

#### CS 760: Machine Learning **Reinforcement Learning II**

Guest Lecturer: Adam Labiosa

University of Wisconsin-Madison

#### November 30, 2023

#### Announcements

- Homework 7 due December 7 at 9:30 am.
- Final exam: December 18 from 2:45 4:45 pm in the Social Sciences building.
- Course evaluations available until 12/13.



#### Lecture Goals

#### At the end of today's lecture, you will be able to:

- 1. Implement fundamental dynamic programming approaches to reinforcement learning.
- 2. Implement the q-learning algorithm.



#### **Markov Decision Processes**

RL problems are formalized as Markov decision processes,  $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, r, p \rangle$ :

- States:  $s \in \mathcal{S}$
- Actions:  $a \in \mathcal{A}$
- Rewards:  $R \sim r(s, a)$
- State transitions:  $S \sim p(\cdot | s, a)$ 
  - $\bullet$
- Goal: Find a policy,  $\pi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ , that maximizes cumulative reward.

Today's lecture, we will assume that p and r are known to us.

Markov property: next state only depends on current state and action taken.



#### Data in Reinforcement Learning

Agent learns from the sequence of data seen while acting in task Markov decision process:





# Reinforcement Learning



Agent's objective is to find policy,  $\pi$ , so as to maximize the expected cumulative discounted reward from each state:

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} R_{t} | S_{0} = s, A_{t} \leftarrow \pi(S_{t}), S_{t+1}, R_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | S_{t}, A_{t})\right]$$
$$= \mathbf{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^{2} R_{t+3} + \dots | S_{0} = s, A_{t} \leftarrow \pi(S_{t}), S_{t+1}, R_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | S_{t})$$

For brevity,  $\mathbf{E}_{\pi}$  will be used for  $\mathbf{E}[\ldots | A_t \leftarrow \pi(S_t),$ 

$$S_{t+1}, R_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | S_t, A_t)]$$



- The agent's decision making rule.
- Formally, a function outputting the conditional probability of selecting an action in a particular state:  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0,1]$ .
- A deterministic policy is a function mapping states to actions:  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathscr{A}$ .

#### Policies



#### Returns and Episodes

- Episodes are subsequences of interaction that begin in some initial state and end in a special terminal state.
- The initial state of one episode is independent of interaction in the preceding episode.
- The return from step t is:  $G_t := R_t$
- Recursive definition:  $G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1}$ .

$$_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \dots$$



#### Value functions

- Many RL algorithms use value functions to aid in long-term credit assignment.
- Two types of value function: state-value and action-value functions.

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} | S_t = s]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a]$ 

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} | S_t = s]$$
$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$



#### Bellman Equation

• Bellman equation expresses state-value,  $v_{\pi}(s)$ , in terms of expected reward and state-values at next time-step.

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbf{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}]$$

• From the definition of expectation:

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'}^{n}$$

 $_{1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = S ]$ 

 $\sum p(s', r \mid s, a)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$ 



### Optimality

- Agent's objective: find policy that maximizes  $v_{\pi}(s)$  for all s.
- The optimal policy policy that has maximal value in all states.  $\pi^* \geq \pi$  if  $v_{\pi\star}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s)$  for all states and possible policies.
- Possibly multiple but always at least one deterministic optimal policy in a finite MDP.

• 
$$\pi^{\star}(s) = \arg\max_{a} q_{\star}(s, a)$$
  $q_{\star}(s, a) = \mathbf{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\star}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a]$ 

Value of taking action a and then acting optimally for all future time-steps.



### **Optimal Value Functions**

• Like all policies, the optimal policy has value functions:

• 
$$v_{\pi^*}(s) = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi^*}(S_{t+1})]$$

- $q_{\pi^*}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi^*}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a]$
- The optimal policy is greedy with respect to the action-values, i.e.,  $\pi^{\star}(s) = \arg \max q_{\pi^{\star}}(s, a)$  $\boldsymbol{a}$

 $S_{t} = s$ ]



### **Bellman Optimality Equation** $v_{\star}(s) = \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{\star}}[q_{\star}(s,A)]$

 $= \sum \pi^{\star}(a \,|\, s) q_{\star}(s, a)$  $= \max^{a} q_{\star}(s, a)$  $\mathcal{A}$  $= \max_{a} \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{\star}}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$  $= \max_{a} \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{\star}}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a]$ =  $\max_{a} \mathbf{E}_{\pi^{\star}}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\star}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a]$  $\boldsymbol{a}$  $v_{\star}(s) = \max_{a} \sum_{s} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma v_{\star}(s')]$ s',r

Exercise from last time: state-value is expected action-value.

Definition of expectation.

Optimal policy is greedy w.r.t  $q_{\star}$ 

Definition of action-value.

Recursive definition of return.

Definition of state-value.

Definition of expectation.



## Dynamic Programming in RL

- Compute value functions and then use to find policies.
- Dynamic programming methods turn Bellman equations into value function updates.
- Bellman equation for policy value becomes the policy evaluation update:

$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', s') = \sum_{s' \in r} p(s', s')$$

Bellman optimality equation becomes the value iteration update:

$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s' \neq r} \sum_{r} p(s', r \mid s, a)$$

 $r[s,a)[r + \gamma v_k(s')] \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} v_k(s) = v_{\pi}(s)$ 

 $(r + \gamma v_k(s'))$ 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} v_k(s) = v_\star(s)$$



## Policy Evaluation

Given a policy, compute its state- or action-value function.

$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma v_k(s')]$$
$$q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \sum_{a'} q_k(s', a')]$$

- When to stop making updates?
- Do these updates converge?

  - Convergence proof for value-iteration.

• Yes, update is a contraction mapping with fixed points  $v_{\pi}$  and  $q_{\pi}$  respectively.

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Policy Evaluation Demo

https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/reinforcejs/gridworld\_dp.html

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Policy Improvement (Control)

- We have  $v_{\pi}(s)$  for the current policy  $\pi$ . How can we improve  $\pi$ ?
- Alternate:
  - Run policy evaluation updates to find  $v_{\pi}$ .

• Set 
$$\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s', r)$$

Why does this update to  $\pi$  lead to an improved policy?  $\bullet$ 

 $r[s,a)[r+\gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$ 

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Policy Improvement Theorem

- Suppose for  $\pi$  that there exists s, a such that  $q_{\pi}(s, a) \ge v_{\pi}(s)$ .
- Let  $\pi'(s) = a$  and  $\pi'(\tilde{s}) = \pi(\tilde{s})$  for all other states.
- What is true about  $\pi'$ ? Why?
  - As good as or better than  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s), \forall s$
- If  $\pi$  is sub-optimal, does there exist s, a such that  $q_{\pi}(s, a) \ge v_{\pi}(s)$ ?
  - its action-value function.
  - Optimal value function:  $v_{\star}(s) = \max q_{\star}(s, a) \forall s$

• Yes, this follows from Bellman Optimality. Must be at least one state where  $\pi$  is not greedy w.r.t.

![](_page_17_Picture_15.jpeg)

- First, evaluate  $\pi$  to obtain  $v_{\pi}$ .

- Policy improvement theorem guarantees that  $v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \forall s$ .
- Can converge quickly in practice (in terms of policy updates).

#### Policy Iteration

## Then, update $\pi$ to $\pi'$ such that $\pi'(s) = \arg \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + v_{\pi}(s')]$

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Policy Iteration Demo**

https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/reinforcejs/gridworld\_dp.html

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

- What's wrong with policy iteration?
  - Policy evaluation must converge between policy updates.
  - We don't need the exact action-values just which action has maximal action-value.
- Value iteration combines policy evaluation and iteration in one step:

$$v_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r \mid s,a)[r + \gamma v_k(s')]$$

#### Value Iteration

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Value Iteration Demo

https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/reinforcejs/gridworld\_dp.html

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

r(B) = 20; r(A) = 10; r(C) = 20; r(G) = 100

#### Quiz

Consider the following MDP which has deterministic transitions and  $\gamma = 0.8$ . The policy's action is shown with a red arrow. What is  $v_{\pi}(B)$  in this MDP?

Two approaches:

- 1. Compute reward total for entire (infinite) sequence).
- 2. Compute  $v_{\pi}(G)$  then  $v_{\pi}(A)$  and then  $v_{\pi}(B)$ .

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Q-learning

- Value iteration is not a learning method.
  - Requires knowledge of transitions and rewards to compute updates.
- Ideally, compute updates without this knowledge.
- Consider the agent is in state s and takes action a and then receives reward r and transitions to state s'; (s, a, s', r) is called a transition.
- Q-learning: initialize q(s, a) = 0 for all states and actions and then for each transition seen update:

 $q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)q(s,a)$ 

$$a) + \alpha(r + \gamma \max_{a'} q(s', a'))$$

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Why is Q-learning reasonable?

- Consider a modified version of value iteration:  $q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum$
- after experiencing a reward and resulting next state.  $q_{k+1}(s,a) \approx$
- With only a single reward and next state the update is noisy.

  - Use a step-size parameter,  $\alpha$ , to control:  $q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)q_k(a)$

$$\sum_{i',r} p(s', r \mid s, a) [r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_k(s', a')]$$

• Without p and r we cannot compute right hand side **but** can approximate it

$$r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_k(s', a')$$

• Moves q towards  $q_{\star}$  in expectation but any single update has error.

$$(s, a) + \alpha(r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_k(s', a'))$$

![](_page_24_Picture_16.jpeg)

- Parameters: step-size  $\alpha$
- Initialize q(s, a) arbitrarily for all states and actions except terminal states have q(terminal, a) = 0 for all a.
- Loop for each episode:
  - Initialize s
  - Loop for each step of episode until *s* is terminal:
    - Choose a from s using an exploration policy (more on this later).
    - Take action a and observe r and s'
    - $q(s,a) \leftarrow q(s,a) + \alpha(r + \gamma \max q(s',a') q(s,a))$
    - $s \leftarrow s'$

#### Q-learning Pseudocode

Equivalent to update on previous slide

![](_page_25_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_16.jpeg)

### Summary

- Estimating value functions allow us to compute optimal policies.
- Policy Evaluation: find value function for a fixed policy.
- Policy Iteration: compute optimal policy by iterating 1) policy evaluation and 2) greedy policy improvement.
- Value Iteration: directly compute optimal value function.
- Q-learning: a learning method based based off of value iteration.

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Slides adapted from Advanced Topics in RL and based on Chapter 4 of Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction.

#### Thanks Everyone!