Introduction to Computer Networks

Link State Routing

https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~mgliu/CS640/F22/

Ming Liu mgliu@cs.wisc.edu

Today

Last lecture

How to decide the forwarding path among routers?

Today

• How to decide the forwarding path among routers?

Announcements

• Labs is due 11/04/2022, 11:59 PM







Q: How to decide the forwarding path among routers?

Q: How to build the routing table?

OR

- Represent connected networks as a graph
- Vertices in the graph are routers
- Edges in the graph are links
- Links have communication cost, which can be quantized!

- **Q: How to decide the forwarding path among** routers?
 - OR
 - **Q: How to build the routing table?**
 - A: Routing Algorithm/Protocol.

Techniques

#1: Static configuration

#2: Distance vector routing

#3: Link state routing



Link State Routing Overview Key idea: Send all nodes (not just neighbors) information about the communication cost of directconnected links (not the entire routing table)

Find the shortest path between two nodes of the entire network

- Each node has complete information about the network
- Known to converge quickly under static conditions



- A: Two steps: • #1: Reliable flooding
- #2: Route calculation

- #1: Reliable flooding
- #2: Route calculation

Assumption: Each node can find out the state of the link to its neighbors and the cost of each link

- A: Two steps:

Step 1: Reliable Flooding A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this information then forwards it out on all its links



Step 1: Reliable Flooding

A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this

information then forwards it out on all its links

Link state packet (LSP)

- The ID of the node that created the LSP
- The cost of the link to each directly connected neighbor
- The sequence number (SEQ#)
- The time-to-live (TTL) of this packet



Step 1: Reliable Flooding

A node sends its link-state information out on all of its directly connected links; each node that receives this

information then forwards it out on all its links

Link state packet (LSP)

LSP is generated when there is a topology change event or timeout event happening



Q: Why do we need a sequence number?



Q: Why do we need a sequence number?

A: Identify the latest link cost



Sequence Number

Sender logic:

- Generate a new LSP periodically
- Start SEQ# at o when rebooted and increment SEQ# after each LSP

Receiver logic:

- Upon receiving a copy of LSP (A)
 - Check if it has already received a copy (A') before
 - If A[,] == NULL, then accept
 - If A[,] != NULL
 - If A'.SEQ# > A.SEQ#, then accept; Otherwise, ignore
 - received

Forward A to all its neighbors except the neighbor from which the LSP was just



Time-to-live (TTL) Decrement the TTL field when storing the LSP

Discard the LSP when its TTL = 0























- A: Two steps: • #1: Reliable flooding
- #2: Route calculation







Router A Info.	ID	Link Costs	SEQ#	TTL
A LSP	Α	[A, B] = 5, [A, C] = 10	1	64
BLSP	В	[B, A] = 5, [B, C] = 3, [B, D] = 11	1	63
C LSP	С	[C, A] = 10, [C, B] = 3, [C, D] = 2	1	63
DLSP	D	[D, B] = 11, [D, C] = 2	1	62



Router B Info.	ID	Link Costs	SEQ#	TTL
A LSP				
BLSP				
C LSP				
DLSP				



Router B Info.	ID	Link Costs	SEQ#	TTL
A LSP	Α	[A, B] = 5, [A, C] = 10	1	63
BLSP	В	[B, A] = 5, [B, C] = 3, [B, D] = 11	1	64
C LSP	С	[C, A] = 10, [C, B] = 3, [C, D] = 2	1	63
DLSP	D	[D, B] = 11, [D, C] = 2	1	63



Problem formulation: compute the shortest path between any two nodes i and j, given

- N: the set of nodes in the graph
- no edge connects i and j

• I(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes i, $j \in N$ and I(i,j) = ∞ if





Problem formulation: compute the shortest path between any two nodes i and j, given

- N: the set of nodes in the graph
- no edge connects i and j

• I(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes i, $j \in N$ and I(i,j) = ∞ if



Dijkstra's Shortest-Path Routing

Input

- N: the set of nodes in the graph
- I(i,j): the non-negative cost associated view no edge connects i and j

Let $s \in N$ be the starting node which executes the algorithm to find shortest paths to all other nodes in N

• I(i,j): the non-negative cost associated with the edge between nodes i, $j \in N$ and I(i,j) = ∞ if



Dijkstra's Shortest-Path Routing Algorithm

Algorithm:

- M: set of nodes incorporated so far by the algorithm
- C(n): the cost the path from s to each node n

$$M = \{S\}$$

for each n in N - {S}
C(n) = l(s, n) /* costs
while (N \neq M)
M = M U {w} such that C(w)
for each n in (N - M) /
C(n) = MIN(C(n), C(w) +

the algorithm ode n

of directly connected nodes */

is the minimum for all w in (N - M) /* **recalculate costs** */ + l(w,n))



Building Routing Table for Node D 3 5 В Α С 10





Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment



Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment
	M from the above	e algorithm	



Step	Confirmed list	Ter
		(

tative list	Comment		
N-M) from the a			



Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment
1	(D, o, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself

Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)







Routing table entry: (Destination, Cost, NextHop)

Tentative list

Comment

C(n) = l(s, n) /* costs of directly connected nodes */

$M = M \cup \{w\}$ such that C(w) is the minimum for all w in (N - M)for each n in (N - M) /* recalculate costs */






Step Confirmed list Tentative list		Comment	
1	(D, o, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself
2	(D, o, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C)	Based on D's LSP













Step	Step Confirmed list Tentative		Comment
1	(D, 0, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself
2	(D, 0, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C)	Based on D's LSP
3	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 11, B)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list











Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment
1	(D, 0, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself
2	(D, 0, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C) Based on D's LSP	
3	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 11, B)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list
4	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 5, C), (A, 12, C)	Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost







Tentative list Comment

C(n) = l(s, n) /* costs of directly connected nodes */

$M = M \cup \{w\}$ such that C(w) is the minimum for all w in (N - M)/* recalculate costs */







Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment	
1	(D, o, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself	
2	(D, o, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C)	Based on D's LSP	
3	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 11, B)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list	
4	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 5, C), (A, 12, C)	Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost	
5	(D, o, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C) (A, 12, C)		Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list	











Step	Step Confirmed list Tentative list		Comment	
1	(D, o, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself	
2	(D, o, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C) Based on D's LSP		
3	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 11, B)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list	
4	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C)	(B, 5, C), (A, 12, C)	Based on C's LSP and recalculate the cost	
5	(D, o, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C)	(A, 12, C)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list	
6 (D, o, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C) (A,		(A, 10, C)	Based on B's LSP, i.e., I(D, A) = I(D, B) + I(B, A	













Step	Confirmed list Tentative list Commer		Comment	
1	(D, o, -)		Initialize with an entry for myself	
2	(D, o, -)	(B, 11, B), (C, 2, C)	Based on D's LSP	
3			tative list	
4	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C), (A, 10, C)			
5	(D, L, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		tative list	
6	(D, 0, -), (C, 2, C), (B, 5, C)	(A, 10, C)	Based on B's LSP, i.e., I(D, A) = I(D, B) + I(B, A)	





Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment







Step	Confirmed list	Tentative list	Comment
1	(A, 0, -)		Initialize an entry for my self
2	(A, o, -)	(B, 5, B), (C, 10, C)	Based on A's LSP
3	(A, 0, -), (B, 5, B)	(C, 10, C)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list
4	(A, 0, -), (B, 5, B)	(C, 8, B), (D, 16, B)	Based on B's LSP and recalculate the cost
5	(A, o, -), (B, 5, B), (C, 8, B)	(D, 16, B)	Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list
6	(A, o, -), (B, 5, B), (C, 8, B)	(D, 10, B)	Based on C's LSP, i.e., $I(A, D) = I(A, C) + I(C, D)$
7	(A, 0, -), (B, 5, B), (C, 8, B), (D, 10, B)		Integrate lowest-cost member of tentative list





0	8 1		6 3 ⁻			
	Version	Туре	Message length			
	SourceAddr					
	Areald					
	Checksum Authentication type					
	Authentication					

OSPF header format







0	8				
	Version		Тур	е	
				So	
			Five	d E	
	Chec	ks		th	
			Aı	utł	

31

Message length

ourceAddr

16

- lifferent OSPF messages
- or example, type = 1 is the "hello" message as
- ne heartbeat signal

hentication



0	8	3
	Version	Туре
		So
	Chec	ksum
		Aut



SourceAddr: the sender of the message Areald: the identifier of the area in which the node is located







16 31 Message length SourceAddr Areald Authentication type Authentication

- Checksum: same as the IP checksum

 - o, no authentication
 - 1, a simple password
 - 2, a cryptographic authentication checksum



	LS	Age	Options	Type=1			
	Link-state ID						
		Advertisi	ng router				
		LS sequen	ce number				
	LS checksum Length						
0	Flags	0	Number of links				
		Link	(ID				
		Link	data				
Link	Link type Num_TOS Metric						
Optional TOS information							
	More links						

OSPF link-state advertisement



LS Age			Options	Type=1	
Link-state ID					
Advertising router					
LS sequence number					
LS checksum			Length		
0	Flags	0	Number of links		
Link ID					
Link data					
Link type		Num_TOS	Metric		
Optional TOS information					
More links					

OSPF link-state advertisement

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet





LS Age			Options	Type=1	
Link-st		tate ID			
		Advertisi	vertising router		
LS sequence number					
LS checksum			Length		
0	Flags	0	Number of links		
Link ID					
Link data					
Link type		Num_TOS	N	Metric	
Optional TOS information					
More links					

OSPF link-state advertisement

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet





ge	Options	Type=1				
Link-state ID						
Advertising router						
LS sequence number						
sum	Length					
0	Number of links					
Link ID						
Link data						
Num_TOS	Ме	tric				
Optional TOS information						
More links						
	ge Link-st Advertisin LS sequen Sum 0 Link Link Num_TOS Optional TOS More	ge Options Link-state ID Advertising router LS sequence number sum Ler 0 Number Link ID Link data Num_TOS Me Optional TOS information More links				

OSPF link-state advertisement

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet





LS Age			Options	Type=1	
Link-state ID					
Advertising router					
LS sequence number					
LS checksum			Length		
0	Flags	0	Number of links		
Link ID					
Link data					
Link type		Num_TOS	Metric		
Optional TOS information					
More links					

OSPF link-state advertisement

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet







OSPF link-state advertisement

- ID of the node that created the LSP
- Cost of link to each directly connect neighbor
- Sequence number (SEQ#)
- Time-to-live (TTL) for this packet





Link State v.s. Distance Vector



Link State v.s. Distance Vector

Link State

- High messaging overhead
- Computation complexity

Distance Vector

- Slow convergence
- Race conditions



Assumption of distance vector:

Assumption of link state:

• Each node knows the cost of the link to each of its directly connected neighbors

• Each node can find out the state of the link to its neighbors and the cost of each link

Metrics for Link Cost

#1: assign 1 to each link

#2: original ARPANET metric

- link cost == number of packets enqueued on each link
 - This moves packets toward the shortest queue, not the destination!!
- Take latency or bandwidth into consideration



Metrics for Link Cost

#3: new ARPANET metric

- link cost == average delay over some time period
- Stamp each incoming packet with its arrival time (AT)
- Record departure time (**DT**)
- When link-level ACK arrives, compute
 - the link
- If timeout, reset **DT** to departure time for retransmission

• Delay = (DT - AT) + Transmit + Latency, where transmit and Latency are static for



Goals in Router/Switch Design

#1: Throughput

• Ability to forward as many packets per second as possible

#2: Size

Number of input/output ports

#3: Cost

• Minimum cost per port

#4: Functionality

• Forwarding, routing, quality of service (QoS), ...



Router Architecture Overview

Two key router functions:

- Run routing algorithms/protocol (RIP, OSPF, BGP, etc.)
- Switching datagrams from incoming to outgoing links





Line Card: Input Port





Line Card: Input Port





Line Card: Input Port



Decentralized switching:

- Process common case ("fast-path"), e.g., decrement TTL, update the checksum Lookup output port based on routing table in input port memory • Queue needed if datagrams arrive faster than forwarding rate into switch fabric



Line Card: Output Port



Queueing required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than the line transmission rate



Buffering

3 types of buffering

- Input buffering
- Output buffering
 - Buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed
- Internal buffering
 - Can have buffer inside switch fabric to deal with limitations of fabric

What happens when these buffers fill up?

Packets are thrown away!! This is where (most) packet loss comes from

• Fabric slower than input ports combined -> queueing may occur at input queues


Routing(Network) Processor

Run routing protocol and push forwarding table to forward engines

Perform "slow" path processing

- ICMP error message
- IP option processing
- Fragmentation
- Packets destined to router





IP Router v.s. Ethernet Switch (Incomplete!)

	IP Router	Ethernet Switch
Layering	Layer 3	Layer 2
Packet Manipulation	Fragmentation and Reassembly; TTL update	N/A
Packet Forwarding	Based on the destination IP address	Based on destination Ethernet address; Run the spanning tree protocol to avoid forwarding loops
Routing	Based on the routing algorithm	N/A
Error Handling	Speak the ICMP protocol	N/A



Terminology

- 1. Host
- 2. NIC
- 3. Multi-port I/O bridge 19. Timeout
- 4. Protocol
- 5. RTT
- 6. Packet
- 7. Header
- 8. Payload
- 9. BDP
- 10. Baud rate
- 11. Frame/Framing
- 12. Parity bit
- 13. Checksum
- 14. Ethernet
- 15. MAC
- 16. (L2) Switch

- 17. Broadcast
- 18. Acknowledgement
- - 20. Datagram
 - 21. TTL
 - 22. MTU
 - 23. Best effort
 - 24. (L3) Router
 - 25. Subnet mask
 - 26. CIDR
 - 27. Converge
 - 28. Count-to-infinity
 - 29. Line card
 - 30. Network processor

Principle

- 1. Layering
- 2. Minimal States
- 3. Hierarchy



Technique

- 1. NRZ Encoding
- 2. NRZI Encoding
- 3. Manchester Encoding
- 4. 4B/5B Encoding
- 5. Byte Stuffing
- 6. Byte Counting
- 7. Bit Stuffing
- 8. 2-D Parity
- 9. CRC
- 10. MAC Learning
- 11. Store-and-Forward
- 12. Cut-through
- 13. Spanning Tree
- 14. CSMA/CD
- 15. Stop-and-Wait
- 16. Sliding Window

- 16. Fragmentation and Reassembly
- 17. Path MTU discovery
- 18. DHCP
- 19. Subnetting
- 20. Supernetting
- 21. Longest prefix match
- 22. Distance vector routing (RIP)
- 23. Link state routing (OSPF)



Summary

Today's takeaways

state information and runs the Dijkstra's algorithm to calculate the shortest path #2: Link cost can be determined by performance metrics and network processor

Next lecture

Inter-domain Routing

- #1: Link state routing captures the whole network connectivity by disseminating the link
- #3: A router has four major components: input line card, output line card, switching fabric

