

CS412 Spring Semester 2011

Practice Midterm #2

1. MULTIPLE CHOICE SECTION. Circle or underline the correct answer (or answers). You do not need to provide a justification for your answer(s).
 - (1) Numerical integration rules which use just one point per interval are:
(Circle or underline the ONE most correct answer)
 - (a) Always first order accurate. Methods that use 2 points are second order accurate and so on.
 - (b) At most first order accurate, but can never have an order of accuracy of 2 or more.
 - (c) Generally first order accurate, but sometimes could also be second order due to fortuitous cancellation.
 - (2) A certain numerical integration rule integrates all cubic polynomials exactly. Which of the following property describes its accuracy?
(Circle or underline the ONE most correct answer)
 - (a) The method is exactly third order accurate.
 - (b) The method is at least fourth order accurate.
 - (c) The global error is proportional to h^3 .
 - (3) Which of the following methods are good choices for solving $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, where A is symmetric and positive definite?
(Circle or underline ALL correct answers)
 - (a) **LU** factorization with full pivoting.
 - (b) **QR** factorization.
 - (c) System of normal equations.
 - (d) Gauss-Seidel method.
 - (e) Jacobi method.
 - (4) Why would we ever use an explicit method instead of an implicit one for solving an Initial Value Problem?
(Circle or underline ALL correct answers)
 - (a) Explicit methods are more robust for ODEs with unstable solutions.
 - (b) Explicit methods do not require solving a nonlinear system.
 - (c) If we are willing to use a small enough time step dt , each iteration of an explicit method is quite cheap.
 - (d) Explicit methods are unconditionally stable.

2. SHORT ANSWER SECTION. Answer each of the following questions in no more than 1-2 sentences.

- (a) Describe one scenario where you would prefer using the **LU** factorization, instead of an iterative method such as Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel, for solving a linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$.

- (b) What is one benefit of the **QR** factorization when compared to the normal equations, as methods for solving least squares problems?

- (c) Describe one reason why solving a system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ could be extremely challenging when \mathbf{A} has a very high condition number.

- (d) Describe one valid reason for using Forward Euler, instead of Backward Euler to solve an initial value problem. Also, what would be a reason for choosing Backward Euler in this case?

- (e) Why is Simpson's rule potentially much more attractive than the trapezoidal rule, when approximating definite integrals?

- (f) Describe one plausible stopping criterion for determining when to stop an iterative solver for $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, such as Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel.

3. Show the following properties:
- $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}$ for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}$.
 - $\|\mathbf{Q}\|_2 = 1$, for any *orthogonal* matrix \mathbf{Q} .
4. Consider the $n > 3$ data points $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$. We want to find a cubic polynomial $p(x) = c_3x^3 + c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0$ such that the graph of $p(x)$ approximates the given data points as much as possible. Write a Least Squares system $\mathbf{Ax} \approx \mathbf{b}$ which can be used to determine this cubic approximating polynomial. What does this system reduce to, in the case $n = 4$?
5. Show that the coefficient matrix in the system of normal equations (used for solving least squares problems) is always symmetric and positive definite.
6. Consider the $n \times n$ linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$.
- Show that if \mathbf{A} is diagonal, the Jacobi method converges after just one iteration.
 - Show that if \mathbf{A} is lower triangular, the Gauss-Seidel method converges after just one iteration.
7. The numerical integration rule known as *Simpson's 3/8 Rule* is defined as:

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx \approx \frac{b-a}{8} \left[f(a) + 3f\left(\frac{2a+b}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{a+2b}{3}\right) + f(b) \right]$$

- Determine the order of accuracy of this method.
 - Describe a composite rule based on the formula above.
8. The numerical integration rule known as *Milne's Rule* is defined as:

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx \approx \frac{b-a}{3} \left[2f\left(\frac{3a+b}{4}\right) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{a+3b}{4}\right) \right]$$

Determine the order of accuracy of this method.

9. Consider a numerical integration rule defined as:

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx \approx w_1f(a) + w_2f\left(\frac{2a+b}{3}\right) + w_3f(b)$$

where w_1, w_2, w_3 are undetermined constants. Find the values of w_1, w_2, w_3 such that this rule becomes 3rd order accurate.

10. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$y'(t) = f(t, y). \quad (1)$$

(a) If the solutions to equation (1) are stable, show that the solutions of

$$z'(t) = f(t, z) + g(t) \quad (2)$$

are also stable ($g(t)$ is an arbitrary function). Furthermore, if the solutions to equation (1) are asymptotically stable, show that so will be the solutions to the differential equation (2).

(b) Consider the special case $f(t, z) = \lambda z$, $\lambda < 0$. Show that any function of the form

$$z(t) = ce^{\lambda t} + e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda \tau} g(\tau) d\tau$$

is a solution of equation (2). If we assume that these are *all* the solutions to equation (2), can you show directly (without using the derivative criterion) that they are asymptotically stable?

11. We have seen that many 1-step methods for initial value problems are created by integrating the ODE as follows:

$$y_{k+1} - y_k = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(\tau, y) d\tau$$

and then approximating the integral on the right hand side by a numerical integration rule.

Describe the method that results from using Simpson's rule for approximating this integral, and determine its stability condition on the model equation $y' = \lambda y$, $\lambda < 0$.