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Abstract

In both plain \TeX and \LaTeX, most local alignment issues are addressed using \texttt{\textbackslash smash}, \texttt{\textbackslash phantom}, \texttt{\textbackslash hphantom}, \texttt{\textbackslash llap,} and \texttt{\textbackslash rlap}. (\LaTeX also provides \texttt{\textbackslash makebox}. All these macros are reviewed in this article.) However, conspicuously missing is a horizontal version of \texttt{\textbackslash smash}, which is necessary, for example, to eliminate the excessive whitespace surrounding the large operator in

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} X_{ij}.$$  

Another snag: whereas \texttt{\textbackslash smash} and \texttt{\textbackslash phantom} behave as expected in both horizontal mode and math mode, \texttt{\textbackslash llap, \textbackslash rlap, and \textbackslash makebox} are not suited for use in math mode.

This article introduces the macro \texttt{\textbackslash clap} (simultaneously a centered version of \texttt{\textbackslash llap/\textbackslash rlap} and a horizontal version of \texttt{\textbackslash smash}), and the three macros \texttt{\textbackslash mathllap, \textbackslash mathrlap, and \textbackslash mathclap} (versions of \texttt{\textbackslash llap, \textbackslash rlap, and \textbackslash clap} designed for math mode).

1 Think in terms of boxes

To understand how alignment works, we should follow a \TeX guru’s mantra: think in terms of boxes.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

If we ignore some of the details, we are left with:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$X_{ij}$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

Evidently every item typeset by \TeX has two components: the ink component, and the box component. The latter is often called the “boundary box” of the item, but this can be misleading, as the box may differ radically from the tightest one surrounding the ink. \TeX’s alignment calculations are performed entirely in terms of box components. In fact, \TeX understands nothing about the ink component (such as its shape), and merely passes it along to the output file.

For individual glyphs, the box is part of the font’s design and is encoded in the font’s TFM file. That box may admit a margin or allow ink to spill out: \begin{center} \begin{tikzpicture} \node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$}; \end{tikzpicture} \end{center} But when \TeX constructs a big box from many small boxes, the new outer box will be the tightest one around all inner boxes.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

Finally, \TeX composes a line by placing boxes side-by-side without overlap.

Thus, to eliminate the excessive whitespace surrounding the large operator, we must reduce the width of \begin{center} \begin{tikzpicture} \node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$}; \end{tikzpicture} \end{center} to

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

which is a box of width 0 (indicated by a line) with ink sticking out equally on either side. The result:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$X_{ij}$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

(What looks like a box surrounding “$i \leq j$” is actually the bottom portion of the outer box that surrounds the box of the operator and the zero-width box of the subscript.)

In the next section, we’ll review the macros, available in plain \TeX and \LaTeX, that affect alignment by altering boxes. Then we’ll introduce the new macro for achieving the effect discussed above.

2 Review of existing macros

The macro \texttt{\textbackslash smash} boxes up its material but sets the height and depth of the box to 0. Thus it is the box itself that gets smashed, not the ink in the box. To smash both the box and the ink, i.e., to smash the box and eliminate the ink, use \texttt{\textbackslash hphantom} in place of \texttt{\textbackslash smash}. Thus \texttt{\textbackslash hphantom} produces no ink: the horizontal horizontal remaining after the smashing is an infinitely thin horizontal line segment just as wide as the original material.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [align=center] (X) at (0,0) {$X_{ij}$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

(\texttt{align} \hspace{1pt} \texttt{align} \hspace{1pt} \texttt{align})

(original \hspace{1pt} \texttt{\textbackslash smash} \hspace{1pt} \texttt{\textbackslash hphantom})

(By the way: it may be easier to understand these macros by their effect in the context of neighboring
material, which is shown in the two tables at the end of this article.) Now compare the above with:

\[
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\text{align} & \text{align} & \text{align} \\
\text{original} & \text{\phantom{phantom}} & \text{\phantom{phantom}} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Evidently \text{\phantom{phantom}} eliminates the ink without changing the box, while \text{\phantom{phantom}} eliminates the ink and smash the box horizontally. (The first letter of \text{\phantom{phantom}} and \text{\phantom{phantom}} refers not to the direction of smashing but to the shape of the result.) To smash the box horizontally, without affecting the ink, there are \text{\llap{...}} and \text{\rlap{...}}. The former aligns the smashed box at the right end of the ink (so that we end up with a “left overlap”), while the latter aligns the smashed box at the left end (resulting in a “right overlap”).

Finally, plain \TeX and \LaTeXX diverge as follows. Missing in plain \TeX is a macro we’ll define in section 4 and call \text{\clap{...}}, which aligns the smashed box halfway between the left and right ends of the ink (we might call this a “centered overlap”). \LaTeXX already provides it under a different name:

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{\makebox[0pt][c]{...}} \\
\text{\makebox[0pt][r]{...}} \\
\text{\makebox[0pt][l]{...}}
\end{array}
\]

Concerning math mode

Whereas \text{\smash{...}} and the three \text{\phantom{...}} macros work correctly both in horizontal mode and in math mode, \text{\llap{...}} and \text{\rlap{...}} (and the \LaTeXX-only \text{\makebox{...}}) are suited only for horizontal mode. To use them in math mode, we must resort to monstrosities like

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{\llap{\mathsurround=0pt\scriptstyle{...}}}} \\
\text{\rlap{\mathsurround=0pt\scriptstyle{...}}}}
\end{array}
\]

Here \text{\rlap{...}} exited math mode, so we had to:

- use \text{$\}$} to get back into math mode,
- use \text{\mathsurround} to eliminate whitespace introduced whenever we enter math mode, and
- reintroduce whatever math style was in effect before the \text{\rlap{...}}.

With \text{\smash{...}} and \text{\phantom{...}} such shenanigans are unnecessary (indeed errors) because those macros use \text{\ifmmode} to test for the current mode and use \text{\mathpalette} to maintain the current math style. Thus where \text{\smash{...}} and \text{\phantom{...}} are flexible, \text{\llap{...}} and \text{\rlap{...}} are efficient. Why the dichotomy? Perhaps Knuth can explain, but the matter is moot: plain \TeX is essentially frozen, and future versions of \LaTeXX are unlikely to deviate. All we can do is introduce new macros to fill in the gaps. They will be called \text{\mathllap{...}}, \text{\mathrlap{...}}, and \text{\mathclap{...}}. (For \LaTeXX consistency we might also define \text{\mathmakebox{...}} as a math mode analogue of \text{\makebox{...}}, but don’t show the code here.)

4 The new macros

Use these macros with plain \TeX or with \LaTeXX.

- For comparison, the existing overlap macros:

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{\llap{\mathsurround=0pt\scriptstyle{...}}}} \\
\text{\rlap{\mathsurround=0pt\scriptstyle{...}}}}
\end{array}
\]

Concerning names, initially I used \text{\smash{...}} in place of \text{\llap{...}}, and had it test for math mode and maintain the current math style. Thus, whereas \text{\smash{...}} is like \text{\phantom{...}}, my \text{\smash{...}} was like \text{\phantom{...}}. Concerned that the names were confusing, I pondered: clapping one’s hands together might be the horizontal analogue of smashing one’s hands on, say, a desk. Thus the name \text{\clap{...}} was born, but the definition still mimicked \text{\smash{...}}. Only later did I realize the obvious connection (both in name and behavior) with \text{\llap{...}}. Remembering the separate need for math versions of those macros, I arrived at the design presented in this article: three overlap macros for horizontal mode, and separately three overlap macros for math mode.
By inserting \mathllap thrice, \mathrlap twice, and \quad once (exercise: determine where), we reduce whitespace and allow $\alpha^2$ to stick out:

$$x + 1 + \alpha$$

$$(1 + \alpha)x + \frac{2}{2}$$

$$(1 + \alpha)x - \alpha - \alpha^2$$

$$2 + \alpha + \alpha^2$$

\[\sum (1 + \alpha)x + \frac{2}{2} (1 + \alpha)x - \alpha - \alpha^2 2 + \alpha + \alpha^2\]

### 5.3 Commutative diagrams

Consider the alignment of arrows, objects, and arrow labels in commutative diagrams. Because many diagram packages exist, instead of showing the source for the following simple diagrams, the onus is on the reader to reproduce the following effect using the diagram package of choice.

By putting all the primes inside \mathrlap,

$$C \xrightarrow{\phi} C'$$

\[\phantom{\mathrlap{C'\xrightarrow{\phi}}}\]

might become

$$C \xrightarrow{\phi} C'$$

\[\phantom{\mathrlap{C'\xrightarrow{\phi}}}\]

I wrote *might* because some minor additional fiddling may be necessary due to the difficulty that the box of an entry such as $C\mathrlap{''}$ surrounds only the “$C''$”; consequently, the neighboring arrow may land on top of the primes. Depending on your choice of diagram package, you might work around this problem by demanding some entries to have a wider margin, or by defining a new horizontal arrow that has some extra space at one end. (The diagrams above were produced using \ialign, so I simply preceded each arrow with \mskip\thinmuskip.)

### 6 Conclusion and acknowledgment

The new macros complement the existing ones by filling in the obvious gaps, as is evident from the tables below. My hope is that these macros (along with \mathmakebox—see section 3) will be incorporated into a future version of \LaTeX, or at least become part of the amsmath package of \AmS-\LaTeX.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MACRO</th>
<th>MODE</th>
<th>EFFECT</th>
<th>IN CONTEXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>either</td>
<td>flushl</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\smash</td>
<td>either</td>
<td>align</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\phantom</td>
<td>either</td>
<td>align</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\hphantom</td>
<td>either</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\llap</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>alignl</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rlap</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>alignr</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\clap</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>alignc</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathllap</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>alignl</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathrlap</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>alignr</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathclap</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>alignc</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Effect of existing macros (first six) and new macros (last four).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMBINATION</th>
<th>MODE</th>
<th>IN CONTEXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\llap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rlap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\clap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>horiz.</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathllap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathrlap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mathclap{\smash{...}}</td>
<td>math</td>
<td>align</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The remaining effects are achieved using combinations.

For useful comments on an earlier version of this article, and in particular for telling me about \makebox, I thank Michael Downes.
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