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## Today

## Last lecture

- How to decide the forwarding path among routers?


## Today

- How to decide the forwarding path among routers?


## Announcements

- Lab3 is due 11/04/2022, 11:59 PM



# Q: How to decide the forwarding path among routers? <br> OR <br> Q: How to build the routing table? 

## Q: How to decide the forwarding path among routers? <br> OR <br> Q: How to build the routing table?

## A: Routing Algorithm/Protocol.

- Represent connected networks as a graph
- Vertices in the graph are routers
- Edges in the graph are links
- Links have communication cost, which can be quantized!


## Techniques

\#1: Static configuration
\#2: Distance vector routing
\#3: Link state routing

## Link State Routing Overview

Key idea: Send all nodes (not just neighbors)
information about the communication cost of directconnected links (not the entire routing table)

Find the shortest path between two nodes of the entire network

- Each node has complete information about the network
- Known to converge quickly under static conditions


## Q: How does the link state routing work?

# Q: How does the link state routing work? 

## A: Two steps:

- \#1: Reliable flooding
- \#2: Route calculation


# Q: How does the link state routing work? 

## A: Two steps:

- \#1: Reliable flooding
- \#2: Route calculation

Assumption: Each node can find out the state of the link to its neighbors and the cost of each link

## Step 1: Reliable Flooding

A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this information then forwards it out on all its links

## Step 1: Reliable Flooding

A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this information then forwards it out on all its links

## Link state packet (LSP)

- The ID of the node that created the LSP
- The cost of the link to each directly connected neighbor
- The sequence number (SEQ\#)
- The time-to-live (TTL) of this packet


## Step 1: Reliable Flooding

A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this information then forwayds it out on all its links Link state packet (LSP)

LSP is generated when there is a topology change event or timeout event happening

## Q: Why do we need a sequence number?

# Q: Why do we need a sequence number? 

## A: Identify the latest link cost

## Sequence Number

## Sender logic:

- Generate a new LSP periodically
- Start SEQ\# at 0 when rebooted and increment SEQ\# after each LSP


## Receiver logic:

- Upon receiving a copy of LSP (A)
- Check if it has already received a copy ( $A^{\prime}$ ) before
- If $A^{\prime}==$ NULL, then accept
- If $A^{\prime}$ ! $=$ NULL
- If A'.SEQ\# > A.SEQ\#, then accept; Otherwise, ignore
- Forward $A$ to all its neighbors except the neighbor from which the LSP was just received


## Time-to-live (TTL) <br> Decrement the TTL field when storing the LSP

Discard the LSP when its TTL = 0

## A Flooding Example

(a)


## A Flooding Example



## A Flooding Example



## A Flooding Example



# Q: How does the link state routing work? 

## A: Two steps:

-\#1: Reliable flooding $\sqrt{ }$

- \#2: Route calculation


## Step 2: Route Calculation



## Step 2: Route Calculation



| Router A Info. | ID | Link Costs | SEQ\# | TTL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A LSP | $A$ | $[A, B]=5,[A, C]=10$ | 1 | 64 |
| B LSP | $B$ | $[B, A]=5,[B, C]=3,[B, D]=11$ | 1 | 63 |
| C LSP | $C$ | $[C, A]=10,[C, B]=3,[C, D]=2$ | 1 | 63 |
| D LSP | $D$ | $[D, B]=11,[D, C]=2$ | 1 | 62 |

## Step 2: Route Calculation



| Router B Info. | ID | Link Costs | SEQ\# | TTL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A LSP |  |  |  |  |
| B LSP |  |  |  |  |
| C LSP |  |  |  |  |
| D LSP |  |  |  |  |

## Step 2: Route Calculation



| Router B Info. | ID | Link Costs | SEQ\# | TTL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A LSP | $A$ | $[A, B]=5,[A, C]=10$ | 1 | 63 |
| B LSP | $B$ | $[B, A]=5,[B, C]=3,[B, D]=11$ | 1 | 64 |
| C LSP | $C$ | $[C, A]=10,[C, B]=3,[C, D]=2$ | 1 | 63 |
| D LSP | $D$ | $[D, B]=11,[D, C]=2$ | 1 | 63 |

## Step 2: Route Calculation



## Problem formulation: compute the shortest path between any two nodes $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$, given

- $N$ : the set of nodes in the graph
-l(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{N}$ and $l(i, j)=\infty$ if no edge connects $i$ and $j$


## Step 2: Route Calculation - Dijkstra Algorithm



## Problem formulation: compute the shortest path between any two nodes $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$, given

- $N$ : the set of nodes in the graph
-l(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes $i, j \in N$ and $l(i, j)=\infty$ if no edge connects $i$ and $j$


## Dijkstra's Shortest-Path Routing

## Input

- $N$ : the set of nodes in the graph
-l(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes $i, j \in N$ and $l(i, j)=\infty$ if no edge connects i and j


## Let $s \in \mathbf{N}$ be the starting node which executes the

 algorithm to find shortest paths to all other nodes in $\mathbf{N}$
## Dijkstra's Shortest-Path Routing Algorithm

## Algorithm:

- M: set of nodes incorporated so far by the algorithm
- $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n})$ : the cost the path from s to each node n

```
M = {S }
for each n in N - {S}
    C(n) = l(s, n) /* costs of directly connected nodes */
while (N # M)
    M = MU{w} such that C(w) is the minimum for all w in (N - M)
    for each n in (N - M) /* recalculate costs */
        C(n) = MIN(C(n),C(w) + l(w,n))
```


## Building Routing Table for Node D



## Building Routing Table for Node D



| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $M$ from the above algorithm |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry:
(Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)


## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry:
(Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ | $(\mathrm{B}, 11, \mathrm{~B}),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | Based on D's LSP |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)


## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ | $(\mathrm{B}, 11, \mathrm{~B}),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | Based on D's LSP |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | $(\mathrm{B}, 11, \mathrm{~B})$ | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)


## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ | (B, 11, B), (C, 2, C) | Based on D's LSP |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | (B, 11, B) | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | (B,5, C), (A, 12, C) | Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)


## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-)$ | $(\mathrm{B}, 11, \mathrm{~B}),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | Based on D's LSP |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | (B, 11, B) | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C})$ | (B, 5, C), (A, 12, C) | Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $(\mathrm{D}, 0,-),(\mathrm{C}, 2, \mathrm{C}),(\mathrm{B}, 5, \mathrm{C})$ | (A, 12, C) | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)


## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(D, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(D, 0,-)$ | $(B, 11, B),(C, 2, C)$ | Based on D's LSP |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(D, 0,-),(C, 2, C)$ | $(B, 11, B)$ | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $(D, 0,-),(C, 2, C)$ | $(B, 5, C),(A, 12, C)$ | Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $(D, 0,-),(C, 2, C),(B, 5, C)$ | $(A, 12, C)$ | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $(D, 0,-),(C, 2, C),(B, 5, C)$ | $(A, 10, C)$ | Based on B's LSP, i.e., $(D, A)=l(D, B)+l(B, A)$ |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```M={S} for each n in N - {S} C(n) = l(s, n) /* costs of directly connected nodes */ while (N \not= M) M = M {w} such that C(w) is the minimum for all w in (N - M) for each n in (N - M) 年* recalculate costs */``` |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | (D, 0, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C) | (A, 10, C) | Based on B's LSP, i.e., l(D, A) = l(D, B) + l(B, A) |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node D



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ( $\mathrm{D}, 0,-$ ) |  | Initialize with an entry for myself |
| 2 | ( $\mathrm{D}, 0,-$ ) | (B, 11, B), (C, 2, C) | Based on D's LSP |
| 3 | (D, 0, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C), (A, 10, C) |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}, \ldots, \ldots$, |  | tative list |
| 6 | (D, 0, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C) | (A, 10, C) | Based on B's LSP, i.e., $(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A})=1(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B})+\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})$ |

## Building Routing Table for Node A



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Building Routing Table for Node A



Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

| Step | Confirmed list | Tentative list | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(A, 0,-)$ |  | Initialize an entry for my self |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(A, 0,-)$ | $(B, 5, B),(C, 10, C)$ | Based on A's LSP |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(A, 0,-),(B, 5, B)$ | $(C, 10, C)$ | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $(A, 0,-),(B, 5, B)$ | $(C, 8, B),(D, 16, B)$ | Based on B's LSP and recalculate the cost |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $(A, 0,-),(B, 5, B),(C, 8, B)$ | $(D, 16, B)$ | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $(A, 0,-),(B, 5, B),(C, 8, B)$ | $(D, 10, B)$ | Based on C's LSP, i.e., (A, D) $\mid(A, C)+l(C, D)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $(A, 0,-),(B, 5, B),(C, 8, B),(D, 10, B)$ |  | Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list |

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| Version | Type | Message length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SourceAddr |  |  |
| Areald |  |  |
| Checksum |  |  |
| Authentication |  |  |
| Authentication type |  |  |
|  |  |  |

OSPF header format

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)



## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)



## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)



## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Version | Type | Message length |
| SourceAddr |  |  |
| Areald |  |  |
| Checksum |  | Authentication type |
| Authentication |  |  |
| Checksum: same as the IP checksum Authentication: <br> - 0 , no authentication <br> - 1 , a simple password <br> - 2 , a cryptographic authentication checksum |  |  |

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type=1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-state ID |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  |
| LS checksum |  |  | Length |
| 0 | Flags | 0 | Number of links |
| Link ID |  |  |  |
| Link data |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS | Metric |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |

OSPF link-state advertisement

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type=1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-state ID |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  |
| LS checksum |  |  | Length |
| 0 | Flags | 0 | Number of links |
| Link ID |  |  |  |
| Link data |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS | Metric |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |

## Link state packet (LSP)

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ\#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet

OSPF link-state advertisement

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type $=1$ | Link state packet (LSP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-state ID |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  | 4 |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  | - ID of the node that created the LSP <br> - Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor <br> - Sequence number (SEQ\#) <br> - Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet |
| LS checksum |  | LengthNumber of links |  |  |
| 0 Flags | 0 |  |  |  |
| Link ID |  |  |  |  |
| Link data |  |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS |  |  |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |  |
| OSPF link-state advertisement |  |  |  |  |

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type=1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-state ID |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  |
| LS checksum |  |  | Length |
| 0 | Flags | 0 | Number of links |
| Link ID |  |  |  |
| Link data |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS | Metric |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |

OSPF link-state advertisement

## Link state packet (LSP)

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ\#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet


## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type $=1$ | Link state packet (LSP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-state ID |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |  | - ID of the node that created the LSP |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  | - Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor |
| LS checksum |  |  | th |  |
| 0 Flags | 0 | Numbe | f links |  |
| Link ID |  |  |  | Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet |
| Link data |  |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS |  |  |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |  |
| OSPF link-state advertisement |  |  |  |  |

## Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

| LS Age |  | Options | Type=1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link-stateID |  |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |  |
| LS checksum |  |  | Length |
| 0 | Flags | 0 | Number of links |
| Link ID |  |  |  |
| Link data |  |  |  |
| Link type | Num_TOS | Metric |  |
| Optional TOS information |  |  |  |
| More links |  |  |  |

## Link state packet (LSP)

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ\#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet

OSPF link-state advertisement

## Link State v.s. Distance Vector

## Link State v.s. Distance Vector

## Link State

- High messaging overhead
- Computation complexity


## Distance Vector

- Slow convergence
- Race conditions


## Assumption of distance vector:

- Each node knows the cost of the link to each of its directly connected neighbors


## Assumption of link state:

- Each node can find out the state of the link to its neighbors and the cost of each link


## Metrics for Link Cost

## \#1: assign 1 to each link

## \#2: original ARPANET metric

- link cost == number of packets enqueued on each link
- This moves packets toward the shortest queue, not the destination!!
- Take latency or bandwidth into consideration


## Metrics for Link Cost

## \#3: new ARPANET metric

- link cost == average delay over some time period
- Stamp each incoming packet with its arrival time (AT)
- Record departure time (DT)
- When link-level ACK arrives, compute
- Delay = (DT - AT) + Transmit + Latency, where transmit and Latency are static for the link
- If timeout, reset DT to departure time for retransmission


## Goals in Router/Switch Design

## \#1: Throughput

- Ability to forward as many packets per second as possible


## \#2: Size

- Number of input/output ports


## \#3: Cost

- Minimum cost per port


## \#4: Functionality

- Forwarding, routing, quality of service (QoS), ...


## Router Architecture Overview

## Two key router functions:

- Run routing algorithms/protocol (RIP, OSPF, BGP, etc.)
- Switching datagrams from incoming to outgoing links



## Line Card: Input Port



## Line Card: Input Port



## Line Card: Input Port



## Decentralized switching:

- Process common case ("fast-path"), e.g., decrement TTL, update the checksum
- Lookup output port based on routing table in input port memory
- Queue needed if datagrams arrive faster than forwarding rate into switch fabric


## Line Card: Output Port



Queueing required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than the line transmission rate

## Buffering

## 3 types of buffering

- Input buffering
- Fabric slower than input ports combined -> queueing may occur at input queues
- Output buffering
- Buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed
- Internal buffering
- Can have buffer inside switch fabric to deal with limitations of fabric


## What happens when these buffers fill up?

- Packets are thrown away!! This is where (most) packet loss comes from


## Routing(Network) Processor

## Run routing protocol and push forwarding table to forward engines

## Perform" "slow" path processing

- ICMP error message
- IP option processing
- Fragmentation
- Packets destined to router


## IP Router v.s. Ethernet Switch (Incomplete!)

|  | IP Router | Ethernet Switch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Layering | Layer 3 | Layer 2 |
| Packet <br> Manipulation | Fragmentation and Reassembly; TTL <br> update | N/A |
| Packet <br> Forwarding | Based on the destination IP address | Based on destination Ethernet address; <br> Run the spanning tree protocol to avoid <br> forwarding loops |
| Routing | Based on the routing algorithm | N/A |
| Error <br> Handling | Speak the ICMP protocol | N/A |


|  | Terminology | Principle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Host | 17. Broadcast | 1. Layering |
| 2. NIC | 18. Acknowledgement | 2. Minimal States |
| 3. Multi-port I/O bridge | 19. Timeout | 3. Hierarchy |
| 4. Protocol | 20. Datagram |  |
| 5. RTT | 21. TTL |  |
| 6. Packet | 22. MTU |  |
| 7. Header | 23. Best effort |  |
| 8. Payload | 24. (L3) Router |  |
| 9. BDP | 25. Subnet mask |  |
| 10. Baud rate | 26. CIDR |  |
| 11. Frame/Framing | 27. Converge |  |
| 12. Parity bit | 28. Count-to-infinity |  |
| 13. Checksum | 29. Line card |  |
| 14. Ethernet | 30. Network processor |  |
| 15. MAC |  |  |
| 16. (L2) Switch |  |  |

## Terminology

1. Layering
2. Minimal States
3. Hierarchy

## Technique

1. NRZ Encoding 16. Fragmentation and Reassembly
2. NRZI Encoding
3. Path MTU discovery
4. Manchester Encoding
5. DHCP
6. 4B/5B Encoding
7. Subnetting
8. Byte Stuffing
9. Byte Counting
10. Bit Stuffing
11. 2-D Parity
12. CRC
13. MAC Learning
14. Store-and-Forward
15. Cut-through
16. Spanning Tree
17. CSMA/CD
18. Stop-and-Wait
19. Sliding Window

## Summary

## Today's takeaways

\#1: Link state routing captures the whole network connectivity by disseminating the link state information and runs the Dijkstra's algorithm to calculate the shortest path
\#2: Link cost can be determined by performance metrics
\#3: A router has four major components: input line card, output line card, switching fabric and network processor

## Next lecture

- Inter-domain Routing

