MEMORY: PAGING AND TLBS

Shivaram Venkataraman

CS 537, Fall 2024

ADMINISTRIVIA

- Project 2 done?!
- Project 3 will be out! Start early?

- Project I grades

- Midterm conflicts?

AGENDA / LEARNING OUTCOMES

Memory virtualization

What is paging and how does it work?

What are some of the challenges in implementing paging?

RECAP

MEMORY VIRTUALIZATION


Transparency: Process is unaware of sharing

Protection: Cannot corrupt OS or other process memory

Efficiency: Do not waste memory or slow down processes

Sharing: Enable sharing between cooperating processes

RECAP: WHAT IS IN ADDRESS SPACE?



the code segment: where instructions live

the heap segment: contains malloc'd data dynamic data structures (it grows downward)

Static: Code and some global variables

Dynamic: Stack and Heap

(it grows upward) the stack segment: contains local variables arguments to routines, return values, etc.

REVIEW: SEGMENTATION

Divide address space into logical segments

Each segment corresponds to logical entity in address space (code, stack, heap)

Each segment has separate base + bounds register

How does process designate a particular segment?

- Top bits of logical address select segment
- Low bits of logical address select offset within segment

EXAMPLE: SEGMENTATION

0x0010: movl 0x1100, %edi

%rip: 0x0010

Seg	Base	Bounds	
0	0×4000	0xfff	
I	0×5800	0xfff	
2	0×6800	0×7ff	

I. Fetch instruction at logical addr 0x0010 Physical addr:

2. Exec, load from logical addr 0x1100 Physical addr:

QUIZ 5!

https://tinyurl.com/cs537-fa24-q5



VA space 1K, Physical memory 16K

Segment 0 base (grows positive): 0x1959 (decimal 6489)

Segment 0 limit : 316

Segment I base (grows negative): 0x0b05 (decimal 2821)

Segment I limit : 282

Segment of virtual address? 0x019b (decimal: 411)

Translate

0x019b (decimal: 411):

Segment?

0x0315 (decimal: 789):

Address space with two segments: segment 0 (topbit=0) or segment 1 (topbit=1).

Translate 0x0315 (decimal 789)

VA space 1K, Physical memory 16K

Segment 0 base (grows positive): 0x1959 (decimal 6489) Segment 0 limit :316

Segment I base (grows negative): 0x0b05 (decimal 2821) Segment I limit : 282

Segment?

0x0315 (decimal 789)

0x0315 (decimal: 789):

Translate

DISADVANTAGES OF SEGMENTATION

Not Compacted 0KB Each segment must be allocated contiguously **Operating System** 8KB May not have sufficient physical memory for large segments? 16KB (not in use) 24KB **External Fragmentation** Allocated 32KB (not in use) Allocated 40KB 48KB (not in use) 56KB Allocated 64KB

PAGING


PAGING

Goal: Eliminate requirement that address space is contiguous
Eliminate external fragmentation
Grow segments as needed

Idea:

Divide address spaces and physical memory into fixed-sized pages


Size: 2ⁿ, Example: 4KB



TRANSLATION OF PAGE ADDRESSES

How to translate logical address to physical address?

- High-order bits of address designate page number
- Low-order bits of address designate offset within page



No addition needed; just append bits correctly!

ADDRESS FORMAT

Given known page size, how many bits are needed in address to specify offset in page?

Page Size	Low Bits (offset)
I6 bytes	_
I KB	
I MB	
512 bytes	
4 KB	

ADDRESS FORMAT

Given number of bits in virtual address and bits for offset, how many bits for virtual page number?

Page Size	Low Bits(offset)	Virt Addr Total Bits	High Bits(vpn)
16 bytes	4	10	
I KB	10	20	
I MB	20	32	
512 bytes	9	16	
4 KB	12	32	

ADDRESS FORMAT

Given number of bits for vpn, how many virtual pages can there be in an address space?


Page Size	Low Bits (offset)	Virt Addr Bits	High Bits (vpn)	Virt Pages
16 bytes	4	10	6	
I KB	10	20	10	
I MB	20	32	12	
512 bytes	9	16	7	
4 KB	12	32	20	

VIRTUAL -> PHYSICAL PAGE MAPPING

Number of bits in virtual address

need not equal

number of bits in physical address





How should OS translate VPN to PPN?

PAGETABLES


What is a good data structure?

Simple solution: Linear page table aka array






PER-PROCESS PAGETABLE



FILL IN PAGETABLE



WHERE ARE PAGETABLES STORED?

Implication: Store each page table in memory

Hardware finds page table base with register (e.g., CR3 on x86)

What happens on a context-switch?

Change contents of page table base register to newly scheduled process

Save old page table base register in PCB of descheduled process

OTHER PAGETABLE INFO

What other info is in pagetable entries besides translation?

- valid bit
- protection bits
- present bit (needed later)
- reference bit (needed later)
- dirty bit (needed later)

Pagetable entries are just bits stored in memory

Agreement between HW and OS about interpretation

MEMORY ACCESSES WITH PAGING

14 bit addresses

0x0010: movl 0x1100, %edi

Assume PT is at phys addr 0x5000 Assume PTE's are 4 bytes Assume 4KB pages How many bits for offset? 12

Simplified view 0
of page table 80
99

Fetch instruction at logical addr 0x0010

Access page table to get ppn for vpn 0

Mem ref I:

Learn vpn 0 is at ppn _____

Fetch instruction at _____ (Mem ref 2)

MEMORY ACCESSES WITH PAGING

14 bit addresses

0x0010: movl 0x1100, %edi

Assume PT is at phys addr 0x5000 Assume PTE's are 4 bytes Assume 4KB pages How many bits for offset? 12

Simplified view 0
of page table 80
99

Exec, load from logical addr 0x1100

Access page table to get ppn for vpn 1

Mem ref 3:

Learn vpn 1 is at ppn

Movl from into reg (Mem ref 4)

MEMORY ACCESSES WITH PAGING

14 bit addresses

0x0010: movl 0x1100, %edi

Assume PT is at phys addr 0x5000 Assume PTE's are 4 bytes Assume 4KB pages How many bits for offset? 12

Simplified view 0 80 99

Fetch instruction at logical addr 0x0010

Access page table to get ppn for vpn 0

Mem ref I: ____0x5000____

Learn vpn 0 is at ppn 2

Fetch instruction at ___0x2010___ (Mem ref 2)

Exec, load from logical addr 0x1100

Access page table to get ppn for vpn 1

Mem ref 3: ____0x5004___

Learn vpn 1 is at ppn 0

Movl from 0x0100 into reg (Mem ref 4)

PROS/CONS OF PAGING

No external fragmentation

Any page can be placed in any frame in physical memory

Fast to allocate and free

- Alloc: No searching for suitable free space
- Free: Doesn't have to coalesce with adjacent free space

Internal fragmentation

- Page size may not match process needs
- Wasted memory grows with larger pages

Additional memory reference to page table →

- Page table must be stored in memory
- MMU stores only base address of page table

Storage for page tables may be substantial

- Requires PTE for all pages in address space
- Entry needed even if page not allocated?

SUMMARY: PAGE TRANSLATION STEPS

For each mem reference:

- I. extract **VPN** (virt page num) from **VA** (virt addr)
- 2. calculate addr of **PTE** (page table entry)
- 3. read **PTE** from memory
- 4. extract **PFN** (page frame num)
- 5. build **PA** (phys addr)
- 6. read contents of **PA** from memory into register

Which steps are expensive?

EXAMPLE: ARRAY ITERATOR

```
int sum = 0;
for (i=0; i<N; i++){
    sum += a[i];
}</pre>
```

Assume 'a' starts at 0x3000 Ignore instruction fetches and access to 'i'

What virtual addresses?

load 0x3000

load 0x3004

load 0x3008

load 0x300C

What physical addresses?

load 0x100C

load 0x7000

load 0x100C

load 0x7004


load 0x100C

load 0x7008

load 0x100C


load 0x700C

STRATEGY: CACHE PAGE TRANSLATIONS



TLB: TRANSLATION LOOKASIDE BUFFER

TLB ORGANIZATION



Fully associative

Any given translation can be anywhere in the TLB Hardware will search the entire TLB in parallel

ARRAY ITERATOR (W/TLB)

```
int sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < 2048; i++){
    sum += a[i];
}</pre>
```

Assume 'a' starts at 0x1000 Ignore instruction fetches and access to 'i'

Assume following virtual address stream: load 0x1000

load 0x1004


load 0x1008

load 0x100C


• • •

What will TLB behavior look like?

TLB ACCESSES: SEQUENTIAL EXAMPLE



TLB ACCESSES: SEQUENTIAL EXAMPLE



PERFORMANCE OF TLB?

```
int sum = 0;
for (i=0; i<2048; i++) {
    sum += a[i];
}</pre>
```

Would hit rate get better or worse with smaller pages?

```
Miss rate of TLB: #TLB misses / #TLB lookups

#TLB lookups? number of accesses to a = 2048

#TLB misses?

= number of unique pages accessed

= 2048 / (elements of 'a' per 4K page)

= 2K / (4K / sizeof(int)) = 2K / IK

= 2
```

Miss rate? = 2/2048 = 0.1%

Hit rate? (I - miss rate) = 99.9%

TLB PERFORMANCE

How can system improve hit rate given fixed number of TLB entries?

Increase page size:

Fewer unique page translations needed to access same amount of memory

TLB Reach: Number of TLB entries * Page Size

WORKLOAD ACCESS PATTERNS

Workload A


```
int sum = 0;
for (i=0; i<2048; i++) {
    sum += a[i];
}</pre>
```

Sequential array accesses almost always hit in TLB!

Workload B

```
int sum = 0;
srand(1234);
for (i=0; i<1000; i++) {
    sum += a[rand() % N];
}
srand(1234);
for (i=0; i<1000; i++) {
    sum += a[rand() % N];
}</pre>
```

WORKLOAD ACCESS PATTERNS



WORKLOAD LOCALITY

Spatial Locality: future access will be to nearby addresses

Temporal Locality: future access will be repeats to the same data

What TLB characteristics are best for each type?

Spatial:

- Access same page repeatedly; need same vpn → ppn translation
- Same TLB entry re-used

Temporal:

- Access same address near in future
- Same TLB entry re-used in near future
- How near in future? How many TLB entries are there?

OTHER TLB CHALLENGES

How to replace TLB entries? LRU? Random?

TLB on context switches? HW or OS?

NEXT STEPS

Project 3 out!

Next class: More TLBs and better pagetables!