

#### CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Unsupervised Learning I

Spring 2024

#### Announcements

- Homeworks:
  - HW3 due, HW4 released
- Class roadmap:

Thursday, Feb. 15	ML Unsupervised I
Tuesday, Feb. 20	ML Unsupervised II
Thursday, Feb. 22	ML Linear Regression
Tuesday, Fed. 27	Machine Learning: K - Nearest Neighbors & Naive Bayes

Machine Learning

### Recap of Supervised/Unsupervised

#### Supervised learning:

- Make predictions, classify data, perform regression
- Dataset:  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$

Features / Covariates / Input

Labels / Outputs

• Goal: find function  $f: X \to Y$  to predict label on **new** data







indoor

outdoor

### Recap of Supervised/Unsupervised

#### **Unsupervised** learning:

- No labels; generally, won't be making predictions
- Dataset:  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$
- Goal: find patterns & structures that help better understand data.



Mulvey and Gingold

### Recap of Supervised/Unsupervised

Note that there are **other kinds** of ML:

- Mixtures: semi-supervised learning, self-supervised
  - Idea: different types of "signal"
- Reinforcement learning
  - Learn how to act in order to maximize rewards
  - Later on in course...



### Outline

- Intro to Clustering
  - Clustering Types
- Hierarchical Clustering
  - Divisive, agglomerative, linkage strategies
- Centroid-based Clustering
  - k-means

### **Unsupervised Learning & Clustering**

- Note that clustering is just one type of unsupervised learning (UL)
  - PCA is another unsupervised algorithm
- Estimating probability distributions also UL (GANs)
- Clustering is popular & useful!



StyleGAN2 (Kerras et al '20)

### **Clustering Types**

Several types of clustering

#### **Hierarchical**

- Agglomerative
- Divisive

#### **Partitional**

- Center-based
- Graph-theoretic
- Spectral

#### **Bayesian**

- Decision-based
- Nonparametric





#### **Hierarchical Clustering**



### **Hierarchical Clustering**

Basic idea: build a "hierarchy"

- Want: arrangements from specific to general
- One advantage: no need for k, number of clusters.
- Input: points. Output: a hierarchy
  - A binary tree



### Agglomerative vs Divisive

Two ways to go:

- Agglomerative: bottom up.
  - Start: each point a cluster. Progressively merge clusters
- **Divisive**: top down
  - Start: all points in one cluster. Progressively split clusters



Credit: r2d3.us

Agglomerative. Start: every point is its own cluster



Get pair of clusters that are closest and merge



**Repeat:** Get pair of clusters that are closest and merge



**Repeat:** Get pair of clusters that are closest and merge



### Merging Criteria

Merge: use closest clusters. Define closest?

• Single-linkage

$$d(A,B) = \min_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

• Complete-linkage

$$d(A, B) = \max_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

• Average-linkage

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{|A||B|} \sum_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

We'll merge using single-linkage

- 1-dimensional vectors.
- Initial: all points are clusters



We'll merge using single-linkage





Continue...

$$d(C_1, C_2) = d(2, 4) = 2$$
  
 $d(C_2, \{7.25\}) = d(5, 7.25) = 2.25$ 



#### Continue...





We'll merge using complete-linkage

- 1-dimensional vectors.
- Initial: all points are clusters



Beginning is the same...



Now we diverge:





### When to Stop?

#### No simple answer:

- Use the binary tree (a **dendogram**)
- Cut at different levels (get different heights/depths)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Q 1.1**: Let's do hierarchical clustering for two clusters with average linkage on the dataset below. What are the clusters?

- A. {1}, {2,4,5,7.25}
- B. {1,2}, {4, 5, 7.25}
- C. {1,2,4}, {5, 7.25}
- D. {1,2,4,5}, {7.25}

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Q 1.1**: Let's do hierarchical clustering for two clusters with average linkage on the dataset below. What are the clusters?

- A. {1}, {2,4,5,7.25}
- B. {1,2}, {4, 5, 7.25}
- C. {1,2,4}, {5, 7.25}
- D. {1,2,4,5}, {7.25}

Iteration 1: merge 1 and 2 Iteration 2: merge 4 and 5 Iteration 3: Now we have clusters  $\{1,2\}, \{4,5\}, \{7.25\}$ . distance( $\{1,2\}, \{4,5\}$ ) = 3 distance( $\{4,5\}, \{7.25\}$ ) = 2.75 distance( $\{1,2\}, \{7.25\}$ ) is clearly larger than the above two. So average linkage will merge  $\{4,5\}$  and  $\{7.25\}$ 

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

**Q 1.2**: If we do hierarchical clustering on n points, the maximum depth of the resulting tree is

- A. 2
- B. log *n*
- C. n/2
- D. *n*-1

**Q 1.2**: If we do hierarchical clustering on n points, the maximum depth of the resulting tree is

• A. 2

• B. log *n* 

• C. n/2

• D. n-1

Denote the points as x\_1, x\_2, ..., x\_n
Suppose:
in iteration 1, we merge points x\_1 and x\_2
in iteration 2, we merge {x\_1, x\_2} with x\_3
...
in iteration t, we merge {x\_1, x\_2, ..., x\_t} with x\_{t+1}
...
in iteration n-1, we merge {x\_1, x\_{n-1}} with x\_n

Then we will get a tree with depth n-1.

### **Center-based Clustering**

- k-means is an example of a partitional, center-based clustering algorithm.
- Specify a desired number of clusters, k; run k-means to find k clusters.

• Very popular clustering method

$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$$

Input: a dataset, and assume the number of clusters k is given

Step 1: Randomly picking 2 positions as initial cluster centers

(not necessarily a data point)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Step 2: for each point x, determine its cluster: find the closest center in Euclidean space

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Step 3: update all cluster centers as the centroids

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### K-means clustering Repeat step 2 & 3 until convergence

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

### K-means clustering: A demo

https://www.naftaliharris.com/blog/visualizing-k-means-clustering/

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

# K-means algorithm

- Input:  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, k$
- Step 1: select k cluster centers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$
- Step 2: for each point  $x_i$ , assign it to the closest center in Euclidean distance:

$$y(x_i) = \operatorname{argmin}_j ||x_i - c_j||$$

• Step 3: update all cluster centers as the centroids:

$$c_j = \frac{\sum_{x:y(x)=j} x}{\sum_{x:y(x)=j} 1}$$

• Repeat Step 2 and 3 until cluster centers no longer change

# Questions on k-means

- What is k-means trying to optimize?
- Will k-means stop (converge)?
- Will it find a global or local optimum?
- How to pick starting cluster centers?
- How many clusters should we use?

**Q 2.1**: You have seven 2-dimensional points. You run 3-means on it, with initial clusters

 $C_1 = \{(2,2), (4,4), (6,6)\}, C_2 = \{(0,4), (4,0)\}, C_3 = \{(5,5), (9,9)\}$ 

Cluster centroids are updated to?

- A. C<sub>1</sub>: (4,4), C<sub>2</sub>: (2,2), C<sub>3</sub>: (7,7)
- B. C<sub>1</sub>: (6,6), C<sub>2</sub>: (4,4), C<sub>3</sub>: (9,9)
- C. C<sub>1</sub>: (2,2), C<sub>2</sub>: (0,0), C<sub>3</sub>: (5,5)
- D. C<sub>1</sub>: (2,6), C<sub>2</sub>: (0,4), C<sub>3</sub>: (5,9)

**Q 2.1**: You have seven 2-dimensional points. You run 3-means on it, with initial clusters

 $C_1 = \{(2,2), (4,4), (6,6)\}, C_2 = \{(0,4), (4,0)\}, C_3 = \{(5,5), (9,9)\}$ 

Cluster centroids are updated to?

- A. C<sub>1</sub>: (4,4), C<sub>2</sub>: (2,2), C<sub>3</sub>: (7,7)
- B. C<sub>1</sub>: (6,6), C<sub>2</sub>: (4,4), C<sub>3</sub>: (9,9)
- C. C<sub>1</sub>: (2,2), C<sub>2</sub>: (0,0), C<sub>3</sub>: (5,5)
- D. C<sub>1</sub>: (2,6), C<sub>2</sub>: (0,4), C<sub>3</sub>: (5,9)

The average of points in C1 is (4,4). The average of points in C2 is (2,2). The average of points in C3 is (7,7).

**Q 2.2**: We are running 3-means again. We have 3 centers,  $C_1$  (0,1),  $C_2$ , (2,1),  $C_3$  (-1,2). Which cluster assignment is possible for the points (1,1) and (-1,1), respectively? Ties are broken arbitrarily:

(i)  $C_1$ ,  $C_1$  (ii)  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  (iii)  $C_1$ ,  $C_3$ 

- A. Only (i)
- B. Only (ii) and (iii)
- C. Only (i) and (iii)
- D. All of them

**Q 2.2**: We are running 3-means again. We have 3 centers,  $C_1$  (0,1),  $C_2$ , (2,1),  $C_3$  (-1,2). Which cluster assignment is possible for the points (1,1) and (-1,1), respectively? Ties are broken arbitrarily:

(i) 
$$C_1$$
,  $C_1$  (ii)  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  (iii)  $C_1$ ,  $C_3$ 

- A. Only (i)
- B. Only (ii) and (iii)
- C. Only (i) and (iii)
- D. All of them

For the point (1,1): square-Euclidean-distance to C1 is 1, to C2 is 1, to C3 is 5

So it can be assigned to C1 or C2

For the point (-1,1): square-Euclidean-distance to C1 is 1, to C2 is 9, to C3 is 1 So it can be assigned to C1 or C3

**Q 2.3:** If we run K-means clustering twice with random starting cluster centers, are we guaranteed to get same clustering results? Does K-means always converge?

- A. Yes, Yes
- B. No, Yes
- C. Yes, No
- D. No, No

**Q 2.3:** If we run K-means clustering twice with random starting cluster centers, are we guaranteed to get same clustering results? Does K-means always converge?

The clustering from k-means will depend on the initialization. Different initialization can lead to different outcomes.

- A. Yes, Yes
- B. No, Yes
- C. Yes, No
- D. No, No

K-means will always converge on a finite set of data points:

- 1. There are finite number of possible partitions of the points
- 2. The assignment and update steps of each iteration will only decrease the sum of the distances from points to their corresponding centers.
- 3. If it run forever without convergence, it will revisit the same partition, which is contradictory to item 2.

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thanks!