

# Building A Recursive Descent Parser

We start with a procedure `Match`, that matches the current input token against a predicted token:

```
void Match(Terminal a) {  
    if (a == currentToken)  
        currentToken = Scanner();  
    else SyntaxError();  
}
```

To build a parsing procedure for a non-terminal  $A$ , we look at all productions with  $A$  on the lefthand side:

$$A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n \mid A \rightarrow Y_1 \dots Y_m \mid \dots$$

We use predict sets to decide which production to match (LL(1) grammars always have disjoint predict sets).

We match a production's righthand side by calling `Match` to match terminals, and calling parsing procedures to match non-terminals.

The general form of a parsing procedure for

$$A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n \mid A \rightarrow Y_1 \dots Y_m \mid \dots$$

is

```
void A() {
    if (currentToken in Predict(A→X1...Xn))
        for(i=1;i<=n;i++)
            if (X[i] is a terminal)
                Match(X[i]);
            else X[i]();
    else
        if (currentToken in Predict(A→Y1...Ym))
            for(i=1;i<=m;i++)
                if (Y[i] is a terminal)
                    Match(Y[i]);
                else Y[i]();
    else
        // Handle other A →... productions
    else // No production predicted
        SyntaxError();
}
```

Usually this general form isn't used. Instead, each production is "macro-expanded" into a sequence of **Match** and parsing procedure calls.

# Example: CSX-Lite

Production	Predict Set
<b>Prog</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>{ Stmts } Eof</b>	<b>{</b>
<b>Stmts</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>Stmt Stmts</b>	<b>id if</b>
<b>Stmts</b> $\rightarrow$ $\lambda$	<b>}</b>
<b>Stmt</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>id = Expr ;</b>	<b>id</b>
<b>Stmt</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>if ( Expr ) Stmt</b>	<b>if</b>
<b>Expr</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>id Etail</b>	<b>id</b>
<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>+ Expr</b>	<b>+</b>
<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>- Expr</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ $\lambda$	<b>) ;</b>

# CSX-Lite Parsing Procedures

```
void Prog() {
    Match("{");
    Stmts();
    Match("}");
    Match(Eof);
}

void Stmts() {
    if (currentToken == id ||
        currentToken == if) {
        Stmt();
        Stmts();
    } else {
        /* null */
    }
}
```

```

void Stmt() {
    if (currentToken == id){
        Match(id);
        Match("=");
        Expr();
        Match(";");
    } else {
        Match(if);
        Match("(");
        Expr();
        Match(")");
        Stmt();
    }
}

void Expr() {
    Match(id);
    Etail();
}

void Etail() {
    if (currentToken == "+") {
        Match("+");
        Expr();
    } else if (currentToken == "-") {
        Match("-");
        Expr();
    } else {
        /* null */
    }
}

```

Let's use recursive descent to parse

{ a = b + c; } Eof

We start by calling `Prog()` since this represents the start symbol.

<b>Calls Pending</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<code>Prog()</code>	{ a = b + c; } Eof
<code>Match("{" );</code> <code>Stmts();</code> <code>Match("}");</code> <code>Match(Eof);</code>	{ a = b + c; } Eof
<code>Stmts();</code> <code>Match("}");</code> <code>Match(Eof);</code>	a = b + c; } Eof
<code>Stmt();</code> <code>Stmts();</code> <code>Match("}");</code> <code>Match(Eof);</code>	a = b + c; } Eof

<b>Calls Pending</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<pre>Match(id); Match("="); Expr(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>a = b + c; } Eof</pre>
<pre>Match("="); Expr(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>= b + c; } Eof</pre>
<pre>Expr(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>b + c; } Eof</pre>
<pre>Match(id); Etail(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>b + c; } Eof</pre>



Calls Pending	Remaining Input
<pre> Etail(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof); </pre>	<pre> + c; } Eof </pre>
<pre> Match("+"); Expr(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof); </pre>	<pre> + c; } Eof </pre>
<pre> Expr(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof); </pre>	<pre> c; } Eof </pre>
<pre> Match(id); Etail(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof); </pre>	<pre> c; } Eof </pre>

Calls Pending	Remaining Input
<pre>Etail(); Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>; } Eof</pre>
<pre>/* null */ Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>; } Eof</pre>
<pre>Match(";"); Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>; } Eof</pre>
<pre>Stmts(); Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>} Eof</pre>
<pre>/* null */ Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>} Eof</pre>
<pre>Match("}"); Match(Eof);</pre>	<pre>} Eof</pre>

<b>Calls Pending</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>Match(Eof) ;</b>	<b>Eof</b>
<b>Done!</b>	<b>All input matched</b>

# Syntax Errors in Recursive Descent Parsing

In recursive descent parsing, syntax errors are automatically detected. In fact, they are detected *as soon as possible* (as soon as the first illegal token is seen).

How? When an illegal token is seen by the parser, either it fails to predict any valid production or it fails to match an expected token in a call to **Match**.

Let's see how the following illegal CSX-lite program is parsed:

```
{ b + c = a; } Eof
```

(Where should the first syntax error be detected?)

<b>Calls Pending</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>Prog( )</b>	<b>{ b + c = a; } Eof</b>
<b>Match( "{ " ); Stmts( ); Match( " } " ); Match( Eof );</b>	<b>{ b + c = a; } Eof</b>
<b>Stmts( ); Match( " } " ); Match( Eof );</b>	<b>b + c = a; } Eof</b>
<b>Stmt( ); Stmts( ); Match( " } " ); Match( Eof );</b>	<b>b + c = a; } Eof</b>
<b>Match( id ); Match( "=" ); Expr( ); Match( ";" ); Stmts( ); Match( " } " ); Match( Eof );</b>	<b>b + c = a; } Eof</b>

<b>Calls Pending</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<pre> Match( "=" ); Expr( ); Match( ";" ); Stmts( ); Match( "}" ); Match( Eof ); </pre>	<pre> + c = a; } Eof </pre>
<p><b>Call to Match fails!</b></p>	<pre> + c = a; } Eof </pre>

# Table-Driven Top-Down Parsers

Recursive descent parsers have many attractive features. They are actual pieces of code that can be read by programmers and extended.

This makes it fairly easy to understand how parsing is done.

Parsing procedures are also convenient places to add code to build ASTs, or to do type-checking, or to generate code.

A major drawback of recursive descent is that it is quite inconvenient to change the grammar being parsed. Any change, even a minor one, may force parsing procedures to be reprogrammed, as

productions and predict sets are modified.

To a less extent, recursive descent parsing is less efficient than it might be, since subprograms are called just to match a single token or to recognize a righthand side.

An alternative to parsing procedures is to encode all prediction in a parsing table. A pre-programmed driver program can use a parse table (and list of productions) to parse any LL(1) grammar.

If a grammar is changed, the parse table and list of productions will change, but the driver need not be changed.



# LL(1) Parse Tables

An LL(1) parse table,  $T$ , is a two-dimensional array. Entries in  $T$  are production numbers or blank (error) entries.

$T$  is indexed by:

- $A$ , a non-terminal.  $A$  is the non-terminal we want to expand.
- $CT$ , the current token that is to be matched.
- $T[A][CT] = A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n$   
if  $CT$  is in  $\text{Predict}(A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n)$   
 $T[A][CT] = \text{error}$   
if  $CT$  predicts no production with  $A$  as its lefthand side

# CSX-lite Example

	Production	Predict Set
1	<b>Prog</b> $\rightarrow$ { <b>Stmts</b> } <b>Eof</b>	{
2	<b>Stmts</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>Stmt</b> <b>Stmts</b>	id if
3	<b>Stmts</b> $\rightarrow$ $\lambda$	}
4	<b>Stmt</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>id</b> = <b>Expr</b> ;	id
5	<b>Stmt</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>if</b> ( <b>Expr</b> ) <b>Stmt</b>	if
6	<b>Expr</b> $\rightarrow$ <b>id</b> <b>Etail</b>	id
7	<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ + <b>Expr</b>	+
8	<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ - <b>Expr</b>	-
9	<b>Etail</b> $\rightarrow$ $\lambda$	) ;

	{	}	if	(	)	id	=	+	-	;	eof
<b>Prog</b>	1										
<b>Stmts</b>		3	2			2					
<b>Stmt</b>			5			4					
<b>Expr</b>						6					
<b>Etail</b>					9			7	8	9	

# LL(1) Parser Driver

Here is the driver we'll use with the LL(1) parse table. We'll also use a *parse stack* that remembers symbols we have yet to match.

```
void LLDriver(){
    Push(StartSymbol);
    while(! stackEmpty()){
        //Let X=Top symbol on parse stack
        //Let CT = current token to match
        if (isTerminal(X)) {
            match(X); //CT is updated
            pop();    //X is updated
        } else if (T[X][CT] != Error){
            //Let T[X][CT] = X→Y1...Ym
            Replace X with
                Y1...Ym on parse stack
        } else SyntaxError(CT);
    }
}
```

# Example of LL(1) Parsing

We'll again parse  
`{ a = b + c; } Eof`

We start by placing Prog (the start symbol) on the parse stack.

<b>Parse Stack</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>Prog</b>	<b>{ a = b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>{ Stmts } Eof</b>	<b>{ a = b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>Stmts } Eof</b>	<b>a = b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>Stmt Stmts } Eof</b>	<b>a = b + c; } Eof</b>

<b>Parse Stack</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>id</b> <b>=</b> <b>Expr</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>a = b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>=</b> <b>Expr</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>= b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>Expr</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>b + c; } Eof</b>
<b>id</b> <b>Etail</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>b + c; } Eof</b>

<b>Parse Stack</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>Etail</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>+ c; } Eof</b>
<b>+</b> <b>Expr</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>+ c; } Eof</b>
<b>Expr</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>c; } Eof</b>
<b>id</b> <b>Etail</b> <b>;</b> <b>Stmts</b> <b>}</b> <b>Eof</b>	<b>c; } Eof</b>

<b>Parse Stack</b>	<b>Remaining Input</b>
<b>Etail ; } Eof</b>	<b>; } Eof</b>
<b>; } Eof</b>	<b>; } Eof</b>
<b>} Eof</b>	<b>} Eof</b>
<b>} Eof</b>	<b>} Eof</b>
<b>Eof</b>	<b>Eof</b>
<b>Done!</b>	<b>All input matched</b>