

Assessing the Robustness of a Machine Learning Model for Predicting Asthma Hospital Encounters during the COVID-19 Pandemic

X. Zhang¹, S. Zeliadt², M. Walker³, M.R. Levitt,^{3,4,5} B. Ng,^{6,7} G. Luo¹;

¹Department of Biomedical Informatics and Medical Education, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

²VA Center of Innovation (COIN) for Veteran-Centered and Value-Driven Care, VA Puget Sound Healthcare System, Seattle, WA, United States

³Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

⁴Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

⁶Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

⁷VA Puget Sound Healthcare System, Seattle, WA, United States

Rationale: Asthma imposes a significant burden on healthcare. To better allocate limited preventive care management resources and improve patient outcomes, we recently used machine learning to build the world’s most accurate model to predict asthma hospital encounters (emergency department visits or inpatient stays for asthma) in the subsequent 12 months. Under pre-pandemic conditions, the model reached an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of >0.9. Performance of the model under COVID-19 conditions had not been previously tested.

Objective: To assess the robustness of our predictive model during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: The patient cohort included all 55,435 adult asthmatic patients who visited the University of Washington Medicine facilities between 2011-2020. For each year t ($2011 \leq t \leq 2020$), the corresponding effective data were of the patients who had asthma in t , included 71 features computed on these patients’ data during 2011- t , and contained these patients’ outcomes in $t+1$ as the prediction targets. For each outcome year y ($2019 \leq y \leq 2021$), the model was trained on the 2011- $(y-2)$ effective data and then tested on the $y-1$ effective data.

Results: The model yielded an AUC of 0.929, 0.892, and 0.904 for the outcome years 2019 (pre-pandemic), 2020 (peri-pandemic), and 2021 (peri-pandemic), respectively (see Table 1).

Conclusion: Our model exhibited robust performance under COVID-19 pandemic conditions, which suggests suitability for future clinical application.

Table 1. For each of the outcome years 2019, 2020, and 2021, the training and test set statistics and the model performance measures.

| Outcome year | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Time period of the effective data in the training set | 2011-2017 | 2011-2018 | 2011-2019 |
| Year of the effective data in the test set | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| # of data instances in the training set | 63,058 | 76,878 | 89,030 |
| # of data instances linked to poor outcomes in the training set, n (%) | 909 (1.4) | 1,056 (1.4) | 1,194 (1.3) |
| # of data instances in the test set | 13,820 | 12,152 | 9,028 |
| # of data instances linked to poor outcomes in the test set, n (%) | 147 (1.1) | 138 (1.1) | 101 (1.1) |
| Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve | 0.929 | 0.892 | 0.904 |
| Accuracy (%) | 90.64 (12,526/13,820) | 90.45 (10,992/12,152) | 90.52 (8,172/9,028) |
| Sensitivity (%) | 79.59 (117/147) | 69.57 (96/138) | 72.28 (73/101) |
| Specificity (%) | 90.76 (12,409/13,673) | 90.69 (10,896/12,014) | 90.72 (8,099/8,927) |
| Positive Predictive Value (%) | 8.47 (117/1,381) | 7.91 (96/1,214) | 8.10 (73/901) |
| Negative Predictive Value (%) | 99.76 (12,409/12,439) | 99.62 (10,896/10,938) | 99.66 (8,099/8,127) |

This abstract is funded by: GL and XZ were partially supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01HL142503.