

Matthew Renzelmann

Michael Swift

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Driver Programming Is Not Easy

- __free_pages
- argv free
- blk queue free tags
- dma free coherent
- free all bootmem
- free page and swap cache

- release and free resource
- rpc free iostats
- sctp ootb pkt free
- selinux xfrm policy free
- skb free datagram
- snd device free all
- snd_dma_free_pages

and in the page. The page of t

- hci free dev
- kfree
- kfree skb
- mempool kfree
- page_table_free
- pci free consistent

- snd_soc_dapm_free
- snd_util_mem_free
- snd util memhdr free
- ssp free
- try_to_free_swap
- vfree

What About Java?

<This slide intentionally left blank>



Kernel vs. Java Development

| Feature | Kernel | Java |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Memory management | Manual | Garbage collection |
| Type safety | Limited | Extensive |
| Debugging | Few tools / difficult | Many tools / easier |
| Data structure library | Subset of libc | Java class library |
| Error handling | Return values | Exceptions |

Motivation

- Kernel programming is difficult and leads to driver unreliability
- Existing approaches
 - Isolating drivers (Nooks [Swift04], SafeDrive [Zhou06])
 - User-level drivers (Nexus [Williams08])
 - New driver design (Dingo [Ryzhyk09], User-mode Driver Framework [Microsoft06], Singularity [Hunt05])

Decaf Drivers

- Decaf Drivers execute most driver code in user mode Java
 - Performance critical code left in kernel
- The Decaf System provides support for
 - migrating driver code into a modern language (Java)
 - 2. executing drivers with high performance
 - 3. evolving drivers over time

Outline

- Introduction
- Overview
 - Goals
 - Architecture
- Design and Implementation
- Evaluation
- Conclusion



Goals: Making Decaf Practical


1. Compatibility with existing kernels/drivers

2. A migration path from existing drivers to decaf drivers


3. Support for evolution as drivers, devices, and kernels change



Existing Driver Architecture




Decaf Architecture



2009 USENIX Annual Technical Conference

Decaf Architecture



2009 USENIX Annual Technical Conference


Creating Decaf Drivers

- 1. From scratch
- 2. By migrating existing kernel drivers
 - DriverSlicer provides tool support to move driver code out of the kernel



Creating Decaf Drivers

- 1. Annotate it
- 2. Run DriverSlicer to split the driver into a Driver Nucleus and Library
- 3. Migrate code from the Driver Library into the Decaf Driver





Outline

- Introduction
- Overview
- Design and Implementation
 - Communication
 - Creation
- Evaluation
- Conclusion




Design: Runtime Components

- Locking/Synchronization: ComboLocks
- Sharing: Object Tracker
- Communication: Extension Procedure Call (XPC)
 - Kernel/User upcalls and downcalls
 - Java/C calls




ENS1371 Communication Example



2009 USENIX Annual Technical Conference

ENS1371 Communication Example




Kernel/User XPC

- Challenges
 - Minimizing data copied
 - Communicating complex data structures
- Solutions
 - Copying only structure fields that are used
 - Detecting recursion and linked data structures



Kernel/User XPC



2009 USENIX Annual Technical Conference

Java/C Communication


- Solution: Use Jeannie [Hirzel, OOPSLA '07]
 - Allows C and Java code to be mixed at the expression level
 - Uses the back tick operator (`) to switch from Java to C
 - No need to write Java Native Interface code

```
public static void outb(int val, int port) `{
    outb (`val, `port); // No XPC necessary
}
```

Complex Java/C Transfer: XPC

- Example: invoking the Java implementation of snd_audiopci_probe from C
- Complex data structures are communicated via Java/C XPC
 - XPC uses marshaling and demarshaling to transfer data structures
 - Wrappers implemented using Jeannie simplify control and data transfer

Java/C XPC



2009 USENIX Annual Technical Conference

Creation: DriverSlicer

- Goal: Migrate code in existing driver to Java
- DriverSlicer features
 - Splits drivers into a driver nucleus and library
 - Provides access to kernel data and functions from Java



Access to Kernel Data and Functions

- Phase one: CIL-based tool
 - Extracts all data structure definitions and typedefs
 - Converts these definitions to an XDR specification
- Phase two: Enhanced existing rpcgen and jrpcgen tools
 - Create Java classes with public fields
 - Support features like recursive data structures



Phase 1: Example

From e1000.h

```
struct e1000 adapter { ...
  struct e1000 rx ring test rx ring;
  uint32 t * attribute ((exp(PCI LEN))) config space;
  int msg enable;
                                                      Original C code
... };
typedef unsigned int uint32 t;
struct uint32 256 t {
   uint32 t array 256[256];
};
typedef struct uint32 t 256 *uint32 t 256 ptr;
struct e1000 adapter { ...
  struct e1000 rx ring test rx ring;
  uint32 t 256 ptr config space;
  int msg enable;
```

Automatically-generated XDR Definition

Phase 2: Continued

```
typedef unsigned int uint32 t;
struct uint32 256 t {
   uint32 t array 256[256];
};
typedef struct uint32 t 256 *uint32 t 256 ptr;
struct e1000 adapter { ...
  struct e1000 rx ring test rx ring;
  uint32 t 256 ptr config space;
  int msg enable;
                                  Automatically-generated XDR Definition
... };
public class e1000 adapter ... { ...
  public e1000 rx ring test rx ring;
  public uint32 t 256 ptr config space;
  public int msg enable;
  public e1000 adapter () { ... }
  public e1000 adapter(XdrDecStream xdr) { ... }
  public void xdrEncode(XdrEncStream xdr) { ... }
  public void xdrDecode(XdrDecStream xdr) { ... }
                                           Automatically-generated Java
```

Driver Evolution

- Example: E1000 network driver 2.6.18.1 to 2.6.27
 - e1000_adapter structure needs additional members
- > XPC does not transfer new fields automatically
- Solution: the driver is the specification
 - 1) Add new member definitions to original e1000.h
 - 2) Re-run DriverSlicer
 - 3) Use variables in Driver Nucleus or Decaf Driver

Design Summary

- Decaf meets its goals
- Decaf supports
 - Compatibility with existing drivers
 - A migration path from C to Java
 - Evolution of kernels and drivers



Outline


- Introduction
- Overview
- Design and Implementation
- Evaluation
 - Conversion effort
 - Performance analysis
 - Benefits of Decaf Drivers
 - Case study of E1000 gigabit network driver
- Conclusion

Conversion Effort


| | Original | A 10 10 0 | Functions | | |
|----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Driver | Lines of Code | Anno- tations | Driver Nucleus | Decaf Driver | Driver |
| | Code | | Nucleus | Dilvei | Library |
| e1000 | 14,204 | 64 | 46 | 236 | 0 |
| 8139too | 1,916 | 17 | 12 | 25 | 16 |
| ens1371 | 2,165 | 18 | 6 | 59 | 0 |
| psmouse | 2,448 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 74 |
| uhci-hcd | 2,339 | 94 | 68 | 3 | 12 |



Results: Relative Performance



Results: CPU Utilization



Experience Rewriting Drivers

- Step one: initial conversion
 - Largely mechanical: syntax is similar
 - Leaf functions first, then remainder
- Step two: use Java language features
 - Example benefit: E1000 exception handling



Java Error Handling

Original C, e1000_hw.c

- Many extra conditionals
- Easy to miss an error condition

Java Error Handling

Java, e1000_hw.java

- E1000 Decaf Driver: using exceptions
 - Uncovered at least 28 cases of ignored error conditions
 - Resulting code 8% shorter overall

Conclusions

- Decaf Drivers simplify driver programming
 - Provide a migration path from C to Java
 - Allow driver code to run in user mode
 - Support continued driver and kernel evolution
 - Offer excellent performance



Questions?

For more information:

mjr@cs.wisc.edu

swift@cs.wisc.edu

http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~swift/drivers

