Goodman/Hsu Integrated Code Scheduler

Prepass Schedulers: Schedule code prior to register allocation.

Can overuse registers—Always using a "fresh" register maximizes freedom to rearrange Instructions.

Postpass Schedulers: Schedule code after register allocation.

Can be limited by "false dependencies" induced by register reuse.

Example is Gibbons/Muchnick heuristic.

CS 701 Fall 2003®

208

Integrated Schedulers

Capture best of both approaches.

When registers are plentiful, use additional registers to avoid stalls. Goodman & Hsu call this *CSP*: Code Scheduling for Pipelines.

When registers are scarce, switch to a policy that frees registers.

Goodman & Hsu call this *CSR*: Code Scheduling to free Registers.

CS 701 Fall 2003®

Assume code is generated in single assignment form, with a unique pseudo-register for each computed value.

We schedule from a DAG where nodes are operations (to be mapped to instructions), and arcs represent data dependencies.

Each node will have an associated Cost, that measures the execution and stall time of the instruction that the node represents.

Nodes are labeled with a critical path cost, used to select the "most critical" instructions to schedule.

Definitions

Leader Set:

Set of DAG nodes ready to be scheduled, possibly with an interlock.

Ready Set:

Subset of Leader Set; Nodes ready to be scheduled without an interlock.

AvailReg:

A count of currently unused registers.

MinThreshold:

Threshold at which heuristic will switch from avoiding interlocks to reducing registers in use.

Goodman/Hsu Heuristic:

while (DAG $\neq \phi$) { if (AvailReg > MinThreshold) if (ReadySet $\neq \phi$) Select Ready node with Max cost else Select Leader node with Max cost else // Reduce Registers in Use if $(\exists \text{ node } \in \text{ ReadySet that frees registers}) \}$ Select node that frees most registers If (selected node isn't unique) Select node with Max cost } elsif (\exists node \in LeaderSet that frees regs){ Select node that frees most registers If (selected node isn't unique) Select node with fewest interlocks} else find a partially evaluated path and select a leader from this path else Select any node in ReadySet else Select any node in LeaderSet Schedule Selected node Update AvailReg count }//end while

Example

We'll again consider

a = ((a+b) + (c*d)) + ((c+d) * d); Again, assume a 1 cycle stall between a load and use of its value and a 2 cycle stall between a multiplication and first use of the product.



CS 701 Fall 2003

212

CS 701 Fall 2003

We'll try 4 registers (the minimum), then 5 registers.

Should MinThreshold be 0 or 1?

At MinThreshold = 1, we always have a register to hold a result, but we may force a register to be spilled too soon!

At MinThreshold = 0, we may be forced to spill a register to free a result register.

But, we'll also be able to schedule more aggressively.

Is a spill or stall worse?

Note that we may be able to "hide" a spill in a delay slot!

We'll be aggressive and use MinThreshold = 0.



CS 701 Fall 2003®

N C	gisters U	sed (No St 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	alls) ⁸
Instru	ction	Comment	Regs Used
		Characterized as a 4.0	1
1d	[c], %r1	Choose ready, cost=8	1
ld 1d	[c], %r1 [d], %r2	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8	2
ld ld ld	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6	1 2 3
ld ld ld smul	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6	1 2 3 4
ld ld ld smul add	<pre>[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1</pre>	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6	1 2 3 4 4
ld ld ld smul add ld	<pre>[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1 [b], %r5</pre>	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6	1 2 3 4 4 5
ld ld smul add ld smul	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1 [b], %r5 %r1,%r2,%r1	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Free a register	1 2 3 4 4 5 4
ld ld smul add ld smul add	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1 [b], %r5 %r1,%r2,%r1 %r3,%r5,%r3	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Free a register Choose ready, cost=4	1 2 3 4 4 5 4 3
ld ld smul add ld smul add add	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1 [b], %r5 %r1,%r2,%r1 %r3,%r5,%r3 %r3,%r4,%r3	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Free a register Choose ready, cost=4 No choice	1 2 3 4 4 5 4 3 2
ld ld smul add ld smul add add	[c], %r1 [d], %r2 [a], %r3 %r1,%r2,%r4 %r1,%r2,%r1 [b], %r5 %r1,%r2,%r1 %r3,%r5,%r3 %r3,%r4,%r3 %r3,%r1,%r3	Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=8 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Choose ready, cost=6 Free a register Choose ready, cost=4 No choice No choice	1 2 3 4 5 4 3 2 1

Scheduling for Superscalar & Multiple Issue Machines

A number of computers have the ability to issue more than one instruction per cycle *if* the instructions are independent and satisfy constraints on available functional units.

Thus the instructions add %r1,1,%r2 sub %r1,2,%r3 can issue and execute in parallel, but add %r1,1,%r2 sub %r2,2,%r3 must execute sequentially.

CS 701 Fall 2003®

Instructions that are linked by true or output dependencies must execute sequentially, but instructions that are linked by an anti dependence may execute concurrently.

For example, add %r1,1,%r2 sub %r3,2,%r1 can issue and execute in parallel.

The code scheduling techniques we've studied can be used to schedule machines that can issue 2 or more independent instructions simultaneously.

We select pairs (or triples or n-tuples), verifying (with the Dependence Dag) that they are independent or anti dependent.



218

5	Registers (3 Wide Issu $\begin{bmatrix} c \\ c $	le)] ⁸		
1	ld [c], %r1	ld [d], %r2	ld [a],%r3		
2	ld [b], %r4	nop	nop		
3	smul %r1,%r2,%r5	add %r1,%r2,%r1	nop		
4	add %r3,%r4,%r3	smul %r1,%r2,%r1	nop		
5	nop	nop	nop		
6	add %r3,%r5,%r3	nop	nop		
7	add %r3,%r1,%r3	nop	nop		
8	st %r3,[a]	nop	nop		
We still need 8 cycles!					
CS 701 Fall 2003 [®] 22					

Finding Additional Independent Instructions for Parallel Issue

We can extend the capabilities of processors:

- Out of order execution allows a processor to "search ahead" for independent instructions to launch.
- *But*, since basic blocks are often quite small, the processor may need to accurately predict branches, issuing instructions before the execution path is fully resolved.
- *But*, since branch predictions may be wrong, it will be necessary to "undo" instructions executed speculatively.

CS 701 Fall 2003[©]

221

Compiler Support for Extended Scheduling

Trace Scheduling

Gather sequences of basic blocks together and schedule them as a unit.

Global Scheduling

Analyze the control flow graph and move instructions across basic block boundaries to improve scheduling.

Software Pipelining

Select instructions from several loop iterations and schedule them together.

Trace Scheduling

Reference:

J. Fisher, "Trace Scheduling: A Technique for Global Microcode Compaction," IEEE Transactions on Computers, July 1981.

Idea:

Since basic blocks are often too small to allow effective code scheduling, we will *profile* a program's execution and identify the most frequently executed paths in a program.

Sequences of contiguous basic blocks on frequently executed paths will be gathered together into *traces*.

Trace

- A sequence of basic blocks (excluding loops) executed together can form a trace.
- A trace will be scheduled as a unit, allowing a larger span of instructions for scheduling.
- A loop can be unrolled or scheduled individually.
- *Compensation code* may need to be added when a branch into, or out of, a trace occurs.





Assume profiling shows that $B1 \rightarrow B3 \rightarrow B4^+ \rightarrow B5 \rightarrow B7$ is the most common execution path. The traces extracted from this path are $B1 \rightarrow B3$, B4, and $B5 \rightarrow B7$.

CS 701 Fall 2003

224

Compensation Code

When we move instructions across basic block boundaries within a trace, we may need to add extra instructions that preserve program semantics on paths that enter or leave the trace.

Example

CS 701 Fall 2003

In the previous example, basic block B1 had B2 and B3 as successors, and B1 \rightarrow B3 formed a trace.



Advantages & Disadvantages

- Trace scheduling allows scheduling to span multiple basic blocks. This can significantly increase the effectiveness of scheduling, especially in the context of superscalar processors (which need ILP to be effective).
- Trace Scheduling can also increase code size (because of compensation code).
 It is also sensitive to the accuracy of trace estimates.

Global Code Scheduling

- Bernstein and Rodeh approach.
- A prepass scheduler (does scheduling before register allocation).
- Can move instructions across basic block boundaries.
- Prefers to move instructions that *must* eventually be executed.
- Can move Instructions *speculatively*, possibly executing instructions unnecessarily.

229

CS 701 Fall 2003

228

CS 701 Fall 2003

Data & Control Dependencies

When moving instructions across basic block boundaries, we must respect both data dependencies and control dependencies.

Data dependencies specify necessary orderings among instructions that produce a value and instructions that use that value.

Control dependencies determine when (and if) various instructions are executed. Thus an instruction is control dependent on expressions that affect flow of control to that instruction.