

CS 839: Foundation Models Diffusion Models

Fred Sala

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Nov. 5, 2024

Announcements

•Logistics:

- •HW3 due Nov. 12
- Project. Dates: Nov. 21: proposal, Dec. 13: report
- Presentation: Nov: 12,14,19,21,26 Dec: 3,5
 - Warning: will ask for volunteers for days with 4 groups to shift to Dec. 5
- Presentation proposal due on Nov. 7 (Thursday)!

Tuesday Nov. 5	Diffusion Models
Thursday Nov. 7	Security, Privacy, Toxicity + Future Areas

Outline

•Generative Models Overview

•Basic idea, complexity challenges, overview of major image generation techniques, intuitions

Normalizing Flows & GANs

•Normalizing flow transformations, training, sampling, GAN generators, discriminators, training

Diffusion Models

•Overall intuition, score-based training, controlling and latent space formulations, extensions

Outline

•Generative Models Overview

•Basic idea, complexity challenges, overview of major image generation techniques, intuitions

Normalizing Flows & GANs

•Normalizing flow transformations, training, sampling, GAN generators, discriminators, training

Diffusion Models

•Overall intuition, score-based training, controlling and latent space formulations, extensions

Goal: Learn a Distribution

•Want to estimate p_{data} from samples

$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$$

- •Useful abilities to have:
 - Inference: compute p(x) for some x
 - **Sampling**: obtain a sample from p(x)
- •As always need efficiency for this too...



Directly Modeling the Distribution

• Want to estimate p_{data} from samples

$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$$

•One straightforward idea: **parametrize the pdf of the distribution**. To train, maximize the log likelihood

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p_{\theta}(x_i).$$

- However, we'll face some challenges...
 - Why? Both training and inference can be complex

Goal: Learn a Distribution

 \bullet Want to estimate p_{data} from samples

•Let's set
$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(f_{\theta}(x))$$
Energy function

• Have to deal with the normalizing **partition function Z**,

$$Z_{\theta} = \int \exp(f_{\theta}(x)) dx$$
 Usually intractable!

Getting Around the Partition Function

All gen. modeling techniques must deal with this. How?

- •Avoid modeling the pdf explicitly
 - \rightarrow GANs
- Choose special choices of p/f that keeps Z tractable
 - → Certain **normalizing flows**
- Use approximations
 - \rightarrow VAEs, using ELBO-style bounds
- Obtain training objectives that sidestep maximum likelihood
 - > GANs, score-based diffusion models

Generative Modeling Approaches



Generative Modeling Intuitions

We can think of GMs as doing two things:

- "Mapping" a simple (fake) distribution into a complex (real) distribution
 - Why? Sample from simple distribution, then transform with learned map
 - "Latent space" interpretation
- •Learning to undo noise or undo a particular transformation
 - Related to self-supervised learning

Combine with previous training considerations to get various techniques



Lilian Weng



Break & Questions

Outline

Generative Models Overview

•Basic idea, complexity challenges, overview of major image generation techniques, intuitions

Normalizing Flows & GANs

•Normalizing flow transformations, training, sampling, GAN generators, discriminators, training

Diffusion Models

•Overall intuition, score-based training, controlling and latent space formulations, extensions

Flow Models

- Want to fit $p_{\theta}(x)$, as we described
- •Some goals:
 - Good fit for the data
 - Computing a probability: the actual value of $p_{\theta}(x)$ for some x
 - Ability to sample
 - Also: a latent representation
- •Won't model $p_{\theta}(x)$ directly... instead we'll get some latent variable z



Flow Models

Key idea: transform a simple distribution to complex
Use a chain of transformations (the "flow")



Flow Models

•Key idea: transform a simple distribution to complex •Use a chain of invertible transformations (the "flow")



- How to sample?
 - Sample from Z (the latent variable)---has a simple distribution that lets us do it: Gaussian, uniform, etc.
 - Then run the sample z through the inverse flow to get a sample x
- How to train? Let's see...

Flow Models: Density Relationships

- Key idea: transform a simple distribution to complex
 Use a chain of transformations (the "flow")
- How does each transformation affect the density p?

Latent variable Transformation

$$z = f_{\theta}(x)$$

$$p_{\theta}(x) dx = p(z) dz$$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = p(f_{\theta}(x)) \left| \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial x} \right|^{\text{Determinant of Jacobian matrix}}$$

Flow Models: Training

- Key idea: transform a simple distribution to complex
 Use a chain of transformations (the "flow")
- •How does training change?

• Idea: might be easier to optimize p_Z

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{i} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \max_{\theta} \sum_{i} \log p_{Z}(f_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial x}(x^{(i)}) \right|$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\max_{\text{Likelihood}} \max_{\text{Likelihood}} \max_{\text{Likelihood}} \max_{\theta} \sum_{i} \log p_{Z}(f_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial x}(x^{(i)}) \right|$$

Can extend to many chained transformations...

Flows: Example

• Flow to a Gaussian (right)

•Before training:

•After training:



UC Berkeley: Deep Unsupervised Training

Flows: Transformations

- •What kind of f transformations should we use?
- Many choices:
 - Affine: $f(x) = A^{-1}(x b)$
 - Elementwise: $f(x_1, ..., x_d) = (f(x_1), ..., f(x_d))_{0.75}^{1.00}$
 - Splines:
- Desirable properties:
 - Invertible
 - Differentiable (forward and inverse)



(a) Forward and inverse transformer

Papamakarios et al' 21

GANs: Generative Adversarial Networks

•So far, we've been modeling the density...

- What if we just want to get high-quality samples?
- •GANs do this. Based on a clever idea:
 - Art forgery: very common through history
 - Left: original
 - Right: forged version
 - Two-player game. Forger wants to pass off the forgery as an original; investigator wants to distinguish forgery from original



GANs: Basic Setup

•Let's set up networks that implement this idea:

- Discriminator network: like the investigator
- Generator network: like the forger



Stanford CS231n / Emily Denton

GAN Training: Discriminator

- •How to train these networks? Two sets of parameters to learn: θ_d (discriminator) and θ_g (generator)
- •Let's fix the generator. What should the discriminator do?
 - Distinguish fake and real data: binary classification.
 - Use the cross entropy loss, we get

$$\max_{\theta_d} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D_{\theta_d}(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(1 - D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z)))$$

$$\uparrow$$
Real data, want
to classify 1
Fake data, want
to classify 0

GAN Training: Generator & Discriminator

- •How to train these networks? Two sets of parameters to learn: θ_d (discriminator) and θ_g (generator)
- •This makes the discriminator better, but also want to make the generator more capable of fooling it:
 - Minimax game! Train jointly.

$$\begin{split} \min_{\theta_g} \max_{\theta_d} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D_{\theta_d}(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(1 - D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z))) \\ \uparrow \\ & \uparrow \\ \text{Real data, want} \\ & \text{to classify 1} \\ \end{split}$$

GAN Training: Alternating Training

•So we have an optimization goal:

 $\min_{\theta_g} \max_{\theta_d} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D_{\theta_d}(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(1 - D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z)))$

- •Alternate training:
 - **Gradient ascent**: fix generator, make the discriminator better:

$$\max_{\theta_d} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D_{\theta_d}(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(1 - D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z)))$$

• Gradient descent: fix discriminator, make the generator better

$$\min_{\theta_g} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(1 - D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z)))$$

GAN Training: Issues

- •Training often not stable
- Many tricks to help with this:
 - Replace the generator training with

$$\max_{\theta_g} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} \log(D_{\theta_d}(G_{\theta_g}(z)))$$

- Better gradient shape
- Choose number of alt. steps carefully
- •Can still be challenging.



GAN Architectures

- •So far we haven't commented on what the networks are
- **Discriminator**: image classification, use a **CNN**
- What should generator look like
 - Input: noise vector z. Output: an image (ie, volume 3 x width x height)
 - Can just reverse our CNN pattern...



GANs: Example

• From Radford's paper, with 5 epochs of training:





Break & Questions

Outline

Generative Models Overview

- •Basic idea, complexity challenges, overview of major image generation techniques, intuitions
- Normalizing Flows & GANs
 - Normalizing flow transformations, training, sampling, GAN generators, discriminators, training

Diffusion Models

•Overall intuition, score-based training, controlling and latent space formulations, extensions

Diffusion Models Idea

•Let's return to something that looks like a normalizing flow,

Diffusion models: Gradually add Gaussian noise and then reverse



Linari weng

- Really a large family of techniques that share some common properties
 - But have been derived from different starting principles / desired properties

Score-Based Generative Models

- •How do we avoid running into the partition function?
- •Let's not model the pdf
- •Instead, model the "score"

$$abla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$$

Score: gradient of the log likelihood with respect to the data.
Goal: train s such that

$$\mathbf{s}_{ heta}(\mathbf{x}) =
abla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_{ heta}(\mathbf{x}) +$$

Score-Based Generative Models

Instead, model the "score" Goal: train s such that

$$abla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{ heta}(\mathbf{x}) =
abla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_{ heta}(\mathbf{x})$$
 :

- Why does this avoid the partition function?
- •Let's plug in our energy-based function from earlier. We get:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \underbrace{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log Z_{\theta}}_{=0} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Training & Inference for Score-Based Models

•Training: can directly run M.S.E. as a loss,

$$\mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})}[\|
abla_{\mathbf{x}}\log p(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}_{ heta}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2]$$

- •We usually can't access the left hand term, but techniques for training despite this
- •Inference: special methods that can sample, like Langevin dynamics



Training & Inference for Score-Based Models

•Visual example

- Distribution: mixture of two Gaussians
- Arrows: given by our score function, point to high density regions
- Source: https://yangsong.net/blog/2021/sc ore/



Score-Based → Denoising Diffusion Models

•Our story so far is



•But, this leads to inaccurate modeling in low-prob regions:

Data density	Data scores	Estimated scores
	Accurate	Accurate

Score-Based → Denoising Diffusion Models

- •Solution: perturb the density with noise
 - To ensure accurate modeling in more regions
 - In particular, noise at multiple scales



Score-Based → Denoising Diffusion Models

- •So far, "noise" showed up in a few places, but not in a strictly connected way
 - Train model with score matching
 - Sample with Lagenvin dynamics (which includes noise)
 - Use noise perturbation to train better
- Denoising diffusion models **directly** use noise in both training and inference



Ho et al '20

Diffusion Models

Basic graphical model



Ho et al '20

•Can easily set up the noising process,

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1-eta_t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, eta_t \mathbf{I}) \quad q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$

•To sample, directly compute from reverse, i.e., $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$ •Simple, nice parametrizations in Ho et al '20.

Latent Diffusion Models

Latents are really just the noised images in pixel space

- •No "latent space" so far at least
- •But, can add by using an autoencoder



Rombach et al '22

Text-to-Image Generation + Conditional DMs

Lots of approaches! In particular, for text-to-image generation

- •All based on similar principles from multimodal training
- •Example: for latent diffusion (Rombach et al '22)
 - "Process y from various modalities (such as language prompts) we introduce a domain specific encoder ... that projects y to an intermediate representation ... which is then mapped to the intermediate layers of the UNet via a cross-attention layer "

Bibliography

- https://lilianweng.github.io/tags/generative-model/
- https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2018-10-13-flow-models/
- https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2021-07-11-diffusion-models
- https://cs231n.stanford.edu/slides/2019/cs231n_2019_lecture11.pdf
- Radford et al '16: Alec Radford, Luke Metz, Soumith Chintala, "Unsupervised Representation Learning with Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks" (<u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06434</u>)
- <u>https://yang-song.net/blog/2021/score/</u>
- Song et al '20: Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P. Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, Ben Poole, "Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations" (<u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.13456</u>)
- Ho et al '20: Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, Pieter Abbeel, "Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models", (https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.11239)
- Rombach et al '22: Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer "High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models" (https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10752)



Thank You!