

Instructions

- **Due:** Tuesday, February 3 in class
- Turn in a handwritten or printed PDF in class. Ensure it contains your name and email.
- You may use any resources in completing this homework. These include other students, AI tools, textbooks, and course notes.
- While completing the assignment, follow these instructions for each subproblem:
 - Make sure you understand the question.
 - Try to solve it alone.
 - If you use outside help, make sure you understand and can explain the solution.
- **Collaborator Acknowledgement:** After each problem, list any people/tools/resources you relied on. Write a few sentences reflecting on what insights you required help with.

Problems

Problem 1. Better Composition for Randomized Response In class we saw the ϵ -DP algorithm Randomized Response $\text{RR}_\epsilon : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, which returns its input with probability $\frac{e^\epsilon}{1+e^\epsilon}$ and otherwise flips its input. Consider its privacy loss: for $y \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$L_{\text{RR}_\epsilon}^{0 \rightarrow 1}(y) = \ln \left(\frac{\Pr[\text{RR}_\epsilon(0) = y]}{\Pr[\text{RR}_\epsilon(1) = y]} \right).$$

When $Y \sim \text{RR}_\epsilon(0)$ is a random variable, we call $L_{\text{RR}_\epsilon}^{0 \rightarrow 1}(Y)$ the *privacy loss random variable* (PLRV). This is an important quantity in the study of differential privacy.

Let $A(x) = (\text{RR}_\epsilon(x), \dots, \text{RR}_\epsilon(x))$ be T independent repetitions of RR_ϵ . Basic composition says that A satisfies ϵ' -DP for $\epsilon' = T\epsilon$. In this problem, we will show how one can achieve a smaller ϵ' by switching to approximate DP.

- Write the PLRV for $A(0)$ as a sum of independent and identically distributed random variables. For a single random variable, compute (i) its expectation and (ii) the minimum and maximum values it can achieve.
- Derive a $1 - \delta$ high-probability upper bound on the PLRV. You can use the following concentration inequality.

Lemma (Hoeffding Bound). *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_T be independent random variables such that for all $1 \leq t \leq T$, $\mathbb{E}[Z_t] = \mu$ and $\Pr[a \leq Z_t \leq b] = 1$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$*

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{t=1}^T Z_t \geq T\mu + \alpha \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2\alpha^2}{T(b-a)^2} \right).$$

- State this as an approximate DP guarantee: for any $\delta > 0$, $A(x)$ satisfies (ϵ', δ) -DP for $\epsilon' = \dots$.
- Set $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $\delta = 10^{-6}$. For what T does our bound yield a smaller ϵ' than the result of basic composition?

Problem 2. Private Histograms In this problem we will analyze two DP histogram algorithms. Histograms are a fundamental tool and also serve as a subroutine in many DP algorithms.

We receive data points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and a collection of “bins” $\{S_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$, disjoint subsets of \mathcal{X} . Here $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a (possibly infinite) index set. We wish to privately approximate the count $c_j = \#\{i \in [n] : x_i \in S_j\}$ for each bin.

You can use the following tail bound for zero-mean Laplace random variables: for any $t, b > 0$, if $Z \sim \text{Lap}(b)$ then $\Pr[|Z| \geq t] = \exp(-|t|/b)$.

- (a) We saw in class that adding noise $\text{Lap}(1/\varepsilon)$ to a sum of 0/1 inputs preserves ε -DP. This can be seen as a one-bin histogram algorithm: we release noisy count $\tilde{c} = c + Z$ for $Z \sim \text{Lap}(1/\varepsilon)$.

Analyze its accuracy: for any $\beta > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \beta$ we have $|\tilde{c} - c| \leq \dots$

- (b) Prove that, for k bins, adding independent $\text{Lap}(2/\varepsilon)$ noise to each bin preserves ε -DP.
- (c) Analyze the accuracy of this k -bin algorithm: with probability at least $1 - \beta$, for all $j \in [k]$ we have $|\tilde{c}_j - c_j| \leq \dots$. (Hint: union bound.)

- (d) The above guarantees become vacuous when run on histograms with an infinite number of bins. Algorithm 1 avoids this difficulty. Show that it satisfies (ε, δ) -DP.

(Hint: when introducing a new data point, it can either be added to an empty bin or a non-empty bin. Treat these two cases differently.)

- (e) (Informal, No Single Correct Answer) Suppose we are given i.i.d. samples from a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ but have no prior knowledge about μ 's location. Suggest how we could use Algorithm 1 to privately produce a rough approximation of μ .

How might one use similar ideas to produce a location estimate for a d -dimensional Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \mathbb{I}_d)$? Discuss possible drawbacks of your approach.

Algorithm 1 Approx DP Histogram

Input: Data $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$, disjoint bins $\{S_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$, privacy parameters $\varepsilon, \delta \geq 0$.

Returns: Noisy bin counts

```

1: for  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  do
2:    $c_j \leftarrow \#\{i \in [n] : x_i \in S_j\}$ 
3: end for
4: for  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $c_j > 0$  do
5:    $\tilde{c}_j \leftarrow c_j + Z_j$ , where  $Z_j \sim \text{Lap}(2/\varepsilon)$ 
6: end for
7:  $\tau \leftarrow \frac{2 \log(1/\delta)}{\varepsilon} + 1$ 
8: return  $\{(j, \tilde{c}_j) : \tilde{c}_j \geq \tau\}$ 

```
