## CS 744: DRF

Shivaram Venkataraman Fall 2019

## **ADMINISTRIVIA**

- Assignment I details
- Assignment 2 out tonight
- Project groups





## **DRF: MODEL**

Users have a demand vector

<2, 3, I> means user's task needs 2 RI, 3 R2, I R3

Resources given in multiples of demand vector i.e., users might get <4,6,2>  $\simeq$  2 tasks with  $\langle 2_1 3, 1 \rangle$ 

## PROPERTIES

Sharing Incentive Each user should at least get 1/2 cluster assuming n users

Strategy Proof No user should be able to get more resources by lying

Pareto Efficiency No user can get more mithent reducing another user's alloc.

Envy free No user would prefer the allocation of another user

# PROPERTIES

#### Sharing Incentive

User is no worse off than a cluster with

I/n resources

Strategy Proof

User should not benefit by lying about demands

Pareto Efficiency

Not possible to increase one user without decreasing another Envy free

User should not desire the allocation of another user

## **DRF: APPROACH**

Dominant Share

#### **Dominant Resource**

#### **Dominant Share**

Resource user has the biggest share of  $\rightarrow$  wrt total resources available

Total: <10 CPU, 4 GB>

User I: <I CPU, I GB>

 $\frac{1}{12}$  (pu

Dominant resource is memory

nemory

Fraction of the dominant resource user is allocated

E.g., for User I this is 25% or 1/4

## **DRF: APPROACH**

#### Equalize the dominant share of users

	User	Allocation	Dominant Share
	3 tasks	<0 CPU, 0 GB>	0
Total: <9 CPU, 18 GB>	Userl	<1 CP3, 4 GB> <2 GV, 8 GB>	2/9 419
User I: <i 4="" cpu,="" gb=""> dom res: mem</i>		< 3CPV, 12 (B)	23
User2: <3 CPU, I GB> dom res: CPU	2 tasks	<0 CPU, 0 GB>	0
	User2	<6 aps, 2 aB>	2/3
Total <9 CPU, 14 (13)			

## **DRF: APPROACH**



#### **DRF ALGORITHM**

Whenever there are available resources: Schedule a task to the user with smallest dominant share

## **DRF ALGORITHM**

Foult tolerance Tasks Frishing Update alloc vector, dominant share Algorithm 1 DRF pseudo-code  $R = \langle r_1, \cdots, r_m \rangle$   $\triangleright$  total resource capacities  $C = \langle c_1, \cdots, c_m \rangle$   $\triangleright$  consumed resources, initially 0  $s_i$  (i = 1..n)  $\triangleright$  user i's dominant shares, initially 0  $U_i = \langle u_{i,1}, \cdots, u_{i,m} \rangle$   $(i = 1..n) \triangleright$  resources given to total vier to allocate allocate de vier to allocate user *i*, initially 0 **pick** user *i* with lowest dominant share  $s_i$  $D_i \leftarrow$  demand of user *i*'s next task if total if  $C + D_i \leq R$  then  $C = C + D_i$  > update consumed vector  $U_i = U_i + D_i$  > update *i*'s allocation vector  $s_i = \max_{j=1}^m \{u_{i,j}/r_j\}$ else  $\triangleright$  the cluster is full return end if

## COMPARISON: ASSET FAIRNESS

Asset Fairness: Equalize each user's sum of resource shares

35 CPU 35 GB

120

Consider total of 70 CPUs, 70 GB RAM UI needs <2 CPU, 2 GB RAM> per task = 4 units U2 needs <1 CPU, 2 GB RAM> per task = 3 units

UI: 4 ... 12 ... 60 U2: 3 6 ... 12 ... 60

Asset Fair Allocation:

Total : 7 10 24

U1: 250 Y. of Les memory UI (30,30) 15 fork U2 <20,40> 20 tasks

## **COMPARISON: ASSET FAIRNESS**

#### Asset Fairness: Equalize each user's sum of resource shares Violates Sharing Incentive

Consider total of 70 CPUs, 70 GB RAM UI needs <2 CPU, 2 GB RAM> per task U2 needs <1 CPU, 2 GB RAM> per task

Asset Fair Allocation: UI: I5 tasks: 30 CPU, 30 GB (Sum = 60) U2: 20 tasks: 20 CPU, 40 GB (Sum = 60)



## **COMPARISON: CEEI**

**CEEI:** Competitive Equilibrium from Equal Incomes

- Each user receives initially 1/n of every resource,
- Subsequently, each user can trade resources with other users in a perfectly competitive market
- Computed by maximizing product of utilities across users

### **COMPARISON: CEEI**



# **CEEI: STRATEGY PROOFNESS**

\* 7

X+37(=9

max



CPU mem

## COMPARISON

	Allocation Policy		
Property	Asset	CEEI	DRF
Sharing Incentive	X	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Strategy-proofness	$\checkmark$	X	$\checkmark$
Envy-freeness	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Pareto efficiency	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Single Resource Fairness	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bottleneck Fairness		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Population Monotonicity	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Resource Monotonicity			

Table 2: Properties of Asset Fairness, CEEI and DRF.

# DISCUSSION

https://forms.gle/s9nm7Gr1uz8Xsn3s5

Consider a system with 100 units of CPU, 50 units of memory and 200 units of disk. Consider three users with the following requirements

Alice (4 CPU, I memory, I disk) Bob (I CPU, 4 memory and 4 disk) Carol (I CPU, 2 memory and 16 disk)

#### share

List the dominant resource as defined in DRF for Alice, Bob and Carol

Alice: CPU Bob: Memory Carol: Disk

What would be the final task allocation in the given cluster for Alice, Bob and Carol ? A. <4,1,17 Total DS B: < 1, 4, 4 > $\sim$ A.XZ 2/25 = C: <12,167 2\_2\_ 2/25 B:1 8 D 7: <100,50,2007 2/25 C=1 Λ. 12,6,7 26/25 cord 7 6/25 A۶ [D] B: 13,6,6 Alices 625

What could be one workload / cluster scenario where DRF implemented on Mesos will NOT be optimal?

## **NEXT STEPS**

Next Week: Machine Learning Assignment 2 out tonight! Course projects: Office hours