions, double exponential distributions, or logistic distributions (Table 1.2, page 20). Since $EX_1 = \mu$ and $EX_1^2 = \text{Var}(X_1) + (EX_1)^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$, setting $\hat{\mu}_1 = \mu$ and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ we obtain the moment estimator

$$\hat{\theta} = \left( \bar{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right) = \left( \bar{X}, \frac{n-1}{n} S^2 \right).$$

Note that $\bar{X}$ is unbiased, but $\frac{n-1}{n} S^2$ is not.

If $X_i$ is normal, then $\hat{\theta}$ is sufficient and is nearly the same as an optimal estimator such as the UMVUE. On the other hand, if $X_i$ is from a double exponential or logistic distribution, then $\hat{\theta}$ is not sufficient and can often be improved.
Example 3.24

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. from a population $P_{\theta}$ indexed by the parameter $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu = E X_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1) \in (0, \infty)$. This includes cases such as the family of normal distributions, double exponential distributions, or logistic distributions (Table 1.2, page 20).

Since $E X_1 = \mu$ and $E X_1^2 = \text{Var}(X_1) + (E X_1)^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$, setting $\hat{\mu}_1 = \mu$ and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ we obtain the moment estimator

$$\hat{\theta} = \left( \bar{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right) = \left( \bar{X}, \frac{n-1}{n} S^2 \right).$$

Note that $\bar{X}$ is unbiased, but $\frac{n-1}{n} S^2$ is not.

If $X_i$ is normal, then $\hat{\theta}$ is sufficient and is nearly the same as an optimal estimator such as the UMVUE. On the other hand, if $X_i$ is from a double exponential or logistic distribution, then $\hat{\theta}$ is not sufficient and can often be improved.
Example 3.24 (continued)

Consider now the estimation of $\sigma^2$ when we know that $\mu = 0$. Obviously we cannot use the equation $\hat{\mu}_1 = \mu$ to solve the problem. Using $\hat{\mu}_2 = \mu_2 = \sigma^2$, we obtain the moment estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\mu}_2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2.$$ 

This is still a good estimator when $X_i$ is normal, but is not a function of sufficient statistic when $X_i$ is from a double exponential distribution. For the double exponential case one can argue that we should first make a transformation $Y_i = |X_i|$ and then obtain the moment estimator based on the transformed data. The moment estimator of $\sigma^2$ based on the transformed data is

$$\bar{Y}^2 = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_i| \right)^2,$$

which is sufficient for $\sigma^2$. Note that this estimator can also be obtained based on absolute moment equations.
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Example 3.25

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. from the uniform distribution on $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$, $-\infty < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \infty$.

Note that

$$EX_1 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad EX_1^2 = (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_1 \theta_2)/3.$$ 

Setting $\hat{\mu}_1 = EX_1$ and $\hat{\mu}_2 = EX_1^2$ and substituting $\theta_1$ in the second equation by $2\hat{\mu}_1 - \theta_2$ (the first equation), we obtain that

$$(2\hat{\mu}_1 - \theta_2)^2 + \theta_2^2 + (2\hat{\mu}_1 - \theta_2)\theta_2 = 3\hat{\mu}_2,$$

which is the same as

$$(\theta_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 = 3(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2).$$

Since $\theta_2 > EX_1$, we obtain that

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \hat{\mu}_1 + \sqrt{3(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2)} = \bar{X} + \sqrt{\frac{3(n-1)}{n}} S^2$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\mu}_1 - \sqrt{3(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2)} = \bar{X} - \sqrt{\frac{3(n-1)}{n}} S^2.$$ 

These estimators are not functions of the sufficient and complete statistic $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$. 
Example 3.26

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be i.i.d. from the binomial distribution $Bi(p, k)$ with unknown parameters $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$.

Since

$$EX_1 = kp$$

and

$$EX_1^2 = kp(1 - p) + k^2 p^2,$$

we obtain the moment estimators

$$\hat{p} = (\hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1^2 - \hat{\mu}_2) / \hat{\mu}_1 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n} S^2 / \bar{X}$$

and

$$\hat{k} = \hat{\mu}_1^2 / (\hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1^2 - \hat{\mu}_2) = \bar{X} / (1 - \frac{n-1}{n} S^2 / \bar{X}).$$

The estimator $\hat{p}$ is in the range of $(0, 1)$.

But $\hat{k}$ may not be an integer.

It can be improved by an estimator that is $\hat{k}$ rounded to the nearest positive integer.
Nonparametric problems

The method of moments can also be applied to nonparametric problems.

Consider, for example, the estimation of the central moments

\[ c_j = E(X_1 - \mu_1)^j, \quad j = 2, \ldots, k. \]

Since

\[ c_j = \sum_{t=0}^{j} \binom{j}{t} (-\mu_1)^t \mu_{j-t}, \]

the moment estimator of \( c_j \) is

\[ \hat{c}_j = \sum_{t=0}^{j} \binom{j}{t} (-\bar{X})^t \hat{\mu}_{j-t}, \]

where \( \hat{\mu}_0 = 1 \).
Nonparametric problems

It can be shown (exercise) that

\[ \hat{c}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^j, \quad j = 2, \ldots, k, \]

which are sample central moments.

From the SLLN, \( \hat{c}_j \)'s are strongly consistent.

If \( E|X_1|^{2k} < \infty \), then

\[ \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{c}_2 - c_2, \ldots, \hat{c}_k - c_k \right) \to_d N_{k-1}(0, D) \]

where the \((i,j)\)th element of the \((k-1) \times (k-1)\) matrix \( D \) is

\[ c_{i+j+2} - c_{i+1}c_{j+1} - (i + 1)c_ic_{j+2} - (j + 1)c_{i+2}c_j + (i + 1)(j + 1)c_ic_jc_2. \]