Advanced Topics in Reinforcement Learning Lecture 8: On-Policy Temporal Difference Learning

Announcements

- Homework 2 due next Thursday @ 9:29 AM
- Project proposals due Thursday @ midnight central time.
- Start reading chapter 8 for next week (Models and Planning).
- Robotics Seminar 1pm Wednesday Kris Hauser, UIUC
 - "Towards Open-World Robotics"



This Week

- Temporal difference learning for prediction.
 - Monte Carlo vs TD-Learning vs Dynamic Programming
 - N-step returns
- SARSA for control.
- Q-learning for control.
- Expected SARSA. \bullet



Review

- Dynamic Programming Methods
 - Require a model of the environment (know p).
 - Bootstrap, i.e., use the current value function estimate, v_k , to compute v_{k+1} .
- Monte Carlo Methods
 - No need for an environment model.
 - No bootstrapping and wait until termination to update v_k to v_{k+1} .



TD(0) Prediction

- Basic learning rule: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha [Y_t V(S_t)].$
- Y_{τ} is a learning target.
- Monte Carlo update: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha[G_t V(S_t)]$
- TD update: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha [R_t]$
- Compare to dynamic programming: $v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum \pi(a \mid s) \sum p(s', r \mid s, a)[r + \gamma v_k(s')]$ S', r

$$_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(S_t)]$$





Dynamic Programming





Monte Carlo



Driving Home Example

Cumulative reward V(s)

	Elapsed Time	Predicted
State	(minutes)	Time to Go
leaving office, friday at 6	0	30
reach car, raining	5	35
exiting highway	20	15
2ndary road, behind truck	30	10
entering home street	40	3
arrive home	43	0



Figure 6.1: Changes recommended in the driving home example by Monte Carlo methods (left) and TD methods (right).



TD(0) / Monte Carlo

- Neither require an environment model.
- TD methods are online and incremental.
 - Learning happens at every time-step and only requires constant storage.
 - Can be used for continuing tasks, i.e., no termination.
- (To be shown) More robust to off-policy exploratory actions.
- Monte Carlo methods rely less on the Markov property.
- Monte Carlo methods may propagate values faster.



N-Step Returns

- Possible to combine Monte Carlo and TD-Learning.
- General Update: $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha [Y_t V(S_t)]$
- Consider $Y_t := R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \ldots + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n})$
- TD(0) is n = 1 and Monte Carlo is $n = \infty$; TD(λ) blends between extremes.





Figure 7.4



Convergence

- when using a constant step-size.
- Where do these methods fall on the bias-variance trade-off?



Figure 7.2: Performance of *n*-step TD methods as a function of α , for various values of *n*, on a 19-state random walk task (Example 7.1).

TD(0) and Monte Carlo both converge but TD methods are usually faster





Certainty Equivalence Updating

- Consider a **Markov reward process** not an MDP! ullet
 - If policy is fixed (as in prediction) then we can consider it part of the environment.
- Given a batch of data $D = \{(s_i, r_i, s'_i)\}$, compute the value function.
- Number of times we observed s, r, s'For TD(0), update value function with the sum of all increments: \bullet

•
$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow v_k(s) + \alpha \sum_{s',r} \#(s,r,s')[r + \gamma v_k(s') - s']$$

•
$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow v_k(s) + \alpha' \sum_{s',r} \frac{\#(s,r,s')}{\#(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s)] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s')] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s')] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s')] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s')] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \gamma v_k(s') - \psi_k(s')] = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \psi(s)] + \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \psi(s)] + \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \psi(s)] + \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} = \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} [r + \psi(s)] + \frac{\pi}{s',r} \frac{\psi(s,r,s')}{\psi(s)} = \frac{\psi(s$$

•
$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \alpha' \sum_{s',r} \hat{p}(s',r|s)[r+\gamma v_k(s')]$$

Note: For MDPs, see <u>Reducing Sampling Error in Batch Temporal Difference Learning</u> [Pavse et al. 2020]

 $v_k(s)$]

Estimate of *p*

 $-v_k(s)$]

This is dynamic programming!



Certainty Equivalence Updating

Data: A, 0, B, 0 B, 1 B, 1 B, 1 B, 1 B, 1 B, 1 B, 0





- Same generalized policy iteration scheme from past two weeks.
 - Evaluate π_k .
 - Make π_{k+1} greedy with respect to π_k .
- Now, use TD(0) to learn action-values: $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha [R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q(S_t, A_t)]$
- Is this on- or off-policy?
- What does generalized policy iteration with TD action-values and ϵ -greedy exploration converge to?

SARSA



Ross's Presentation

<u>Slides</u>



After-States

- In RL, the environment is usually a blackbox.
- But sometimes we have intermediate state changes that are available immediately after an action is taken.
- Such knowledge can be built into RL algorithms to help generalize learning.





Summary

- the environment.
- can combine the two approaches through n-step returns.
- iteration enables incremental, model-free policy improvement.

Temporal Difference learning enables online learning without a model of

TD-learning often learns faster than Monte Carlo methods in MDPs but

SARSA uses TD-learning of action-values for policy evaluation in policy



Action Items

- Homework 2 due Thursday @ 9:29 am.
- Project proposal due midnight Thursday.
- Begin reading Chapter 8.

