

## 8.3 Multiple Regression

Recall that, given data  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ , we saw in §2.2-3 and §8.1-2 how to use linear regression to fit a line to describe how the dependent variable  $y$  changes as the independent variable  $x$  changes.

§8.3 extends this idea to the case of  $y$  depending on \_\_\_\_\_ independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  via the *multiple regression* model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + \varepsilon_i$$

Notation:

- $(x_{1i}, \dots, x_{pi}, y_i)$ :  $i^{th}$  data point, for  $i = 1$  to  $n$
- $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{pi}$ : fitted regression equation, where  $\hat{\beta}_i$  estimates the unknown  $\beta_i$
- $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ : *residual*, the difference between observed  $y_i$  and predicted  $\hat{y}_i$

Special cases of multiple regression include

- *polynomial regression*, in which the  $p$  independent variables are \_\_\_\_\_ of a single variable  $x$ :

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{_____} + \beta_2 \text{_____} + \dots + \beta_p \text{_____} + \varepsilon_i$$

- a *quadratic model* in variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ :

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{1i} x_{2i} + \beta_4 x_{1i}^2 + \beta_5 x_{2i}^2 + \varepsilon_i$$

(These are models are linear in the \_\_\_\_\_  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_p$ , not in the \_\_\_\_\_.)

### Estimating the Coefficients $\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$

As before, minimize the *error sum of squares*

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum [y_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{pi})]^2$$

Do this by setting  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \hat{\beta}_i} = 0$  for  $i = 0$  through  $p$ , to get a system of \_\_\_\_\_ linear equations in the \_\_\_\_\_ unknowns  $\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$ . A page of matrix calculus gives an elegant solution,

$$\hat{\beta}_i = \text{_____}$$

For each estimated coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_i$ , the estimated standard deviation is  $s_{\hat{\beta}_i} = \text{_____}$ .

Use \_\_\_\_\_ to get these numbers.

## The Secret Coefficients $\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$ (\_\_\_\_\_)

Use the matrix notation

$$\vec{y} = [y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_j \quad \cdots \quad y_n]_{1 \times n}, \quad \vec{\hat{\beta}} = [\hat{\beta}_0 \quad \cdots \quad \hat{\beta}_p]_{1 \times (p+1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1j} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{p1} & \cdots & x_{pj} & \cdots & x_{pn} \end{bmatrix}_{(p+1) \times n}$$

for  $n$  data points (\_\_\_\_\_ of  $\vec{y}$  and  $X$ ) and  $p$  independent variables plus 1 constant term (\_\_\_\_\_ of  $X$ , where we understand  $x_{0i} \equiv 1$ ).

The fitted system of equations,  $\hat{y}_j = \hat{\beta}_0 x_{0j} + \cdots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{pj} = \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k x_{kj}$  (for  $j = 1$  to  $n$ ), is

$$\vec{\hat{y}} = \vec{\hat{\beta}} X$$

Minimize the sum of the squares of the residuals

$$SSE = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( y_j - \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k x_{kj} \right)^2$$

by differentiating with respect to  $\hat{\beta}_i$  (for  $i = 0$  to  $p$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\beta}_i} (SSE) &= \sum_{j=1}^n 2 \left( y_j - \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k x_{kj} \right) (-x_{ij}) = 0 \\ \implies \sum_{j=1}^n y_j x_{ij} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k x_{kj} x_{ij} \\ \implies \sum_{j=1}^n y_j X_{ji}^T &= \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_{kj} [X^T]_{ji} \right) \\ \implies [\vec{y} X^T]_i &= \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{\beta}_k (X X^T)_{ki} \\ &= [\vec{\hat{\beta}} (X X^T)]_i \end{aligned}$$

This is true for all  $i$ , so we can write the matrix equation  $\vec{y} X^T = \vec{\hat{\beta}} (X X^T)$ . To solve it, multiply both sides on the right by  $(X X^T)^{-1}$ :  $\boxed{\vec{\hat{\beta}} = (\vec{y} X^T) (X X^T)^{-1}}$

e.g. Find the regression line for the points (1, 1), (2, 3) (3, 2) (draw).

## Sums of Squares

Analysis of multiple regression relies on three sums of squares:

- *Regression* sum of squares,  $SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$ : measures spread of predictions around \_\_\_\_\_
- *Error* sum of squares,  $SSE = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ : measures errors, spread of  $y_i$ 's around \_\_\_\_\_
- *Total* sum of squares,  $SST = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ : measures spread of  $y_i$ 's around \_\_\_\_\_

It can be shown that  $SST = SSR + SSE$  (the *analysis of variance identity*).

As before, assume the errors  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are \_\_\_\_\_, all having mean \_\_\_\_\_ and the same variance \_\_\_\_\_, and are all \_\_\_\_\_ distributed:  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Then  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + \varepsilon_i \sim N(\text{_____}, \text{_____})$

$\beta_j$  is the change in \_\_\_\_\_ caused by a change of \_\_\_\_\_ in  $x_j$ , with the other variables \_\_\_\_\_.

## The Statistics $s^2$ , $R^2$ , and $F$

- $s^2 = \frac{SSE}{n - (p + 1)}$ , an estimate of  $\sigma^2$

Divide by  $n - (p + 1)$  instead of  $n$  because \_\_\_\_\_ degrees of freedom are lost in estimating  $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$  from data.

$s^2$  enters into a complicated expression for  $s_{\hat{\beta}_j}^2$ , which we'll get from software. Then  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{s_{\hat{\beta}_j}} \sim t_{n-(p+1)}$ , which we can use for inference:

– Interval:  $\boxed{\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-(p+1), \alpha/2} s_{\hat{\beta}_j}}$  ( $s_{\hat{\beta}_j}$  is from software)

– Test:  $\boxed{\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_{j0}}{s_{\hat{\beta}_j}} \sim t_{n-(p+1)} \text{ tests } H_0 : \beta_j = \beta_{j0}}$

- $R^2$ : Recall (§2.3) that the *coefficient of determination*,  $r^2$ , is a measure of the \_\_\_\_\_ of the model to the data. For multiple regression, capitalize the “r”:

$$R^2 = \frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 - \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2} = \frac{SST - SSE}{SST} = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

= proportion of variance in  $y$  explained by regression  
 $\in [0, 1]$

- $F = \frac{SSR/p}{SSE/[n - (p + 1)]} = \frac{SSR/p}{s^2} \sim F_{p, n-(p+1)}$

Use  $F$  to test  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$  (a strong generalization of the one-variable test, “ $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ ”), which says  $y$  has \_\_\_\_\_ with any of the  $x_j$ 's. Proceed with regression only if \_\_\_\_\_.

## Example

e.g. (p. 360 #16) An experiment studying the relationship between the speed of a cutting tool ( $x$ , in m/s) and the tool lifetime ( $y$ , in hours) yielded the data below. The residual plot for the  $y = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$  shows curvature. Use multiple regression to find the best model  $y = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x + \hat{\beta}_2 x^2$ .

$x$	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	$\bar{y} = 85, s_y = 13.86$
$y$	99	96	88	76	66	

calculations:

$x^2$	1		4	6.25	9	$SSE = \sum e_i^2 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$
$\hat{y}_i$		94.89	87.57	77.69	65.23	
$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$		1.11	.43	-1.69	.77	
$e_i^2$		1.23	.18	2.86	.59	

The R guide gives the (polynomial regression) model  $\hat{y} = 101.4 + 3.37x - 5.14x^2$ .

- a. Using this equation, find the residuals.

$$\hat{y}_1 = \underline{\hspace{4cm}}$$

$$\Rightarrow e_1 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} - \underline{\hspace{2cm}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

- b. Find the error sum of squares  $SSE = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  and the total sum of squares  $SST = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .

- c. Find the error variance estimate  $s^2 = \underline{\hspace{4cm}}$   
( $p = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ )

- d. Find the coefficient of determination  $R^2 = \underline{\hspace{4cm}}$ .

- e. For  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ , find  $F = \underline{\hspace{4cm}}$ .  
Degrees of freedom =  $\underline{\hspace{4cm}}$

- f. Can  $H_0$  be rejected at the 5% level? Explain.

## Checking Assumptions in Multiple Regression

Check assumptions as in simple linear regression (§8.2):

- Make a residual plot (§8.2) of residuals vs. fitted values,  $\underline{\hspace{4cm}}$
- Make a  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  probability plot (§4.7) of the residuals,  $\{e_i\}$
- Plot residuals  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  in which the observations were made,  $\{(i, e_i)\}$
- Plot residuals vs. each independent variable,  $\{(x_{ji}, e_i)\}$  for  $j = 1$  to  $p$

See formula sheet for an “ANOVA table” presentation of the  $F$  test.