

CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Midterm Review

University of Wisconsin-Madison Spring 2025

Announcements

- Homeworks:
 - HW6 online, deadline on Monday March. 17th at 11:59 PM
- Class roadmap:

Midterm Review	Mac
Machine Learning: Deep Learning	rning

Midterm Evaluation

Midterm Information

- Time: March 13th 7:30-9 PM
- Location:
 - Section 001 : Ingraham Hall B10
 - Section 002 : Psychology 105
 - Section 003: split in two locations according to the last name:
 - Chamberlin Hall 2103 (last name starting with A-L)
 - Sterling Hall 1310 (last name starting with M-Z)
- McBurney students and students requesting alternate: reach out to your instructor if you have not received any email!
- Format: multiple choice
- WISC ID
- Cheat sheet: single piece of paper, front and back
- Calculator: fine if it doesn't have an Internet connection
- Detailed topic list + practice on Piazza and Canvas

• Fancy name for what we just did. Terminology:

$$P(H|E) = \frac{P(E|H)P(H)}{P(E)}$$

- *H* is the hypothesis
- *E* is the evidence



• Terminology:

$$P(H|E) = \frac{P(E|H)P(H)}{P(E)} \longleftarrow \text{Prior}$$

• Prior: estimate of the probability without evidence

• Terminology: $P(H|E) = \frac{P(E|H)P(H)}{P(E)}$

• Likelihood: probability of evidence given a hypothesis

• Terminology:

$$P(H|E) = \frac{P(E|H)P(H)}{P(E)}$$
t Posterior

• Posterior: probability of hypothesis given evidence.

Naïve Bayes

• Conditional Probability & Bayes:

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1, \dots, E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

 If we further make the conditional independence assumption (a.k.a. Naïve Bayes)

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1|H)P(E_2|H) \cdots P(E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

Q 3.1: 50% of emails are spam. Software has been applied to filter spam. A certain brand of software claims that it can detect 99% of spam emails, and the probability for a false positive (a non-spam email detected as spam) is 5%. Now if an email is detected as spam, then what is the probability that it is in fact a nonspam email?

- A. 5/104
- B. 95/100
- C. 1/100
- D. 1/2

Q 3.1: 50% of emails are spam. Software has been applied to filter spam. A certain brand of software claims that it can detect 99% of spam emails, and the probability for a false positive (a non-spam email detected as spam) is 5%. Now if an email is detected as spam, then what is the probability that it is in fact a nonspam email?

Α.	5/104	S : Spam NS: Not Spam DS: Detected as Spam
B. C. D.	95/100 1/100 1/2	P(S) = 50 % spam email P(NS) = 50% not spam email P(DS NS) = 5% false positive, detected as spam but not spam P(DS S) = 99% detected as spam and it is spam

Applying Bayes Rule P(NS|DS) = (P(DS|NS)*P(NS)) / P(DS) = (P(DS|NS)*P(NS)) / (P(DS|NS)*P(NS) + P(DS|S)*P(S)) = 5/104

Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

- For a square matrix A, solutions to $Av=\lambda v$
 - v (nonzero) is a vector: eigenvector
 - $-\lambda$ is a scalar: **eigenvalue**
 - Intuition: A is a linear transformation;
 - Can stretch/rotate vectors;
 - E-vectors: only stretched (by e-vals)



Q 2.2: What are the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

A. -1, 2, 4
B. 0.5, 0.2, 1.0
C. 0, 2, 5
D. 2, 5, 1

Break & Quiz Q 2.2: What are the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

A. -1, 2, 4
B. 0.5, 0.2, 1.0
C. 0, 2, 5
D. 2, 5, 1

Solution #1: You may recall from a linear algebra course that the eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix (in which only diagonal entries are non-zero) are just the entries along the diagonal. Hence D is the correct answer.

Q 2.2: What are the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution #2: Use the definition of eigenvectors and values: $Av = \lambda v$

A. -1, 2, 4
B. 0.5, 0.2, 1.0
C. 0, 2, 5
D. 2, 5, 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2v_1 + 0v_2 + 0v_3 \\ 0v_1 + 5v_2 + 0v_3 \\ 0v_1 + 0v_2 + 1v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2v_1 \\ 5v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda v_1 \\ \lambda v_2 \\ \lambda v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since A is a 3x3 matrix, A has 3 eigenvalues and so there are 3 combinations of values for λ and v that will satisfy the above equation. The simple form of the equations suggests starting by checking each of the standard basis vectors* as v and then solving for λ . Doing so gives D as the correct answer.

Dimensionality Reduction

Reduce dimensions

- Why?
 - Lots of features redundant
 - Storage & computation costs



• Goal: take
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^d \to x \in \mathbb{R}^r$$
 for $r << d$ – But, minimize information loss

Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- Find **axes** $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of a subspace
 - Will project to this subspace
- Want to preserve data
 - minimize projection error

 These vectors are the principal components



PCA Procedure

Inputs: data
$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

- Center data so that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$



Victor Powell

PCA Procedure

Output:

principal components $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- Orthogonal
- Can show: they are top-*m* eigenvectors of $S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top}$ (covariance matrix)
- Each x_i projected to $x_i^{\text{pca}} = \sum_{j=1}^m (u_j^{\mathsf{T}} x_i) u_j$

 χ_i

Basic Logic

Arguments, premises, conclusions

- Argument: a set of sentences (premises) + a sentence (a conclusion)
- Validity: argument is valid iff it's necessary that if all premises are true, the conclusion is true
- Soundness: argument is sound iff valid & premises true
- Entailment: when valid arg., premises entail conclusion

Evaluating a Sentence

Example:

P	Q	$\neg P$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \lor Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
false	false	true	false	false	true	true
false	true	true	false	true	true	false
true	false	false	false	true	false	false
true	true	false	true	true	true	true

Note:

- If P is false, P ⇒ Q is true regardless of Q ("5 is even implies 6 is odd" is True!)
- Causality not needed: "5 is odd implies the Sun is a star" is True!)

Q 1.1: Suppose P is false, Q is true, and R is true. Does this assignment satisfy

- (i) $\neg(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \land r$ (ii) $(\neg p \lor \neg q) \rightarrow (p \lor \neg r)$
- A. Both
- B. Neither
- C. Just (i)
- D. Just (ii)

Q 1.1: Suppose P is false, Q is true, and R is true. Does this assignment satisfy

(i)
$$\neg (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \land r$$

(ii) $(\neg p \lor \neg q) \rightarrow (p \lor \neg r)$

- A. Both
- B. Neither
- C. Just (i)
- D. Just (ii)

Q 1.1: Suppose P is false, Q is true, and R is true. Does this assignment satisfy

(i)
$$\neg (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \land r$$

(ii) $(\neg p \lor \neg q) \rightarrow (p \lor \neg r)$

Plug interpretation into each sentence.

- A. Both
- B. Neither
- C. Just (i)
- D. Just (ii)

For (i): $(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ will be false so $\neg(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ will be true and r is true by assignment.

For (ii): $(\neg p \lor \neg q)$ is true and $(p \lor \neg r)$ is false which makes the implication false.

Language Models

Basic idea: use probabilistic models to assign a probability to a sentence W

 $P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ or $P(w_{next} | w_1, w_2 \dots)$

Goes back to Shannon

– Information theory: letters

Zero-order approximation	XFOML RXKHRJFFJUJ ALPWXFWJXYJ FFJEYVJCQSGHYD QPAAMKBZAACIBZLKJQD
First-order approximation	OCRO HLO RGWR NMIELWIS EU LL NBNESEBYA TH EEI ALHENHTTPA OOBTTVA NAH BRL
Second-order approximation	ON IE ANTSOUTINYS ARE T INCTORE ST BE S DEAMY ACHIN D ILONASIVE TUCOOWE AT TEASONARE FUSO TIZIN ANDY TOBE SEACE CTISBE
Third-order approximation	IN NO IST LAT WHEY CRATICT FROURE BIRS GROCID PONDENOME OF DEMONSTURES OF THE REPTAGIN IS REGOACTIONA OF CRE
First-order word approximation	REPRESENTING AND SPEEDILY IS AN GOOD APT OR COME CAN DIFFERENT NATURAL HERE HE THE A IN CAME THE TO OF TO EXPERT GRAY COME TO FURNISHES THE LINE MESSAGE HAD BE THESE

Training: Make Assumptions

• Markov assumption with shorter history:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_1) = P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_{i-k})$$

- Present doesn't depend on whole past
 - Just recent past, i.e., context.
 - What's k=0?

k=0: Unigram Model

- Full independence assumption:
 - (Present doesn't depend on the past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2)\dots P(w_n)$$

The English letter frequency wheel



k=1: Bigram Model

- Markov Assumption:
 - (Present depends on immediate past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_2)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1})$$



p(. |q): the "after q" wheel

p(.|j): the "after j" wheel

texaco, rose, one, in, this, issue, is, pursuing, growth, in, a, boiler, house, said, mr., gurria, mexico, 's, motion, control, proposal, without, permission, from, five, hundred, fifty, five, yen outside, new, car, parking, lot, of, the, agreement, reached this, would, be, a, record, november

k=n-1: n-gram Model

Can do trigrams, 4-grams, and so on

- More expressive as *n* goes up
- Harder to estimate

Training: just count? I.e, for bigram: $P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$

n-gram Training

P

Issues:

$$(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

- **1**. Multiply tiny numbers?
 - Solution: use logs; add instead of multiply P(w|denied the)
- 2. n-grams with zero probability?
 - Solution: smoothing

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\text{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i) + 1}{\text{count}(w_{i-1}) + V}$$



Dan Klein

Q 1. Suppose we have the following training corpus in an NLP setup.

"I took my dog out for a walk but my dog ran away"

(i) What is the P(dog) using a unigram model without Laplace smoothing?

(ii) What is the P(my dog) using a unigram model without Laplace smoothing?

(iii) What is the P(my dog) using a bigram model without Laplace smoothing?

(iv) What is the P(dog | my) for a bigram model without Laplace smoothing

Q 1. Suppose we have the following training corpus in an NLP setup.

"I took my dog out for a walk but my dog ran away"

(i) What is the P(dog) using a unigram model without Laplace smoothing?

(ii) What is the P(my dog) using a unigram model without Laplace smoothing?

- (iii) What is the P(my dog) using a bigram model without Laplace smoothing?
- (iv) What is the P(dog | my) for a bigram model without Laplace smoothing?
 - (i) P(dog) = 2 / 13 (note there are 13 words in the sentence; two are 'dog').
 - (ii) P(my dog) = P(my) * P(dog) = (2/13) * (2/13) = 4/169
 - (iii) P(my dog) = 2 / 12 = 1 / 6
 - (iv) P(dog | my) = count(my, dog) / count(my) = 2/2 = 1

Representing Words

Remember value of random variables (RVs) Easier to work with than objects like 'dog'

Traditional representation: one-hot vectors

- $dog = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Dimension: # of words in vocabulary
- Relationships between words?



Smarter Representations

Distributional semantics: account for relationships

Reps should be close/similar to other words that appear in a similar context

Dense vectors:

dog =
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.13 & 0.87 & -0.23 & 0.46 & 0.87 & -0.31 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

cat = $\begin{bmatrix} 0.07 & 1.03 & -0.43 & -0.21 & 1.11 & -0.34 \end{bmatrix}^T$

AKA word embeddings



Supervised/Unsupervised Learning

Supervised learning:

Make predictions, classify data, perform regression

 $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$

Dataset:



Labels / Outputs

Goal: find function $f: X \to Y$ to predict label on **new** data



courses.d2l.ai/berkeley-stat-157





indoor

outdoor

Supervised/Unsupervised Learning

Unsupervised learning:

No labels; generally, won't be making predictions

Dataset: x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n

Goal: find patterns & structures that help better understand data.



Agglomerative Clustering Example

Repeat: Get pair of clusters that are closest and merge



courses.d2l.ai/berkeley-stat-157
Merging Criteria

Merge: use closest clusters. Define closest? Single-linkage

$$d(A,B) = \min_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

Complete-linkage

$$d(A,B) = \max_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

Average-linkage

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{|A||B|} \sum_{x_1 \in A, x_2 \in B} d(x_1, x_2)$$

We'll merge using single-linkage

1-dimensional vectors.

Initial: all points are clusters



We'll merge using single-linkage



Continue...

$$d(C_1, C_2) = d(2, 4) = 2$$
$$d(C_2, \{7.25\}) = d(5, 7.25) = 2.25$$



Continue...





- We'll merge using complete-linkage
- 1-dimensional vectors.
- Initial: all points are clusters



Beginning is the same...



courses.d2l.ai/berkeley-stat-157

Now we diverge:





Q 1.1: Let's do hierarchical clustering for two clusters with average linkage on the dataset below. What are the clusters?

A. {1}, {2,4,5,7.25}
B. {1,2}, {4, 5, 7.25}
C. {1,2,4}, {5, 7.25}
D. {1,2,4,5}, {7.25}



Q 1.1: Let's do hierarchical clustering for two clusters with average linkage on the dataset below. What are the clusters?

A. {1}, {2,4,5,7.25} **B. {1,2}, {4, 5, 7.25}** C. {1,2,4}, {5, 7.25} D. {1,2,4,5}, {7.25} Iteration 1: merge 1 and 2 Iteration 2: merge 4 and 5 Iteration 3: Now we have clusters $\{1,2\}, \{4,5\}, \{7.25\}$. distance($\{1,2\}, \{4,5\}$) = 3 distance($\{4,5\}, \{7.25\}$) = 2.75 distance($\{1,2\}, \{7.25\}$) is clearly larger than the above two. So average linkage will merge $\{4,5\}$ and $\{7.25\}$



Steps: 1. Randomly pick k cluster centers



2. Find closest center for each point



3. Update cluster centers by computing centroids



Repeat Steps 2 & 3 until convergence



K-means algorithm

Input: $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, k$

Step 1: select k cluster centers $c_1, c_2, ..., c_k$

Step 2: for each point x_i , assign it to the closest center in Euclidean distance:

$$y(x_i) = \operatorname{argmin}_j ||x_i - c_j||$$

Step 3: update all cluster centers as the centroids:

$$c_j = \frac{\sum_{x:y(x)=j} x}{\sum_{x:y(x)=j} 1}$$

Repeat Step 2 and 3 until cluster centers no longer change

- What is k-means trying to optimize?
- Will k-means stop (converge)?
- Will it find a global or local optimum?
- How to pick starting cluster centers?
- How many clusters should we use?

The optimization problem of k-means

• What is k-means trying to optimize?

$$\min_{c,y} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i - c_{y(x_i)}||^2$$

• Will k-means stop (converge)? Yes

Given a fixed dataset and a fixed number of clusters, there are only a **finite number of ways** to assign data points to clusters.

Each iteration consists of:

- Assignment Step: Assign each data point to the closest centroid.
- Update Step: Recompute centroids as the mean of assigned points. These steps **always reduce or keep the same** the objective function (sum of squared distance), ensuring termination.

• Will it find a global or local optimum? (sadly no guarantee)





• Will it find a global or local optimum? (sadly no guarantee)





How many clusters should we use?

- Difficult problem
- Domain knowledge
- Elbow Method
 - Compute the within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) for different values of k.
 - Plot WCSS vs. k and look for the elbow point where the reduction in WCSS slows down. The optimal k is typically at this elbow.

Linear Regression

Simplest type of regression problem.

nputs:
$$(\mathbf{x}_1,y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2,y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n,y_n)$$

- x's are vectors, y's are scalars.
- "Linear": predict a linear combination of x components + intercept



$$f(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \theta_d x_d = \theta_0 + x^T \theta_0$$

Want: parameters

Linear Regression Setup

Problem Setup

Goal: figure out how to minimize square loss Let's organize it. Train set $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$

- Since $f(x) = \theta_0 + x^T \theta$, use a notational trick by augmenting feature vector with a constant dimension of 1: $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$
- Then, with this one more dimension we can write (θ contains θ_0 now) $_{f(x) = x^T \theta}$

Linear Regression Setup

Problem Setup

Train set $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$ Take train features and make it a n*(d+1) matrix, and y a vector:

Then, the empirical risk is $\frac{1}{n} ||X\theta - y||^2$

Finding The Estimated Parameters

- Have our loss: $\frac{1}{n} ||X\theta y||^2$
- Could optimize it with SGD, etc...
- But the minimum also has a closed-form solution (vector calculus):



"Normal Equations"

How Good are the Estimated Parameters? Now we have parameters $\hat{\theta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ How good are they? Predictions are $f(x_i) = \hat{\theta}^T x_i = ((X^T X)^{-1} X^T y)^T x_i$ Errors ("residuals")

$$|y_i - f(x_i)| = |y_i - \hat{\theta}^T x_i| = |y_i - ((X^T X)^{-1} X^T y)^T x_i|$$

If data is linear, residuals are 0. Almost never the case! Mean squared error on a test set $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} (\hat{\theta}^T x_i - y_i)^2$

Linear Regression → Classification?

What if we want the same idea, but *y* is 0 or 1? Need to convert the $\theta^T x$ to a probability in [0,1]

$$p(y = 1|x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^T x)} \quad \leftarrow \text{Logistic function}$$

Why does this work?

If $\theta^T x$ is really big, $\exp(-\theta^T x)$ is really small $\rightarrow p$ close to 1 If really negative exp is huge $\rightarrow p$ close to 0

"Logistic Regression"

Q 2.3: You have a dataset for regression given by $(x_1, y_1) = ([-1,0,1], 2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) = ([2,3,1], 4)$. We have the weights $\beta_0 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 2$, $\beta_2 = 1$, $\beta_3 = 1$. What is the mean squared error (MSE) on the training set?

- A.9
- B. 13/2
- C. 25/2
- D. 25

Q 2.3: You have a dataset for regression given by $(x_1, y_1) = ([-1,0,1], 2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) = ([2,3,1], 4)$. We have the weights $\beta_0 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 2$, $\beta_2 = 1$, $\beta_3 = 1$. What is the mean squared error (MSE) on the training set?

• A. 9

- B. 13/2
- C. **25/2**
- D. 25

Q 2.3: You have a dataset for regression given by $(x_1, y_1) = ([-1,0,1], 2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) = ([2,3,1], 4)$. We have the weights $\beta_0 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 2$, $\beta_2 = 1$, $\beta_3 = 1$. What is the mean squared error (MSE) on the training set?

- A. 9
- B. 13/2
- C. **25/2**
- D. 25

Compute the predicted label for each data point, then compute the squared error for each data point, then take the mean error of the two points:

 $\hat{y}_1 = -1 * \beta_1 + 0 * \beta_2 + 1 * \beta_3 = -1$ $\ell(\hat{y}_1, y_1) = (-1 - 2)^2 = 9$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_2 &= 2 \; * \beta_1 + 3 * \beta_2 + 1 * \beta_3 = 8 \\ \ell(\hat{y}_1, y_1) &= (8 - 4)^2 = 16 \\ \text{MSE} &= (16 + 9) \, / \, 2 = 25 \, / \, 2 \end{aligned}$$

Review: Perceptron

• Given input x, weight w and bias b, perceptron outputs:



Multi-layer perceptron: Example

Standard way to connect Perceptrons
Example: 1 hidden layer, 1 output layer, depth = 2



Neural network for K-way classification

• K outputs in the final layer **Multi-class classification** (e.g., ImageNet with K=1000)



More complicated neural networks: multiple hidden layers


How to train a neural network? Binary classification 1Loss function: $\frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in D} \ell(\mathbf{x}, y)$ Input

Per-sample loss:

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}, y) = -y\log(\hat{y}) - (1 - y)\log(1 - \hat{y})$$

Negative log likelihood Minimizing NLL is equivalent to Max Likelihood Learning (MLE) Also known as binary cross-entropy loss





How to train a neural network?

Update the weights W to minimize the loss function

$$L = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in D} \ell(\mathbf{x}, y)$$

Use gradient descent!



Gradient Descent

- Choose a learning rate $\alpha > 0$
- Initialize the model parameters w_0
- For t =1,2,...



parameter



Repeat until converges

Minibatch Stochastic Gradient Descent

VV O

W

W

- Choose a learning rate $\alpha > 0$
- Initialize the model parameters w₀
- For t =1,2,...
 - Randomly sample a subset (mini-batch) B

 \subset *D*Update parameters:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t} = \mathbf{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in B} \frac{\partial \ell(\mathbf{x}, y)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{t-1}}$$

• Repeat until converges



- Want to compute $\frac{\partial \ell(\mathbf{x}, y)}{\partial w_{11}}$
- Data point: $((x_1, x_2), y)$



Use chain rule!



















Quiz Break

Suppose you are given a 3-layer multilayer perceptron (2 hidden layers h1 and h2 and 1 output layer). All activation functions are sigmoids, and the output layer uses a softmax function. Suppose h1 has 1024 units and h2 has 512 units. Given a dataset with 2 input features and 3 unique class labels, how many learnable parameters does the perceptron have in total?

Quiz Break

Suppose you are given a 3-layer multilayer perceptron (2 hidden layers h1 and h2 and 1 output layer). All activation functions are sigmoids, and the output layer uses a softmax function. Suppose h1 has 1024 units and h2 has 512 units. Given a dataset with 2 input features and 3 unique class labels, how many learnable parameters does the perceptron have in total?

1024 * 2 + 1024 + 512 * 1024 + 512 + 512 * 3 + 3 = 529411



Thanks!