

CS 760: Machine Learning Probability & Graphical Models

Fred Sala

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Nov. 4, 2021

Announcements

•Logistics:

•HW 5 has been released; due Tuesday

•Class roadmap:

Thursday, Nov. 4	Graphical Models I
Tuesday, Nov. 9	Graphical Models II
Thursday, Nov. 11	Less-than-full Supervision
Tuesday, Nov. 16	Unsupervised Learning I

Outline

•Review, SVMs, Kernels

• Duality, feature maps, kernel trick

Probability Tutorial

•Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc

Bayesian Networks

• Definition, examples, inference

Outline

•Review, SVMs, Kernels

- Duality, feature maps, kernel trick
- Probability Tutorial
 - Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc
- Bayesian Networks
 - Definition, examples, inference

Review: Constrained Optimization & Duality

$$\min_{w} f(w) \qquad \longleftarrow \quad \text{Objective}$$

$$g_i(w) \le 0, \forall 1 \le i \le k$$

$$h_j(w) = 0, \forall 1 \le j \le l$$

$$\longleftarrow \quad \text{Constraints}$$

•Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}(w, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = f(w) + \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(w) + \sum_{j} \beta_{j} h_{j}(w)$

• Primal problem $p^* \coloneqq \min_{w} f(w) = \min_{w} \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \ge 0} \mathcal{L}(w, \alpha, \beta)$ • Dual problem $d^* \coloneqq \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \ge 0} \min_{w} \mathcal{L}(w, \alpha, \beta)$ • Always true: $d^* \le p^*$

Review: Apply to Training Linear Classifier

• Want: a large margin



Review: Support Vector Machines Goal

Define the margin to be

$$\gamma = \min_{i} \frac{y_i f_{w,b}(x_i)}{||w||} \quad \longleftarrow \text{We proved this}$$

• If $f_{w,b}$ incorrect on some x_i , the margin is **negative** • Fix scale: $y_{i^*}(w^T x_{i^*} + b) = 1$. Then, margin overall is $\frac{1}{||w||}$

Primal problem:

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 \qquad \underbrace{\qquad \qquad \text{Objective:}}_{\text{Large margin}}$$

$$y_i(w^T x_i + b) \ge 1, \forall i \longleftarrow \underbrace{\qquad \text{Constraints: Correct}}_{\text{on training data}}$$

SVM: Dual Version

•Reduces to dual problem:

$$\max_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}(w, b, \alpha) = \max_{\alpha} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j}$$
$$\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0, \alpha_{i} \ge 0$$
Note: only variables are primal

•Since $w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}$, we have $w^{T} x + b = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} x + b$ •Note: only deals with data via **inner products** $x_{i}^{T} x_{j}$

SVM: Support Vectors

• Solution is a sparse linear combination of training instances

$$w = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}$$

- Those instances with $\alpha_i > 0$ are called *support vectors*
 - Lie on the margin boundary
- Solution does not change if we delete instances with $\alpha_i = 0$



SVM: Soft Margin

What if our data isn't linearly separable?

•Can adjust our approach by using *slack variables* (denoted by ζ_i) to tolerate errors

$$\min_{w,b,\zeta_i} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_i \zeta_i$$
$$y_i(w^T x_i + b) \ge 1 - \zeta_i, \zeta_i \ge 0, \forall i$$

• *C* determines the relative importance of maximizing margin vs. minimizing slack

SVM: Soft Margin





Ben-Hur & Weston, Methods in Molecular Biology 2010

Feature Maps

- Can take a set of features and map them into another
 - Can also construct non-linear features
 - Use these inside a linear classifier?



Feature Maps and SVMs

Want to use feature space $\{\phi(x_i)\}$ in linear classifier...

- Downside: dimension might be high (even infinite!)
- So we don't want to write down $\phi(x_i) = [0.2, 0.3, ...]$

Recall our SVM dual form:

• Only relies on inner products $x_i^T x_i$

$$\mathcal{L}(w, b, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j}$$
$$\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0, \alpha_{i} \ge 0$$

Kernel Trick

- •Using SVM on the feature space $\{\phi(x_i)\}$: only need $\phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)$
- •Conclusion: no need to design $\phi(\cdot)$, only need to design

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j)$$

Kernel Matrix Feature Maps

Kernel Types: Polynomial

• Fix degree d and constant c:

$$k(x, x') = (x^T x' + c)^d$$

- •What are $\phi(x)$?
- •Expand the expression to get $\phi(x)$

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = (x_1 x_1' + x_2 x_2' + c)^2 =$$



 $\begin{array}{c|c} x_{1}^{2} \\ x_{2}^{2} \\ \hline \sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{2} \\ \sqrt{2c} x_{1} \\ \sqrt{2c} x_{2} \\ \hline \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} x'_{1}^{2} \\ x'_{2}^{2} \\ \sqrt{2} x'_{1} x'_{2} \\ \sqrt{2c} x'_{1} \\ \sqrt{2c} x'_{1} \\ \sqrt{2c} x'_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$

Ben-Hur & Weston, Methods in Molecular Biology 2010

Kernel Types: Gaussian/RBF

• Fix bandwidth σ :

$$k(x, x') = \exp(-||x - x'||^2/2\sigma^2)$$

• Also called radial basis function (RBF) kernels



$$k(x, x') = \exp(-\gamma ||x - x'||^2)$$

Andrew Ng

Theory of Kernels

- Part of a deep mathematical theory
- With some conditions, any kernel yields a feature map:
 Theorem: k(x, x') has expansion

$$k(x, x') = \sum_{i}^{1} a_{i} \phi_{i}(x) \phi_{i}(x') - \frac{1}{\text{Feature Maps}}$$

for nonnegative a_i 's, if and only if for any function c(x),

$$\int \int c(x)c(x')k(x,x')dxdx' \ge 0$$

 Given certain requirements/conditions, can construct a bunch of new kernels from existing ones

Kernel Methods VS Neural Networks

 Can think of our kernel SVM approach as fixing a layer of a neural network



SVM Review

- Can find globally optimal solutions: convex optimization
 - No local minima (unlike training general NNs)
- Can train primal or dual
 - Dual: relies on **support vectors**; enables use of **kernels**
- Variety of pre-existing optimization techniques
- Kernels: allow non-linear decision boundaries
 - And to represent all sorts of new data (strings, trees)
 - High-dimensional representations, but can use kernel trick to avoid explicitly computing feature maps
 - Good performance! Sometimes close to DNNs



Break & Quiz

Outline

Review, SVMs, Kernels Duality, feature maps, kernel trick

Probability Tutorial

- •Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc
- Bayesian Networks

• Definition, examples, inference

Probability Tutorial: Outcomes & Events

Outcomes: possible results of an experiment
Events: subsets of outcomes we're interested in





Probability Tutorial: Outcomes & Events

•Event space can be smaller:

$$\mathcal{F} = \underbrace{\{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \Omega\}}_{\text{events}}$$

•Two components always in it!

$$\emptyset, \Omega$$



Probability Tutorial: Sigma Fields

- F is a "sigma algebra".
 - Follows certain rules:
 - Everything in it (saw this already)
 - If A is in F, so is A^c
 - Closed under countable unions



Probability Tutorial: Probability Spaces

• Now we need a way to produce probabilities of events, so introduce a function

$$P:\mathcal{F}\to[0,1]$$

- Has certain properties, which we'll see in a second.
- Overall, we get a probability space

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$$

Probability Tutorial: Probability Sapces

We have outcomes and events and probabilitiesI.e.,

For
$$E \in \mathcal{F}$$
, $P(E) \in [0, 1]$

Back to our example:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ \emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \Omega \}$$

events
$$P(\{1, 3, 5\}) = 0.2, P(\{2, 4, 6\}) = 0.8$$



Basics: Axioms

•Rules for probability:

- For all events $E \in \mathcal{F}, P(E) \ge 0$
- •Always, $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1$
- For disjoint events,

$$P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2)$$

•Easy to derive other laws. Ex: non-disjoint events

 $P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_2)$



Basics: Random Variables

- Really, functions
- Map outcomes to real values

- •Why?
 - •So far, everything is a set.
 - •Hard to work with!



 $X:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$

- •Real values are easy to work with
- •One requirement, "F measurable". For any c,

$$\{\omega: X(\omega) \le c\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

Basics: CDF & PDF

•Can still work with probabilities:

$$P(X=3) := P(\{\omega : X(\omega) = 3\})$$

•Cumulative Distribution Func. (CDF)

 $p_X(x)$

$$F_X(x) := P(X \le x)$$

Density / mass functionDoesn't always exist!

Wiki CDF

Basics: Expectation & Variance

- Another advantage of RVs are ``summaries''
- •Expectation:
 - •The "average" $E[X] = \sum_{a} a \times P(x = a)$
- •Variance: $Var[X] = E[(X E[X])^2]$
 - •A measure of spread
- •Raw moments: $E[X], E[X^2], E[X^3], ...$
- •Note: also don't always exist...
 - •Ex: Cauchy distribution

Basics: Expectation Properties

•Expectation has very useful properties...

•Linearity:
$$E[\sum a_i X_i] = \sum a_i E[X_i]$$

• Independence not required!

- Hat check problem:
 - There is a dinner party where n people check their hats. The hats are mixed up during dinner, so that afterward each man receives a random hat. In particular, each person gets their own hat with probability 1/n. What is the expected number of people who get their own hat?

Ì

Basics: Joint Distributions

- Move from one variable to several
- •Joint distribution

$$P(X = a, Y = b)$$

•Or more variables.

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_k = x_k)$$

Basics: Marginal Probability

•Given a joint distribution

$$P(X = a, Y = b)$$

•Get the distribution in just one variable:

$$P(X = a) = \sum_{b} P(X = a, Y = b)$$

•This is the "marginal" distribution.

Basics: Marginal Probability

$$P(X = a) = \sum_{b} P(X = a, Y = b)$$



$$[P(hot), P(cold)] = [\frac{195}{365}, \frac{170}{365}]$$





Independence

•Independence for a set of events A_1, \ldots, A_k

 $P(A_{i_1}A_{i_2}\cdots A_{i_j}) = P(A_{i_1})P(A_{i_2})\cdots P(A_{i_j})$ for all the i₁,...,i_j combinations

- •Why useful? Dramatically reduces the complexity
- •Collapses joint into **product** of marginals
 - •Note sometimes we have only pair-wise, etc independence

Uncorrelatedness

•For random variables, uncorrelated means

$$E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$$

Note: weaker than independence.

- Independence implies uncorrelated (easy to see)
- •Other way around: usually false (but not always).
- If X,Y independent, functions are not correlated:

E[f(X)f(Y)] = E[f(X)]E[f(Y)]

Conditional Probability

•For when we know something,

$$P(X = a | Y = b) = \frac{P(X = a, Y = b)}{P(Y = b)}$$

•Leads to conditional independence



Credit: Devin Soni

$$P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$$

Chain Rule

Apply repeatedly,

$$P(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$$

 $= P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_2, A_1) \dots P(A_n|A_{n-1}, \dots, A_1)$ •Note: still big!

- If some **conditional independence**, can factor!
- •Leads to probabilistic graphical models (this lecture)

Law of Total Probability

Partition the sample space into disjoint B₁, ..., B_k
Then,

$$P(A) = \sum_{i} P(A|B_i)P(B_i)$$

- •Useful way to control A via conditional probabilities.
 - •Example: there are 5 red and 2 green balls in an urn. A random ball is selected and replaced by a ball of the other color; then a second ball is drawn. What is the probability the second ball is red?

Review: Bayesian Inference

•Conditional Prob. & Bayes:

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1, \dots, E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

- •Has more evidence.
 - •Likelihood is hard---but conditional independence assumption

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1|H)P(E_2|H)\cdots, P(E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

Random Vectors & Covariance

- •Recall variance: $\mathbb{E}[(X E[X])^2]$
- •Now, for a random vector (same as joint of d RVs)
 - •Note: size *d x d*. All variables are centered

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])^2] & \dots & [(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])((X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [(X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])((X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])] & \dots & \mathbb{E}[(X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n])^2] \end{bmatrix}$$
Cross-variance
Diagonals: Scalar Variance

Estimation Theory

- •How do we know that the sample mean is a good estimate of the true mean?
 - Concentration inequalities

$$P(|\mathbb{E}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]| \ge t) \le \exp(-2nt^2)$$

- •Law of large numbers
- •Central limit theorems, etc.



Wolfram Demo



Break & Quiz

Outline

•Review, SVMs, Kernels
•Duality, feature maps, kernel trick
•Probability Tutorial
•Basics, joint probability, conditional probabilities, etc

Bayesian Networks

• Definition, examples, inference

•Consider the following 5 binary random variables:

- *B* = a burglary occurs at the house
- *E* = an earthquake occurs at the house
- A = the alarm goes off
- J = John calls to report the alarm
- *M* = Mary calls to report the alarm

•Suppose Burglary or Earthquake can trigger Alarm, and Alarm can trigger John's call or Mary's call

•Now we want to answer queries like what is $P(B \mid M, J)$?













Bayesian Networks: Definition

- A BN consists of a **Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG**) and a set of **conditional probability distribution**s
- The DAG:
 - each node denotes a random variable
 - each edge from X to Y represents that X directly influences Y
 - (formally: each variable X is independent of its non-descendants given its parents)
 - Each CPD: represents P(X | Parents(X))

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \prod_{v \in V} p(x_v | x_{\operatorname{pa}(v)})$$

Bayesian Networks: Parameter Counting

- Parameter reduction: a standard representation of the joint distribution for the Alarm example has 2⁵ = 32 parameters
- the BN representation of this distribution has 20 parameters



Inference in Bayesian Networks

- **Given**: values for some variables in the network (*evidence*), and a set of *query* variables
- **Do**: compute the posterior distribution over the query variables

- variables that are neither evidence variables nor query variables are *hidden* variables
- •the BN representation is flexible enough that any set can be the evidence variables and any set can be the query variables

Inference by Enumeration

- •Let *a* denote A=true, and $\neg a$ denote A=false
- •Suppose we're given the query: P(b | j, m)

"probability the house is being burglarized given that John and Mary both called"

• From the graph structure we can first compute:



Inference by Enumeration



Inference by Enumeration

•Next do equivalent calculation for $P(\neg b, j, m)$ and determine P(b | j, m)

$$P(b \mid j,m) = \frac{P(b, j,m)}{P(j,m)} = \frac{P(b, j,m)}{P(b, j,m) + P(\neg b, j,m)}$$

So: exact method, but can be intractably hard.

- •Some cases: efficient
- •Approximate inference sometimes available



Thanks Everyone!

Some of the slides in these lectures have been adapted/borrowed from materials developed by Mark Craven, David Page, Jude Shavlik, Tom Mitchell, Nina Balcan, Elad Hazan, Tom Dietterich, Pedro Domingos, Jerry Zhu, Yingyu Liang, Volodymyr Kuleshov, Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, Pieter Abbeel, Peter Chen, Jonathan Ho, Aravind Srinivas