

Security Solutions and Encryption

Questions answered in these notes:

- How does one increase level of security?
- What is public key encryption?
- What is private key encryption?
- How is each used for security?
- How is each used for authentication?

Reading for topic: [Chapter 19 & 20](#)

Regaining Security

May be impossible to secure system once penetrated

- Not all possible to tell that security violation occurred
Villain can remove all traces from log files
- Hooks could have been left around for the imposter to regain control
- Cannot restore system from backup tapes
Attack could have occurred earlier than suspected

Only solution

- Remove all files from disk and reinstall all software

Why Less a Problem with Humans?

Humans do not easily forget events

- Computer memory is volatile
- May leave no trace of past events

Humans usually know who they are interacting with

- Anonymity occurs easily on computers
- Cannot tell who is doing what
- Assume person logged as true self

Do not usually trust personal property to new acquaintances

- More trusting of computers
- Any program you run could modify any of your files

Security Solutions

Logging

- Record all important events and uses of privilege in an indelible file
Write-once disk
- Examples
 - Attempts to specify an incorrect password
 - All logins
 - All super-user actions
- Can be used to catch imposters during initial attempts and failures
- Even better to get humans involved at key steps
 - One of the solutions for Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT)
 - Periodically check logs for strange events
- Drawback: Can leak passwords into logs

More Security Solutions

Caller identification

- Telephone: traditional for callers to be anonymous, but not receivers
 - Very difficult to catch electronic thieves
- Need a change of **policy** to eliminate caller anonymity
- Solution: Callback

Principle of minimum privilege (need-to-know):

- Each piece has access to minimum information, for minimum time
- Example
 - File system cannot touch memory map, memory manager cannot touch disk blocks
- Reduces chances of accidental or intentional damage
- Impossible to provide absolute information containment
 - Covert channels

Encryption

Goals

- Secure communication: No one can eavesdrop
- Authentication : Establish identity of source; info cannot be modified

Mechanism: Convert data to form that does not make sense

- Initial readable text that needs protection: *clear text*
- Encrypt the clear text so that it does not make sense: cipher text
 - Controlled by function or number: *encryption key*
 - Encrypted text can be stored in readable file or transmitted over unprotected channels
- To make sense of cipher text, *decrypt* it back into clear text
 - Performed with secret function or number: *decryption key*
 - Based on factoring very large numbers (product of two primes)

Necessary Conditions

Encryption function cannot be easily inverted

- Cannot discover clear text unless know decryption key

Keys must be protected

- If encryption and decryption keys are identical, cannot leak either key

Encryption and decryption must be done in safe place

- Otherwise, could snoop clear text
- Trusted computing base (TCB)
 - Software and hardware that must behave correctly

Public Key Encryption

Two keys for every user: Public and private key

- Everyone knows all public keys
- Only host knows the private key (secret key)

Requirements

- Cannot derive one from knowing the other
- Public and private keys are inverses of the other
 - Encode with private key of A --> Decode with public key of A
{Message}_{SA}
 - Encode with public key of A --> Decode with private key of A
{Message}_{PA}

Authentication with Public Keys

Authentication

- Reliably identify the sender of a message
- Example: A sends to B; B must know A sent message
A->B: {Message}^{SA}
B: Can decode {Message}^{SA} with PA
No one else but A could have encoded a valid message

Positive Identification

- Example: "I agree to pay Mary \$100 per year for duration of my life"
- If message can be decrypted with your public key, then written by you
Anyone can verify author of message
- Electronic signature: Can be legally binding

Security & Authentication with Public Keys

Secure communication

- Ensure that no one can snoop on messages
- Example: A sends to B
A->B: {Message}^{PB}
B: Can decode {Message}^{PB} with SB
No one else but B can decode {Message}^{PB}
• Anyone can send such a message to B

Combine above strategies for both security and authentication

- Example: A sends a message to B that only B can read;
B knows that only A could have created message
A->B: {{Message}^{PB}}^{SA}
B: Can decode {{Message}^{PB}}^{SA} with PA to {Message}^{PB}
B: Can decode {Message}^{PB} with SB to get Message

Example with Public Encryption

How to encrypt a message given the following requirements?

- All communication channels are insecure
- There are three parties involved
P: the original sender of the message
S: an intermediary receiver of the message
E: the final receiver of the message
- Only E can read the message
- E must know that the message was written by P
- The message must pass through S before getting to E

Potential Limitations of Encryption

Eavesdroppers can replay messages

- Replaying old messages may confuse parties
Even though eavesdropper does not know what they are replaying...
- Solution: Sequence numbers (nonces) or timestamps in messages

How do you trust public key?

- You hear: "Andrea's public key is K"
- Problem: Who said this?
- Solution: **Authentication Server** that everyone trusts
Everyone knows public key of authentication server: PAS
AS -> A: {Public key of B is PB}^{SAS}
A can decode and know that only AS could have sent PB

Fail-Secure: Secure if part of system fails

Traditional Encryption

Another problem: Slow encoding and decoding

- 200 Kbits/sec in hardware
- .5 Kbits/sec/MIPS in software

Alternative: Single private key for better speed

- 1200 Kbits/sec in hardware
- 400 Kbits/sec/MIPS in software

Example: Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Associate private key with session between two users

Problem: How do you exchange private session key?

- Cannot send private key unencrypted over channel!
- Solution #1: Use public key encryption to exchange session key

Exchanging Session Keys

Authentication server: Knows private keys of all users

Example

- A wants to talk securely with B, B must authenticate A is sender

Simplified algorithm (without worrying about replay attacks)

- A asks authentication server for a session key with B
No encryption needed
- Authentication server replies with new conversation key CK

AS->A: {CK, {A, CK}^{KB}}^{KA}

If decrypted message makes sense, only AS could have sent message

Only A can decrypt message and get CK

- A sends message to B telling it the key

A->B: {A, CK}^{KB}

No one could modify message to change name of sender

Secure Signatures with Private Keys

Problem: How do I know if binary file was modified in transit?

- If not worried about eavesdropping, faster to not encrypt entire file

Solution: Secure checksum or characteristic value

- Also called *Message Digests* or *Digital fingerprint*
- Function(Message) = large integer (e.g., 1024 bits)
Difficult to find another message that maps to same integer

Example: A sends file to B

- A calculates checksum of file; ask authentication server to encrypt
- A sends message and encrypted checksum to B

A->B: file, {CK}^{KA}AS

- B receives file and computes checksum
- B asks AS to decode CK so it can compare two

B->AS: {CK}^{KA}AS

AS->B: {CK}^{KB}

Improving Encryption Safety

How safe is encryption?

- DES 56 bit key --> 2⁵⁶ possible keys
- Crack by guessing with many machines --> RSA Challenge

Solutions

- Upgrade encryption as computers become more powerful
- Remove known patterns from the clear text
Example: Your name
Compress clear text before encryption

- Do not send large amounts of information with the same key
Change keys frequently

Implication for digital signatures over lifetime?

Two Philosophies for Protection

Keep the mechanism secret

- Advantage: Harder to break in if know nothing about structure
- Disadvantage: Harder to keep secure if secret is released

Publish the mechanism

- Disadvantage: Easier to break in if can find design flaws or bugs
- Advantage: Encourage bad person — good person fight
 - Offer reward for reporting security holes
 - Find holes and fix quickly

Encryption Today

Theory more advanced than practice

- Must improve to support electronic commerce

Currently in Unix

- No encryption performed for network services
- Rlogin, NFS, ftp, etc.

Kerberos

- Based on DES
- Get tickets from server