## 1 High order treelet

Inspired by HOSVD, we apply treelet to each mode of the tensor. That is, for each particular $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\mathcal{G} \times_{2} \mathbf{A}^{(2)} \ldots \times_{N} \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(i)}$ is the basis given by $i$ th mode. As the treelet algorithm gives an orthonormal basis, so eq 1 could be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}=x \times_{2} \mathbf{A}^{(2)} \ldots \times_{N} \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is an example of this approach. Although we present it in an order-3 tensor case, it is easy to generalize it into higher order cases. If our $\mathcal{X}_{l_{1} \times l_{2} \times l_{3}}$ is a order- 3 tensor, and the first mode is samples(so we have $l_{1}$ samples here), then the feature is $l_{2} \times l_{3}$ matrix. We begin with a standard basis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}^{2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{1}^{2} \circ e_{l_{3}}^{3}, \\
& e_{2}^{2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}^{2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{2}^{2} \circ e_{l_{3}}^{3}, \\
& \ldots \\
& e_{l_{2}}^{2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{l_{2}}^{2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{l_{2}}^{2} \circ e_{l_{3}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{i}$ means the $i$ th entry is 1 .
Then we apply treelet algorithm to the second mode, and pick the first $r_{2}$ basis vectors $e_{1}^{\prime 2}, e_{2}^{\prime 2}, \ldots, e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{L_{3}}^{3}, \\
& e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{L_{3}}^{3}, \\
& \ldots \\
& e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{3}, e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{L_{3}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we apply treelet algorithm to the third mode, and the basis finally becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{\prime 3}, e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{\prime 3}, \ldots, e_{1}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{r_{3}}^{\prime 3}, \\
& e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{\prime 3}, e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{3}, \ldots, e_{2}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{r_{3}}^{3}, \\
& \ldots \\
& e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{1}^{\prime 3}, e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{2}^{\prime 3}, \ldots, e_{r_{2}}^{\prime 2} \circ e_{r_{3}}^{\prime 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we compressed the original $l_{2} \times l_{3}$ variables into $r_{2} \times r_{3}$ variables. It is appealing if the energy aggregates in a submatrix of the basis given by the
treelet algorithm. Fortunately, this is the case for some kinds of signal. (how to say this better)

Notice that the treelet algorithm needs covariance matrix, and this is obvious when each sample $x$ is a vector. However, it is not that straightforward in high order case. In this paper, to compute the covariance matrix of $i$ th mode, we unfold the whole tensor $\mathcal{X}$ along $i$ th mode, so it becomes a $l_{i} \times \prod l_{j, j \neq i}$ matrix, and we treat each column as a sample. Here is an interpretation of this approach: for each sample, we average out the observations of each feature. So the final result is still an expectation of each feature. (shall i write this more clearly...in math)

