3. Constant Propagation

If a variable is known to contain a particular constant value at a particular point in the program, replace references to the variable at that point with that constant value.

4. Copy Propagation

After the assignment of one variable to another, a reference to one variable may be replaced with the value of the other variable (until one or the other of the variables is reassigned).

(This may also "set up" dead code elimination. Why?)

5. Constant Folding

An expression involving constant (literal) values may be evaluated and simplified to a constant result value. Particularly useful when constant propagation is performed.

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^{\circledcirc}$ 19

6. Dead Code Elimination

Expressions or statements whose values or effects are unused may be eliminated.

7. Loop Invariant Code Motion

An expression that is *invariant* **in a loop may be moved to the loop's header, evaluated once, and reused within the loop.** *Safety* **and** *profitability* **issues may be involved.**

8. Scalarization (Scalar Replacement)

A field of a structure or an element of an array that is repeatedly read or written may be copied to a local variable, accessed using the local, and later (if necessary) copied back.

This optimization allows the local variable (and in effect the field or array component) to be allocated to a register.

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^\circ$ 20

9. Local Register Allocation

Within a *basic block* **(a straight line sequence of code) track register contents and reuse variables and constants from registers.**

10. Global Register Allocation

Within a subprogram, frequently accessed variables and constants are allocated to registers. Usually there are *many more* **register candidates than available registers.**

11. Interprocedural Register Allocation

Variables and constants accessed by more than one subprogram are allocated to registers. This can *greatly* **reduce call/return overhead.**

12. Register Targeting

Compute values directly into the intended target register.

13. Interprocedural Code Motion

Move instructions across subprogram boundaries.

14. Call Inlining

At the site of a call, insert the body of a subprogram, with actual parameters initializing formal parameters.

15. Code Hoisting and Sinking

If the same code sequence appears in two or more alternative execution paths, the code may be *hoisted* **to a common ancestor or** *sunk* **to a common successor. (This reduces code size, but does not reduce instruction count.)**

16. Loop Unrolling

Replace a loop body executed N times with an expanded loop body consisting of M copies of the loop body. This expanded loop body is executed N/M times, reducing loop overhead and increasing optimization possibilities within the expanded loop body.

17. Software Pipelining

A value needed in iteration *i* **of a loop is computed during iteration** *i-1* **(or** *i-2***, ...). This allows long latency operations (floating point divides and square roots, low hit-ratio loads) to execute in parallel with other operations. Software pipelining is sometimes called** *symbolic loop unrolling***.**

 \sim 23 \sim 23 \sim 23 \sim 23

18. Strength Reduction

Replace an expensive instruction with an equivalent but cheaper alternative. For example a division may be replaced by multiplication of a reciprocal, or a list append may be replaced by cons operations.

19. Data Cache Optimizations

• Locality Optimizations

Cluster accesses of data values both spacially (within a cache line) and temporally (for repeated use). *Loop interchange* **and** *loop tiling* **improve temporal locality.**

• Conflict Optimizations

Adjust data locations so that data used consecutively and repeatedly don't share the same cache location.

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^{\circledcirc}$ 24

Reading Assignment

• Read "Modern Microprocessors—A 90 Minute Guide!," by Jason Patterson.

"hot" instruction sequences should fit within the cache.

> **Temporally close instruction sequences should not map to conflicting cache locations.**

20. Instruction Cache Optimizations Instructions that are repeatedly reexecuted should be accessed from the instruction cache rather than the secondary cache or memory. Loops and

SPARC Overview

- **• SPARC is an acronym for Scalable Processor ARChitecture**
- **• SPARCs are load/store RISC processors**

Load/store means only loads and stores access memory directly. RISC (Reduced Instruction Set Computer) means the architecture is simplified with a limited number of instruction formats and addressing modes.

• Instruction format:

add %r1,%r2,%r3

Registers are prefixed with a % Result is stored into last operand.

ld [adr],%r1

Memory addresses (used only in loads and stores) are enclosed in brackets

• Distinctive features include *Register Windows* **and** *Delayed Branches*

CS 701 Fall 2005[®]

CS 701 Fall 2005[®]

Register Windows

The SPARC provides 32 general-purpose integer registers, denoted as %r0 through %r31.

These 32 registers are subdivided into 4 groups:

There are also 32 floating-point registers, %f0 to %f31.

A SPARC processor has an implementationdependent number of *register windows***, each consisting of 16 distinct registers. The "in", "local" and "out" registers that are accessed in a procedure depend on the current register window. The "global"**

registers are independent of the register windows (as are the floating-point registers).

A register window may be pushed or popped using SPARC save and restore instructions.

After a register window push, the "out" registers become "in" registers and a fresh set of "local" and "out" registers is created:

Before save:

After save

Why the overlap between "in" and "out" registers? It's a convenient way to pass parameters—the caller puts parameter values in his "out" registers. After a call (and a save) these values are *automatically* **available as "in" registers in the newly created register window.**

SPARC procedure calls normally advance the register window. The "in" and "local" registers become hidden, and the "out" registers become the "in" registers of the called procedure, and new "local" and "out" registers become available.

A register window is advanced using the save instruction, and rolled back using the restore instruction. These instructions are separate from the procedure call and return instructions, and can sometimes be optimized away.

For example, a *leaf procedure***—one that contains no calls—can be compiled without use of save and restore if it doesn't need too many registers. The leaf procedure must then make do with the caller's registers, modifying only those the caller treats as volatile.**

 CS 701 Fall 2005 $^\circ$ 32

CS 701 Fall 2005⁶

Register Conventions

Global Registers

%g0 is unique: It *always* **contains 0 and can** *never* **be changed.**

%g1 to %g7 have global scope (they are unaffected by save and restore instructions)

%g1 to %g4 are volatile across calls; they may be used between calls.

%g5 to %g7 are reserved for special use by the SPARC ABI (application binary interface)

Local Registers

%l0 to %l7

May be freely used; they are unaffected by deeper calls.

In Registers

These are also the caller's out registers; they are unaffected by deeper calls.

%i0

 Contains incoming parameter 1. Also used to return function value to caller.

%i1 to %i5

 Contain incoming parameters 2 to 6 (if needed); freely usable otherwise.

%i6 (also denoted as %fp)

 Contains frame pointer (stack pointer of caller); it must be preserved.

%i7

Contains return address -8 (offset due to delay slot); it must be preserved.

%o6 (also denoted as %sp)

 Holds the stack pointer (and becomes frame pointer of called routines)

 It is reserved; it must *always* **be valid (since TRAPs may modify the stack at any time).**

%o7

 Is volatile across calls. It is loaded with address of caller on a procedure call.

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^{\circ}$ 35

CS 701 Fall 2005[®]

Special SPARC Instructions

save %r1,%r2,%r3

save %r1,const,%r3

This instruction pushes a register window *and* **does an add instruction (%r3 = %r1+%r2). Moreover, the operands (%r1 and %r2) are from the** *old* **register window, while the result (%r3) is in the** *new* **window.**

Why such an odd definition?

It's ideal to allocate a new register window *and* **push a new frame. In particular,**

save %sp,-frameSize,%sp pushes a new register window. It also adds -frameSize (the stack grows downward) to the old stack pointer, initializing the new stack pointer. (The old stack pointer becomes the current frame pointer)

restore %r1,%r2,%r3

restore %r1,const,%r3

This instruction pops a register window *and* **does an add instruction**

(%r3 = %r1+%r2). Moreover, the operands (%r1 and %r2) are from the *current* **register window, while the result (%r3) is in the** *old* **window.**

Again, why such an odd definition?

It's ideal to release a register window *and* **place a result in the return register (%o0).**

In particular,

restore %r1,0,%o0

pops a register window. It also moves the contents of %r1 to %o0 (in the caller's register window).

mov const,%r1

You can load a small constant (13 bits or less) into a register using a mov. (mov is actually implemented as an or of const with %g0).

But how do you load a 32 bit constant? One instruction (32 bits long) isn't enough. Instead you use:

sethi %hi(const),%r1 or %r1,%lo(const),%r1

That is, you extract the high order 22 bits of const (using %hi, an assembler operation). sethi fills in these 22 bits into %r1, clearing the lowest 10 bits. Then %lo extracts the 10 low order bits of const, which are or-ed into %r1.

CS 701 Fall 2005[®]

Loading a 64 bit constant (in SPARC V9, which is a 64 bit processor) is far nastier:

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^{\circledcirc}$ 39

sethi %uhi(const),% r_{tmp} or r_{tmp} , %ulo(const), % r_{tmp} $sllx$ kr_{tmp} , 32, kr_{tmp} **sethi %hi(const),%r or %r,%lo(const),%r** or r_{tmp} , r_{sr} , r_{sr}

Delayed Branches

In the SPARC, transfers of control (branches, calls and returns) are *delayed***. This means the instruction** *after* **the branch (or call or return) is executed** *before* **the transfer of control.**

For example, in SPARC code you often see

ret

restore

The register window restore occurs first, then a return to the caller occurs.

Another example is

call subr

 mov 3,%o0

The load of subr's parameter is placed after the call to subr. But the mov is done before subr is actually called.

Why are Delayed Branches Part of the SPARC Architecture?

Because of pipelining, several instructions are partially completed before a branch instruction can take effect. Rather than lose the computations already done, one (or more!) partially completed instructions can be allowed to complete before a branch takes effect.

 \sim CS 701 Fall 2005 $^{\circ}$ 43

Another possibility is to "hoist" the target instruction of a branch into the branch's delay slot.

call subr call subr+4 **nop mov 100,%l1 ...** **subr: subr: mov 100,%l1 mov 100,%l1 (before) (after)**

Hoisting branch targets doesn't work for conditional branches—we don't want to move an instruction that is executed *sometimes* **(when the branch is taken) to a position where it is** *always* **executed (the delay slot).**

How does a Compiler Exploit Delayed Branches?

A peephole optimizer or code scheduler looks for an instruction logically before the branch that can be placed in the branch's *delay slot***. The instruction should not affect a conditional branch's branch decision.**

 $\text{CS } 701 \text{ Fall } 2005^{\circledcirc}$ 44

CS 701 Fall 2005^[©]