CS 536 Announcements for Monday, March 11, 2024

Programming Assignment 3 – due Friday, March 15

Midterm 2 – Thursday, March 21

Last Time

- review grammar transformations
- building a predictive parser
- FIRST and FOLLOW sets

Today

- review parse table construction
- predictive parsing and syntax-directed translation

Next Time

- static semantic analysis

Recap of where we are

Predictive parser builds the parse tree top-down

- 1 token lookahead
- parse/selector table
- stack tracking current parse tree's frontier

Building the parse table – given production *lhs* → *rhs*, determine what terminals would lead us to choose that production

FIRST(α) = { T | (T ϵ Σ ∧ α =>* Tβ) ∨ (T = ε ∧ α =>* ε) }

FOLLOW(a) = { T | (T ϵ Σ ∧ s =>* αaTβ) ∨ (T = EOF ∧ s =>* αa) }

FIRST and FOLLOW sets

FIRST(α) for $\alpha = y_1 y_2 \ldots y_k$

Add FIRST(y_1) – { ε }

If ε is in FIRST($y_{1 \text{ to } i-1}$), add FIRST(y_i) – { ε }

If ε is in all RHS symbols, add ε

FOLLOW(a) for x → α a β

If a is the start, add EOF

Add FIRST(β) – { ε }

Add FOLLOW(x) if ε is in FIRST(β) or β is empty

Note that

FIRST sets

- only contain alphabet terminals and ε
- defined for arbitrary RHS and nonterminals
- constructed by started at the beginning of a production

FOLLOW sets

- only contain alphabet terminals and EOF
- defined for nonterminals only
- constructed by jumping into production

Putting it all together

- Build FIRST sets for each nonterminal
- Build FIRST sets for each production's RHS
- Build FOLLOW sets for each nonterminal
- Use FIRST and FOLLOW sets to fill parse table for each production

Building the parse table

```
for each production x → α {
     for each terminal T in FIRST(α) {
         put α in table[x][T]
     }
     if ε is in FIRST(α) {
         for each terminal T in FOLLOW(x) {
             put α in table[x][T]
         }
     }
}
```

Example

CFG

s → a C | b a
a → A B | C s
b → D | ε

FIRST and FOLLOW sets

	FIRST sets	FOLLOW sets
s		
a		
b		
s → a C		
s → b a		
a → A B		
a → C s		
b → D		
b → ε		

Parse table

```
for each production x → α
    for each terminal T in FIRST(α)
        put α in table[x][T]
    if ε is in FIRST(α)
        for each terminal T in FOLLOW(x)
            put α in table[x][T]
```

	A	B	C	D	EOF
s					
a					
b					

Example

CFG

s → (s) | { s } | ε

FIRST and FOLLOW sets

	FIRST sets	FOLLOW sets
s		
s → (s)		
s → { s }		
s → ε		

Parse table

```
for each production x → α
    for each terminal T in FIRST(α)
        put α in table[x][T]
    if ε is in FIRST(α)
        for each terminal T in FOLLOW(x)
            put α in table[x][T]
```

	(	)	{	}	EOF
s					

Parsing and syntax-directed translation

Recall syntax-directed tranlation (SDT)

To translate a sequence of tokens

- build the parse tree
- use translation rules to compute the translation of each non-terminal in the parse tree, bottom up
- the translation of the sequence is the translation of the parse tree's root non-terminal

CFG:		SDT rules:
expr →	expr + term	$expr_1$.trans = $expr_2$.trans + term.trans
|	term	expr.trans = term.trans
term →	term * factor	$term_1$.trans = $term_2$.trans * factor.trans
|	factor	term.trans = factor.trans
factor →	INTLIT	factor.trans = INTLIT.value
|	(expr)	factor.trans = expr.trans

The LL(1) parser never needed to explicitly build the parse tree
– it was implicitly tracked via the stack.

Instead of building parse tree, give parser a second, ***semantic*** stack

SDT ***rules*** are converted to ***actions***

CFG:		SDT actions:
expr →	expr + term	tTrans = pop; eTrans = pop; push(eTrans + tTrans)
|	term	tTrans = pop; push(tTrans)
term →	term * factor	fTrans = pop; tTrans = pop; push(tTrans * fTrans)
|	factor	fTrans = pop; push(fTrans)
factor →	INTLIT	push(INTLIT.value)
|	(expr)	eTrans = pop; push(eTrans)

Parsing and syntax-directed translation (cont.)

Augment the parsing algorithm

- number the actions
- when RHS of production is pushed onto symbol stack, include the actions
- when action is the top of symbol stack, pop & perform the action

CFG:			SDT actions:
expr	→	expr + term	tTrans = pop; eTrans = pop; push(eTrans + tTrans)
	|	term	
term	→	term * factor	fTrans = pop; tTrans = pop; push(tTrans * fTrans)
	|	factor	
factor	→	INTLIT	push(INTLIT.value)
	|	(expr)	

Placing the action numbers in the productions

- action numbers go
  - after their corresponding non-terminals
  - before their corresponding terminal

Building the LL(1) parser

1) Define SDT using the original grammar

- write translation rules
- convert translation rules to actions that push/pop using semantic stack
- incorporate action #s into grammar rules

2) Transform grammar to LL(1)

3) Compute FIRST and FOLLOW sets

4) Build the parse table

Example SDT on transformed grammar

Original CFG:

expr → expr + term #1
| term

term → term * factor #2
| factor

factor → #3 INTLIT
| (expr)

Transformed CFG:

expr → term expr'
expr' → + term #1 expr'
| ε
term → factor term'
term' → * factor #2 term'
| ε
factor → #3 INTLIT | (expr)

Transformed CFG:

expr → term expr'

expr' → + term #1 expr' | ε

term → factor term'

term' → * factor #2 term' | ε

factor → #3 INTLIT | (expr)

SDT actions:

#1 : tTrans = pop;
eTrans = pop;
push(eTrans + tTrans)

#2 : fTrans = pop;
tTrans = pop;
push(tTrans * fTrans)

#3 : push(INTLIT.val)

Parse table

	+	*	(	)	INTLIT	EOF
expr			term expr'		term expr'	
expr'	+ term #1 expr'			ε		ε
term			factor term'		factor term'	
term'	ε	* factor #2 term'		ε		ε
factor			(expr)		#3 INTLIT	

What about ASTs?

Push and pop AST nodes on the semantic stack

Keep references to nodes that we pop

Original CFG:

expr → expr + term #1
| term

term → #2 INTLIT

Transformed CFG:

expr → term expr'
expr' → + term #1 expr'
| ε
term → #2 INTLIT

SDT actions:

#1 : tTrans = pop;
eTrans = pop;
push(

#2 : push(

Parse table: