

CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Natural Language Processing (before LLMs)

University of Wisconsin-Madison Fall 2023

What is **NLP**?

Combining computing with human language. Want to:

- Answer questions
- Summarize or extract information
- Translate between languages
- Generate dialogue/language
- Write stories automatically



Why is it **hard**?

Many reasons:

- Ambiguity: "Mary saw the duck with the telescope in the park". Several meanings.
- Understanding of the world
 - "Bob and Joe are fathers".
 - "Bob and Joe are brothers".



Approaches to NLP

A brief history

- Symbolic NLP: 50's to 90's
- Statistical/Probabilistic: 90's to present
 - Neural nets: 2010's to present
 - Large Language Model (LLM): GPT etc.

Lots of progress! Lots more to work to do



Outline

• Introduction to language models

- n-grams, training, evaluation, generation

- Word representations
 - One-hot, word embeddings, transformer-based

Language Models

Basic idea: use probabilistic models to assign a probability to a sentence W

$$P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$$

Training The Model

Recall the chain rule of probability:

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1}\dots w_1)$$

- How do we estimate these probabilities?
 - I.e., "training" in machine learning.
- From data (text corpus)
 - Can't estimate reliably for long histories.

Training: Make Assumptions

• Markov assumption with shorter history:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_1) = P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_{i-k})$$

- Present doesn't depend on whole past
 - Just recent past, i.e., *context*.
 - What's k=0?

k=0: **Uni**gram Model

- Full independence assumption:
 - (Present doesn't depend on the past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2)\dots P(w_n)$$



The English letter frequency wheel



k=1: **Bi**gram Model

- Markov Assumption:
 - (Present depends on immediate past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_2)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1})$$

p(.|q): the "after q" wheel



k=n-1: **n-**gram Model

Can do trigrams, 4-grams, and so on

- More expressive as *n* goes up
- Harder to estimate

Training: just count? I.e, for bigram:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

n-gram Training

 $P(w_i|$

Issues:

$$w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

- **1**. Multiply tiny numbers?
 - Solution: use logs; add instead of multiply
- 2. n-grams with zero probability?
 - Solution: smoothing

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\text{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i) + 1}{\text{count}(w_{i-1}) + V}$$

P(w|denied the)





Dan Klein

Q 1.1: Which of the below are bigrams from the sentence "It is cold outside today".

- A. It is
- B. cold today
- C. is cold
- D. A & C

Q 1.1: Which of the below are bigrams from the sentence "It is cold outside today".

- A. It is
- B. cold today
- C. is cold
- D. A & C

Q 1.2: Smoothing is increasingly useful for n-grams when

- A. n gets larger
- B. n gets smaller
- C. always the same
- D. n larger than 10

Q 1.2: Smoothing is increasingly useful for n-grams when

- A. n gets larger
- B. n gets smaller
- C. always the same
- D. n larger than 10

Evaluating Language Models

How do we know we've done a good job?

- Observation
- Train/test on separate data & measure metrics
- Metrics:
 - 1. Extrinsic evaluation
 - 2. Perplexity



Extrinsic Evaluation

How do we know we've done a good job?

- **Pick a task** and use the model to do the task
- For two models, M₁, M₂, compare the accuracy for each task
 - Ex: Q/A system: how many questions right. Translation: how many words translated correctly
- Downside: slow; may change relatively



Intrinsic Evaluation: Perplexity

Perplexity is a **measure of uncertainty**

$$PP(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Compute average PP(W) for all W from a dataset **Lower is better!** Examples:

- WSJ corpus; 40 million words for training:
 - Unigram: 962, Bigram 170, Trigram 109

Simple "generative AI" from letter bigram (Markov Chain)

Writing = sampling

- Say we start with q
- Sample from $P(\cdot | q)$: spin the "after q" wheel $(\cdot | q)$,
 - , we get u
- Sample from $P(\cdot | u)$: spin the "after u" wheel, say we get e
- Sample from $P(\cdot | e)$: spin the "after e" wheel, say we get r

Sampling Shakespeare unigram LM

- To him swallowed confess hear both. Which. Of save on trail for are ay device and rote life have
- · Every enter now severally so, let
- Hill he late speaks; or! a more to leg less first you enter
- Will rash been and by I the me loves gentle me not slavish page, the and hour; ill let
- Are where execut and sighs have rise excellency took of .. sleep knave we. near; vile like

Sampling Shakespeare bigram LM

- What means, sir. I confess she? then all sorts, he is trim, captain.
- Why dost stand forth thy canopy, forsooth; he is this palpable hit the King Henry. Live king.
 Follow.
- What we, hath got so she that I rest and sent to scold and nature bankrupt, nor the first gentleman?
- Enter Menenius, if it so many good direction found'st thou art a strong upon command of fear not a liberal largess given away, Falstaff! Exeunt

Jurafsky & Martin, Speech and language processing, Prentice Hall, 2000.

Sampling Shakespeare trigram LM

- Sweet prince, Falstaff shall die. Harry of Monmouth's grave.
- This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.
- What is't that cried?
- Indeed the duke; and had a very good friend.

Jurafsky & Martin, Speech and language processing, Prentice Hall, 2000.

Further NLP Tasks

Language modeling is not the only task:

- Part-of-speech tagging, parsing, etc.
- Question-answering, translation, summarization, classification (e.g., sentiment analysis), generation, etc.

Q 2.1: What is the perplexity for a sequence of *n* digits 0-9? All occur independently with equal probability.

$$PP(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

- A. 10
- B. 1/10
- C. 10ⁿ
- D. 0

Q 2.1: What is the perplexity for a sequence of *n* digits 0-9? All occur independently with equal probability.

$$PP(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

- A. 10
- B. 1/10
- C. 10ⁿ
- D. 0

Representing Words

Remember value of random variables (RVs)

• Easier to work with than objects like 'dog'

Traditional representation: **one-hot vectors**

 $dog = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

- Dimension: # of words in vocabulary
- Relationships between words?



Smarter Representations

Distributional semantics: account for relationships

 Reps should be close/similar to other words that appear in a similar context

Dense vectors:

Δ

dog =
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.13 & 0.87 & -0.23 & 0.46 & 0.87 & -0.31 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

cat = $\begin{bmatrix} 0.07 & 1.03 & -0.43 & -0.21 & 1.11 & -0.34 \end{bmatrix}^T$
KA word embeddings



Word Embeddings



<u>Saurabh Pal – Implementing Word2Vec in Tensorflow</u>

Beyond "Shallow" Embeddings

- Transformers: special model architectures based on attention
 - Sophisticated types of neural networks
- Pretrained models
 - Based on transformers: BERT
 - Include context!

• Fine-tune for desired task



17

Reading

 Natural Language and Statistics, Notes by Zhu. <u>https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~jerryzhu/cs540/ha</u> <u>ndouts/NLP.pdf</u>