# **Similarity Distance**

## Michael H. Coen and M. Hidayath Ansari

Dept. of Computer Sciences University of Wisconsin-Madison

## General normalization schemes

In this section we review some popular normalization schemes. By "normalization scheme" we mean a technique to transform features so as to make comparison of examples (or sets of examples) easier. (Note that normalization is different from feature selection: feature selection schemes are intended to make different features commensurable or orthogonal, while normalization schemes are intended to make different point sets commensurable.)

Below x denotes a single training or test example,  $x_i$  denotes its *i*th feature value, and  $T_i$  denotes a transformation of the *i*th feature.

Linear scaling. Fix a lower bound  $L_i$  and an upper bound  $U_i$  on feature *i*. For example,  $L_i$  and  $U_i$  can be set to the largest and smallest values of feature *i* in the corpus, or they can be set so as to capture some fraction of the variance of feature *i* in the corpus. Define  $T_i(x_i) = (\min(\max(x_i, L_i), U_i) - L_i)/(U_i - L_i))$ . Then  $0 \le T_i(x_i) \le 1$ .<sup>1</sup>

Sample mean and variance normalization. Given a corpus  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of examples, let  $m_i = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ji}$  denote the sample mean of the *i*th feature and  $s_i^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_{ji} - m_i)^2$  denote the sample variance. Define  $T_i(x_i) = (x_i - m_i)/s_i$ . Then the collection  $\{T_i(x_{ji})\}_{j=1}^n$  has sample mean zero and sample variance 1.<sup>2</sup>

Rank normalization. Given a corpus  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of examples, define  $T_i(x_i) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n I(x_{ji} \le x_i)$ , where I(A) is the indicator function of A. Then  $0 \le T_i(x_i) \le 1$ , and the collection  $\{T_i(x_{ji})\}_{j=1}^n$  becomes uniformly distributed as n increases,<sup>3</sup> since  $T_i$  is feature *i*'s empirical distribution function.

*Gaussianization.* Given a corpus  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of examples, let  $R_i = \sum_{j=1}^n I(x_{ji} \le x_i)$  denote the rank of  $x_i$  in the corpus. Let  $f(y) = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} \exp(-y^2/2)$  denote the stan-

<sup>3</sup>Right?

dard normal density. Define  $T_i(x_i)$  so that the equation  $(n - R_i + 1/2)/n = \int_{y=-\infty}^{T_i(x_i)} f(y) \, dy$  holds. *Distribution matching.* Given a corpus  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of ex-

Distribution matching. Given a corpus  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of examples, with cdf  $F_i$  and desired cdf  $G_i$ , define  $T_i(x_i) = G_i^{-1}(F_i(x_i))$ . If  $F_i$  is the empirical cdf and  $G_i$  is the identity function  $r \mapsto r$ , then distribution matching reduces to rank normalization. Claim: Distribution matching also generalizes gaussianization.

### Similarity distance isn't just EMD, normalized

It is clear that similarity distance is not a simple application of any of the above normalization schemes to earth mover distance. Perhaps similarity distance could be formulated as a kind of distribution matching, but certainly not in an obvious way.

A classical rescaling method in NLP is TF-IDF. This has the same purpose as in our setting, i.e., one wants to compare two sets of documents, e.g. {query} and {corpus doc 1, ..., corpus doc n} in the information retrieval setting, but these two sets have different scaling properties, since for example the query will typically be much shorter than any document in the corpus.

Thus even if in a sense similarity distance **is** a "normalization" of EMD, this does not preclude it being very useful. TF-IDF is a "normalization" of TF but is used much more frequently than TF.

### Applications of similarity distance

Information retrieval. (I know mike doesn't like NLP.)

#### See also

See also my summaries of papers and notes on them, in .../lit/citations.txt.

I need to add citations above. For now, here is a citation to keep bibtex happy: (Stolcke, Kajarekar, and Ferrer 2008).

#### References

Stolcke, A.; Kajarekar, S.; and Ferrer, L. 2008. Nonparametric feature normalization for svm-based speaker verification. In *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 2008. ICASSP 2008. IEEE International Conference on*, 1577– 1580.

Copyright © 2010, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Claim: Under some circumstances,  $T_i(x_i)$  will be uniformly distributed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Claim: Assumes gaussian-distributed data. Note that it is possible to perform just sample mean normalization  $(x_i - m_i)$  or sample variance normalization  $(x_i/s_i)$ .