

File Organizations and Indexing

Chapter 8

"How index-learning turns no student pale Yet holds the eel of science by the tail." -- Alexander Pope (1688-1744)

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Alternative File Organizations

Many alternatives exist, each ideal for some situation, and not so good in others:

- <u>Heap files:</u> Suitable when typical access is a file scan retrieving all records.
- <u>Sorted Files:</u> Best if records must be retrieved in some order, or only a `range' of records is needed.
- Hashed Files: Good for equality selections.
 - ◆ File is a collection of <u>buckets</u>. Bucket = primary page plus zero or more overflow pages.
 - ◆ *Hashing function* **h**: **h**(*r*) = bucket in which record *r* belongs. **h** looks at only some of the fields of *r*, called the *search fields*.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

.

Cost Model for Our Analysis

We ignore CPU costs, for simplicity:

- B: The number of data pages
- R: Number of records per page
- D: (Average) time to read or write disk page
- Measuring number of page I/O's ignores gains of pre-fetching blocks of pages; thus, even I/O cost is only approximated.
- Average-case analysis; based on several simplistic assumptions.
 - **☞** Good enough to show the overall trends!

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Assumptions in Our Analysis

- * Single record insert and delete.
- * Heap Files:
 - Equality selection on key; exactly one match.
 - Insert always at end of file.
- * Sorted Files:
 - Files compacted after deletions.
 - Selections on sort field(s).
- * Hashed Files:
 - No overflow buckets, 80% page occupancy.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Cost of Operations

			TT 1 1
	Heap	Sorted	Hashed
	File	File	File
Scan all recs			
Equality Search			
Range Search			
Insert			
Delete			

Several assumptions underlie these (rough) estimates!

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Cost of Operations

•			
	Heap	Sorted	Hashed
	File	File	File
Scan all recs	BD	BD	1.25 BD
Equality Search	0.5 BD	D log ₂ B	D
Range Search	BD	D (log ₂ B + # of pages with matches)	1.25 BD
Insert	2D	Search + BD	2D
Delete	Search + D	Search + BD	2D

Several assumptions underlie these (rough) estimates!

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Indexes

- ❖ An <u>index</u> on a file speeds up selections on the search key fields for the index.
 - Any subset of the fields of a relation can be the search key for an index on the relation.
 - Search key is not the same as key (minimal set of fields that uniquely identify a record in a relation).
- An index contains a collection of data entries, and supports efficient retrieval of all data entries k* with a given key value k.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Alternatives for Data Entry k* in Index

- Three alternatives:
 - 1 Data record with key value k
 - ② <k, rid of data record with search key value k>
 - 3 < k, list of rids of data records with search key k > k
- Choice of alternative for data entries is orthogonal to the indexing technique used to locate data entries with a given key value k.
 - Examples of indexing techniques: B+ trees, hash-based structures
 - Typically, index contains auxiliary information that directs searches to the desired data entries

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

❖ Alternative 1:

- If this is used, index structure is a file organization for data records (like Heap files or sorted files).

Alternatives for Data Entries (Contd.)

- At most one index on a given collection of data records can use Alternative 1. (Otherwise, data records duplicated, leading to redundant storage and potential inconsistency.)
- If data records very large, # of pages containing data entries is high. Implies size of auxiliary information in the index is also large, typically.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Alternatives for Data Entries (Contd.)

- * Alternatives 2 and 3:
 - Data entries typically much smaller than data records. So, better than Alternative 1 with large data records, especially if search keys are small. (Portion of index structure used to direct search is much smaller than with Alternative 1.)
 - If more than one index is required on a given file, at most one index can use Alternative 1; rest must use Alternatives 2 or 3.
 - Alternative 3 more compact than Alternative 2, but leads to variable sized data entries even if search keys are of fixed length.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

10


Index Classification

- *Primary* vs. *secondary*: If search key contains primary key, then called primary index.
 - Unique index: Search key contains a candidate key.
- Clustered vs. unclustered: If order of data records is the same as, or `close to', order of data entries, then called clustered index.
 - Alternative 1 implies clustered, but not vice-versa.
 - A file can be clustered on at most one search key.
 - Cost of retrieving data records through index varies greatly based on whether index is clustered or not!


Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Clustered vs. Unclustered Index

- Suppose that Alternative (2) is used for data entries, and that the data records are stored in a Heap file.
 - To build clustered index, first sort the Heap file (with some free space on each page for future inserts).
 - Overflow pages may be needed for inserts. (Thus, order of data recs is `close to', but not identical to, the sort order.)




8



- Dense vs. Sparse: If there is at least one data entry per search key value (in some data record), then dense.
 - Alternative 1 always leads to dense index.
 - Every sparse index is clustered!
 - Sparse indexes are smaller; however, some useful optimizations are based on dense indexes.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke



Index Classification (Contd.) Composite Search Keys: Search Examples of composite key indexes using lexicographic order. on a combination of fields. Equality query: Every field value is equal to a constant 11.80 11 12.10 12 value. E.g. wrt <sal,age> index: 12,20 • age=20 and sal =75 bob 12 10 13.75 13 Range query: Some field value cal 11 80 <age> <age, sa joe 12 20 is not a constant. E.g.: \bullet age =20; or age=20 and sal > 10 10.12 sue 13 75 10 20 Data entries in index sorted Data records 75.13 sorted by name 75 by search key to support 80.11 80 range queries.

Data entries in index

sorted by <sal,age>

Data entries

sorted by <sal>

Summary

- Many alternative file organizations exist, each appropriate in some situation.
- If selection queries are frequent, sorting the file or building an *index* is important.
 - Hash-based indexes only good for equality search.
 - Sorted files and tree-based indexes best for range search; also good for equality search. (Files rarely kept sorted in practice; B+ tree index is better.)
- Index is a collection of data entries plus a way to quickly find entries with given key values.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Summary (Contd.)

Lexicographic order, or

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Spatial order.

- Data entries can be actual data records, <key, rid> pairs, or <key, rid-list> pairs.
 - Choice orthogonal to *indexing technique* used to locate data entries with a given key value.
- Can have several indexes on a given file of data records, each with a different search key.
- Indexes can be classified as clustered vs. unclustered, primary vs. secondary, and dense vs. sparse. Differences have important consequences for utility/performance.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

16