Operator Precedence

Most programming languages have *operator precedence* rules that state the order in which operators are applied (in the absence of explicit parentheses). Thus in C and Java and CSX, `a+b*c` means compute `b*c`, then add in `a`.

These operators precedence rules can be incorporated directly into a CFG.

Consider

E → E + T
| T
T → T * P
| P
P → id
| (E)

Does `a+b*c` mean `(a+b)*c` or `a+(b*c)`?

The grammar tells us! Look at the derivation tree:



The other grouping can't be obtained unless explicit parentheses are used.

(Why?)

Java CUP

Java CUP is a parser-generation tool, similar to Yacc.

CUP builds a Java parser for LALR(1) grammars from production rules and associated Java code fragments.

When a particular production is recognized, its associated code fragment is executed (typically to build an AST).

CUP generates a Java source file `parser.java`. It contains a class `parser`, with a method

```
Symbol parse()
```

The `Symbol` returned by the parser is associated with the grammar's start symbol and contains the AST for the whole source program.

The file `sym.java` is also built for use with a JLex-built scanner (so that both scanner and parser use the same token codes).

If an unrecovered syntax error occurs, `Exception()` is thrown by the parser.

CUP and Yacc accept exactly the same class of grammars—all LL(1) grammars, plus many useful non-LL(1) grammars.

CUP is called as

```
java java_cup.Main < file.cup
```

Java CUP Specifications

Java CUP specifications are of the form:

- Package and import specifications
- User code additions
- Terminal and non-terminal declarations
- A context-free grammar, augmented with Java code fragments

Package and Import Specifications

You define a package name as:

```
package name ;
```

You add imports to be used as:

```
import java_cup.runtime.*;
```


User Code Additions

You may define Java code to be included within the generated parser:

```
action code {: /*java code */ :}
```

This code is placed within the generated action class (which holds user-specified production actions).

```
parser code {: /*java code */ :}
```

This code is placed within the generated parser class .

```
init with{: /*java code */ :}
```

This code is used to initialize the generated parser.

```
scan with{: /*java code */ :}
```

This code is used to tell the generated parser how to get tokens from the scanner.

Terminal and Non-terminal Declarations

You define terminal symbols you will use as:

terminal classname $\mathbf{name_1}$**,** $\mathbf{name_2}$**, ...**

classname is a class used by the scanner for tokens (**CSXToken**, **CSXIdentifierToken**, etc.)

You define non-terminal symbols you will use as:

non terminal classname $\mathbf{name_1}$**,** $\mathbf{name_2}$**, ...**

classname is the class for the AST node associated with the non-terminal (**stmtNode**, **exprNode**, etc.)

Production Rules

Production rules are of the form

name ::= $\mathbf{name_1}$ $\mathbf{name_2}$ **... action ;**

or

name ::= $\mathbf{name_1}$ $\mathbf{name_2}$ **...**
$\mathbf{action_1}$
    | $\mathbf{name_3}$ $\mathbf{name_4}$ **...** $\mathbf{action_2}$
    | ...
    ;

Names are the names of terminals or non-terminals, as declared earlier.

Actions are Java code fragments, of the form

```
{: /*java code */ :}
```

The Java object assocated with a symbol (a token or AST node) may be named by adding a **:id** suffix to a terminal or non-terminal in a rule.

RESULT names the left-hand side non-terminal.

The Java classes of the symbols are defined in the terminal and non-terminal declaration sections.

For example,

```
prog ::= LBRACE:l stmts:s RBRACE
   {: RESULT =
        new csxLiteNode(s,
          l.linenum,l.colnum); :}
```

This corresponds to the production

prog → { stmts }

The left brace is named **l**; the stmts non-terminal is called **s**.

In the action code, a new **CSXLiteNode** is created and assigned to **prog**. It is constructed from the AST node associated with **s**. Its line and column numbers are those given to the left brace, **l** (by the scanner).

To tell CUP what non-terminal to use as the start symbol (**prog** in our example), we use the directive:

```
start with prog;
```


Example

Let's look at the CUP specification for CSX-lite. Recall its CFG is

program → { stmts }
stmts → stmt stmts
| λ
stmt → id = expr ;
| if (expr) stmt
expr → expr + id
| expr - id
| id

The corresponding CUP specification is:

```
/***
This Is A Java CUP Specification For
CSX-lite, a Small Subset of The CSX
Language,  Used In Cs536
 ***/


/* Preliminaries to set up and use the
scanner.  */


import java_cup.runtime.*;
parser code {:
 public void syntax_error
  (Symbol cur_token){
   report_error(
    "CSX syntax error at line "+
    String.valueOf(((CSXToken)
       cur_token.value).linenum),
    null);}
:};

init with {:              :};
scan with {:
   return Scanner.next_token();
:};
```

```
/* Terminals (tokens returned by the
scanner). */
terminal CSXIdentifierToken IDENTIFIER;
terminal CSXToken SEMI, LPAREN, RPAREN,
ASG, LBRACE, RBRACE;
terminal CSXToken PLUS, MINUS, rw_IF;

/* Non terminals */
non terminal csxLiteNode prog;
non terminal stmtsNode   stmts;
non terminal stmtNode    stmt;
non terminal exprNode    exp;
non terminal nameNode    ident;


start with prog;

prog::= LBRACE:l stmts:s RBRACE
 {: RESULT=
     new csxLiteNode(s,
          l.linenum,l.colnum); :}
;

stmts::= stmt:s1  stmts:s2
 {: RESULT=
     new stmtsNode(s1,s2,
       s1.linenum,s1.colnum);
 :}
```



```
|
 {: RESULT= stmtsNode.NULL; :}
;
stmt::= ident:id ASG exp:e SEMI
 {: RESULT=
       new asgNode(id,e,
           id.linenum,id.colnum);
 :}

| rw_IF:i LPAREN exp:e RPAREN  stmt:s
 {: RESULT=new ifThenNode(e,s,
            stmtNode.NULL,
            i.linenum,i.colnum); :}
;
exp::=
 exp:leftval PLUS:op ident:rightval
 {: RESULT=new binaryOpNode(leftval,
      sym.PLUS, rightval,
      op.linenum,op.colnum); :}

| exp:leftval MINUS:op ident:rightval
 {: RESULT=new binaryOpNode(leftval,
           sym.MINUS,rightval,
           op.linenum,op.colnum); :}
| ident:i
 {: RESULT = i; :}
;
```



```
ident::= IDENTIFIER:i
 {: RESULT = new nameNode(
   new identNode(i.identifierText,
               i.linenum,i.colnum),
   exprNode.NULL,
   i.linenum,i.colnum); :}
;
```


Let’s parse

{ a = b ; }

First, **a** is parsed using

```
ident::= IDENTIFIER:i
 {: RESULT = new nameNode(
   new identNode(i.identifierText,
               i.linenum,i.colnum),
   exprNode.NULL,
   i.linenum,i.colnum); :}
```

We build



Next, `b` is parsed using

```
ident::= IDENTIFIER:i
 {: RESULT = new nameNode(
   new identNode(i.identifierText,
                 i.linenum,i.colnum),
   exprNode.NULL,
   i.linenum,i.colnum); :}
```

We build



Then `b`'s subtree is recognized as an **exp**:

```
| ident:i
 {: RESULT = i; :}
```

Now the assignment statement is recognized:

```
stmt::= ident:id ASG exp:e SEMI
 {: RESULT=
      new asgNode(id,e,
          id.linenum,id.colnum);
 :}
```

We build



The **stmts** → λ production is matched (indicating that there are no more statements in the program).

CUP matches

```
stmts::=
 {: RESULT= stmtsNode.NULL; :}
```

and we build



Next,

stmts → **stmt** **stmts**

is matched using

```
stmts::= stmt:s1  stmts:s2
 {: RESULT=
     new stmtsNode(s1,s2,
       s1.linenum,s1.colnum);
 :}
```


This builds



As the last step of the parse, the parser matches

program → **{ stmts }**

using the CUP rule

```
prog::= LBRACE:l stmts:s RBRACE
 {: RESULT=
     new csxLiteNode(s,
          l.linenum,l.colnum); :}
;
```

The final AST reurned by the parser is



Errors in Context-Free Grammars

Context-free grammars can contain errors, just as programs do. Some errors are easy to detect and fix; others are more subtle.

In context-free grammars we start with the start symbol, and apply productions until a terminal string is produced.

Some context-free grammars may contain *useless* non-terminals.

Non-terminals that are unreachable (from the start symbol) or that derive no terminal string are considered useless.

Useless non-terminals (and productions that involve them) can be safely removed from a

grammar without changing the language defined by the grammar.

A grammar containing useless non-terminals is said to be *non-reduced*.

After useless non-terminals are removed, the grammar is *reduced*.

Consider

$S \rightarrow A\ B$

$\quad |\ \ x$

$B \rightarrow b$

$A \rightarrow a\ A$

$C \rightarrow d$

Which non-terminals are unreachable? Which derive no terminal string?