CS 537 Lecture 19 Condition Variables/Monitors

Michael Swift

4/23/13

© 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael

Barber Code

```
Barber:
while (1) {
    sem_wait(&barber);

    sem_wait(&mutex);
    open_chairs++;
    move_customer_to_barber_chair();
    sem_signal(&mutex);

cut_hair();
    sem_signal(&customer);
}
```

Barber code

```
boolean shop_full = false;
Variables:
                                      sem_wait(&mutex);
 sem_t barber;
                                      if (open_chairs == 0)
 sem_t customer;
                                       shop_full = true;
                                      else open_chairs--;
 sem t mutex;
                                      sem_signal(&mutex);
 int open_chairs = 3;
Initialization:
                                      if (!shop_full) {
                                       sem_signal(&barber);
 sem_init(&barber, 0);
                                       sem_wait(&customer);
 sem_init(&customer, 0);
 sem_init(&mutex, 1);
```

Dining Philosophers

- · Problem Statement:
 - N Philosophers sitting at a round table
 - Each philosopher shares a fork with neighbor
 - Each philosopher must have both forks to eat
 - Neighbors can't eat simultaneously
 - Philosophers alternate between thinking and eating
- Each philosopher/thread i runs following code:

```
while (1) {
  think();
  take_forks(i);
  eat();
  put_forks(i);
}
```

4/23/13

© 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael Swift

Dining Philosophers: Attempt #1 Two neighbors can't use fork at same time Must test if fork is there and grab it atomically Represent each fork with a semaphore Grab right fork then left fork Code for 5 philosophers: sem_t fork[5]; // Initialize each to 1 take_forks(int i) { wait(&fork[i]); wait(&fork[(i+1)*5]); } put_forks(int i) { signal(&fork[(i+1)*5]); signal(&fork[(i+1)*5]); } What is wrong with this solution???

Dining Philosophers: How to Approach

Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael

· Guarantee two goals

4/23/13

- Safety: Ensure nothing bad happens (don't violate constraints of problem)
- Liveness: Ensure something good happens when it can (make as much progress as possible)
- Introduce state variable for each philosopher i
 - state[i] = THINKING, HUNGRY, or EATING
- Safety: No two adjacent philosophers eat simultaneously
 - for all i: !(state[i]==EATING && state[i+1%5]==EATING)
- Liveness: Not the case that a philosopher is hungry and his neighbors are not eating
 - for all i: !(state[i]==HUNGRY &&
 (state[i+4%5]!=EATING && state[i+1%5]!=EATING))

4/23/13

© 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael

Dining Philosophers: Attempt #2

```
    Approach

            Grab lower-numbered fork first, then higher-numbered

    Code for 5 philosophers:

            sem_t fork[5]; // Initialize to 1
            take_forks(int i) {
                 if (i < 4) {
                      wait(&fork[i]);
                      wait(&fork[i+1]);
                } else {
                      wait(&fork[0]);
                      wait(&fork[4]);
                 }</li>

    What is wrong with this solution???
```

Dining Philosophers: Solution

```
sem t mayEat[5]; // how to initialize?
sem_t mutex; // how to init?
int state[5] = {THINKING};
take forks(int i) {
   wait(&mutex); // enter critical section
    state[i] = HUNGRY;
    testSafetyAndLiveness(i); // check if I can run
    signal(&mutex); // exit critical section
    wait(&mavEat[i]):
put_forks(int i) {
    wait(&mutex); // enter critical section
   state[i] = THINKING;
test(i+1 %5); // check if neighbor can run now
   test(i+4 %5);
   signal(&mutex); // exit critical section
testSafetyAndLiveness(int i) {
   if (state[i]==HUNGRY && state[i+4%5]!=EATING&&state[i+1%5]!=EATING) {
     state[i] = EATING;
     signal(&mayEat[i]);
                                 © 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy,
 4/23/13
                                Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael
```

Two Classes of Synchronization Problems

- Uniform resource usage with simple scheduling constraints
 - No other variables needed to express relationships
 - Use one semaphore for every constraint
 - Examples: thread join and producer/consumer
- Complex patterns of resource usage
 - Cannot capture relationships with only semaphores
 - Need extra state variables to record information
 - Use semaphores such that
 - One is for mutual exclusion around state variables
 - · One for each class of waiting
- Always try to cast problems into first, easier type

4/23/13

© 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael Swift

9

A monitor waiting queue of processes trying to enter the monitor at most one process in monitor at a time © 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remd Arpaci-Dussea, Michael swift

Readers and Writers Monitor Example

```
Monitor ReadersNWriters {
  int WaitingWriters,
                                    Void EndWrite() {
      WaitingReaders,
                                      acquire(lock);
      NReaders, NWriters;
                                      NWriters = 0;
  Condition CanRead, CanWrite;
                                      if(WaitingReaders)
  mutex t lock;
                                        Signal(CanRead);
                                      else Signal(CanWrite);
Void BeginWrite() {
                                      Release(lock);
   acquire(lock);
   while(NWriters == 1 ||
      NReaders > 0) {
     ++WaitingWriters;
     wait(CanWrite);
     --WaitingWriters;
   NWriters = 1;
   release(lock);
                       © 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy,
4/23/13
                      Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael
```

Readers and Writers Monitor Example

```
ReadersNWriters {
Void BeginRead() {
  acquire(lock);
                                      int WaitingWriters,
  bool didWait = F;
                                           WaitingReaders,
  while(NWriters == 1 ||
                                           NReaders,
     (WaitingWriters > 0 &&
                                          NWriters;
      NReaders > 0 &&
                                      Condition CanRead,
      !didWait)) {
                                                 CanWrite;
    ++WaitingReaders;
                                      mutex t lock;
    Wait(CanRead);
    --WaitingReaders;
                                     Void EndRead() {
    didWait = T;
                                       acquire(lock);
                                       if(--NReaders ==
  ++NReaders;
  Signal(CanRead);
                                       Signal(CanWrite);
  release(lock);
                                       release(lock);
                      © 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy,
4/23/13
                                                               12
                      Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael
```

Examples

- Traffic light
 - Only one direction of traffic can flow at a time

4/23/13

© 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy, Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael

13

```
Traffic light
  struct traffic_light{
      enum direction = {left, right};
enum color = {green, yellow, red};
       color current_color[direction] = {green, red};
      cond_t changed[direction];
direction current_dir = left;
direction light_dir = left;
      int in_intersection = 0;
mutex_t *lock;
  enter_left(dir)
      mutex_lock(lock)
while ((current dir != dir) && (current color != green))
        cond_wait(changed[dir], loock);
      in intersection++:
      mutex unlock(lock);
      return;
  exit(dir)
  mutex_lock(lock)
       in_intersection--;
      if (in_intersection == 0) && (current_color[dir] == red) {
    current_dir = light_dir;
       broadcast(changed[other_dir(dir)]);
      mutex unlock(lock);
                                   © 2004-2007 Ed Lazowska, Hank Levy,
Andrea and Remzi Arpaci-Dussea, Michael
4/23/13
                                                                                                        14
```

In-class Problem

- A file is to be shared among different threads, each of which has a unique number.
- The file can be accessed simultaneously by several threads, subject to a single constraint: the sum of the numbers of the threads cannot exceed n, where n is a constant.
- Write code using locks and condition variables to coordinate access to the file. The interface to the file should be:
 - void access_file(void)
 void release file(void)
- The access_file() function should block until the file is available, and the release_file() function should wake up any necessary waiting threads.

Problem Solution

```
pthread_mutex_tlock;
pthread_cond_t cond;
int max_sum = n;
int current_sum = 0;

void access_file(void) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
    while (current_sum + thread_number > max_sum) {
        pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
    }
    current_sum + mread_number;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
}

void release_file(void) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
    current_sum -+ thread_number;
    pthread_cond_broadcast(&cond);
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
}
```